Accepted Manuscript

Between-trial heterogeneity in meta-analyses may be partially explained by reported design characteristics

K.M. Rhodes, R.M. Turner, J. Savović, H.E. Jones, D. Mawdsley, J.P.T. Higgins

PII: S0895-4356(17)30435-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.025

Reference: JCE 9542

To appear in: Journal of Clinical Epidemiology

Received Date: 21 April 2017

Revised Date: 10 November 2017

Accepted Date: 28 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Rhodes K, Turner R, Savović J, Jones H, Mawdsley D, Higgins J, Betweentrial heterogeneity in meta-analyses may be partially explained by reported design characteristics, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.025.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Between-trial heterogeneity in meta-analyses may be partially explained by reported design

characteristics

KM Rhodes¹, RM Turner^{1,2}, J Savović^{3,4}, HE Jones³, D Mawdsley⁵, JPT Higgins³

¹MRC Biostatistics Unit, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
²MRC Clinical Trials Unit, University College London, UK
³Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, UK
⁴NIHR CLAHRC West, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK
⁵University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Abstract

Objective

We investigated the associations between risk of bias judgments from Cochrane reviews for sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding and between-trial heterogeneity.

Study Design and Setting

Bayesian hierarchical models were fitted to binary data from 117 meta-analyses, to estimate the ratio λ by which heterogeneity changes for trials at high/unclear risk of bias, compared to trials at low risk of bias. We estimated the proportion of between-trial heterogeneity in each meta-analysis that could be explained by the bias associated with specific design characteristics.

Results

Univariable analyses showed that heterogeneity variances were, on average, increased among trials at high/unclear risk of bias for sequence generation ($\hat{\lambda}$ 1.14, 95% interval: 0.57 to 2.30) and blinding ($\hat{\lambda}$ 1.74, 95% interval: 0.85 to 3.47). Trials at high/unclear risk of bias for allocation concealment were on average less heterogeneous ($\hat{\lambda}$ 0.75, 95% interval: 0.35 to 1.61). Multivariable analyses showed that a median of 37% (95% interval: 0% to 71%) heterogeneity variance could be explained by trials at high/unclear risk of bias for sequence generation, allocation concealment and/or blinding. All 95% intervals for changes in heterogeneity were wide and included the null of no difference.

Conclusion

Our interpretation of the results is limited by imprecise estimates. There is some indication that between-trial heterogeneity could be partially explained by reported design characteristics, and hence adjustment for bias could potentially improve accuracy of meta-analysis results.

Keywords: meta-analysis; heterogeneity; sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding; randomized trials

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7518992

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7518992

Daneshyari.com