### ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Oncological Sciences xxx (2017) 1-4

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



## Journal of Oncological Sciences

journal homepage: https://www.elsevier.com/locate/jons

## Serum endocan level and its prognostic significance in breast cancer patients

Ozturk Ates<sup>a,\*</sup>, Emre Gedik<sup>b</sup>, Veli Sunar<sup>c</sup>, Kadri Altundag<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dr.Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Training and Research Hospital, Medical Oncology, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Hacettepe University Cancer Institute, Basic Oncology, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> Hacettepe University Cancer Institute, Medical Oncology, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>d</sup> MKA Breast Cancer Clinic, Tepe Prime, Cankaya, Ankara 06800, Turkey

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 September 2017 Received in revised form 28 November 2017 Accepted 28 November 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Endothelial cell specific molecule Breast cancer Prognosis

#### ABSTRACT

factor in breast cancer.

Background: Endocan, known as endothelial cell specific molecule (ESM), is a novel endothelial dysfunction marker. The aim of this study is to examine the plasma endocan level and its prognostic significance in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. Methods: A total of 84 patients were enrolled the study. Plasma endocan level was measured by specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELİSA) kit. Ethical approval and informed consent were attained. Results: At the time of diagnosis, 33 patients had stage 4 disease. The median plasma endocan level was 619.9 (min 259.9–2813.2) ng/L and its level was significantly higher in metastatic breast cancer group compared to non-metastatic breast cancer group. According to molecular sub-type of breast cancer, there is not statistical difference in plasma endocan level, but its level was higher in patients with Her-2 amplified and triple negative breast cancer (TNBC). Median follow-up time is 11 (1-30) months. Event free survival (EFS) was 15 months in patients with plasma endocan level lower than 620, while it was 4 months in patients with serum endocan level greater than 620 (p = 0.016). There was no difference between groups in terms of hypertension, age, Lymphovascular invasion (LVI), extra capsular extension (ECE), body mass index (BMI) and White blood cells (WBC), platelet count and plasma endocan level. Conclusion: Plasma endocan levels higher than non metastatic breast cancer. Patients with high plasma endocan levels are short EFS. Further studies would be useful to assess endocan level as a prognostic

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Turkish Society of Medical Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women. There are different types of breast cancer according to the molecular subtypes (such as luminal, her-2 amplified and TNBC). Neovascularization is also important for breast cancer as well as all cancers for metastasis and tumor invasion.

Endocan, known as endothelial cell specific molecule (ESM), is a dermatan sulphate proteoglycan. It is secreted especially renal, lung and tumor endothelium. ESM has also been evaluated as a biomarker of endothelial dysfunction. Plasma level of which has been shown to be elevated in sepsis, chronic kidney disease (CKD),

\* Corresponding author. Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Training and Research Hospital, Department of Medical Oncology, 06100, Demetevler Ankara, Ankara, Turkey.

E-mail address: dr.ozturkates@yahoo.com (O. Ates).

Peer review under responsibility of Turkish Society of Medical Oncology.

lung injury and diabetic proliferative retinopathy (DPR).<sup>1,2</sup> Its secretion is regulated by some cytokines (such as TNF alpha, IL-1, VEGF-A, FGF-2).<sup>1,3</sup>

Plasma endocan level has been shown to increase in some cancers.<sup>4,5</sup> Its plasma level is shown positive correlation with in tumor recurrence and progression. It was used as a prognostic biomarker in some cancer types.

Studies on plasma endocan levels in breast cancer patients are very limited. Aim of this study was investigate between plasma endocan level and prognostic significance in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients.

#### 2. Patient and methods

#### 2.1. Patient and methods

Total of 84 newly diagnosed breast cancer women were included the study. This study is a cross sectional observational

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jons.2017.11.004

2452-3364/© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Turkish Society of Medical Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Ates O, et al., Serum endocan level and its prognostic significance in breast cancer patients, Journal of Oncological Sciences (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jons.2017.11.004

2

study. All patients were evaluated using standard form that included medical history and physical examination findings. Weight and height were measured. Estrogen (ER) and progesterone receptors (PR) nuclear staining  $\geq$  1% was accepted as ER and/or PRpositive by IHC evaluation according to the ASCO/CAP guidelines.<sup>6</sup> HER2 status was determined by immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. Tumors having a score of 3(+) were considered as HER2positive. Tumors scoring 2 (+) for HER2 expression were subsequently analyzed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) test and were considered as HER2-positive if HER2 amplification was present in FISH. There are different types of molecular subtypes of breast cancer.<sup>7</sup> Luminal subtype (A and B) is hormone receptor positive breast cancer. The most common type is luminal A with a low grade, low Ki 67 score, and good prognosis.<sup>8</sup> Luminal B subtype express more proliferation and her-2 gene and fewer ER-related gene.<sup>9</sup> Her-2 and TNBC subtypes are high grade and more aggressive types displaying high risk of systemic and local risk.<sup>10,11</sup>

Research ethics committee approval was obtained. The blood samples were collected from April 2014 to December 2015. Prior to collection of blood samples, the research purpose was explained and the consent of patients was obtained. Ten milliliters of peripheral blood samples were obtained from all patients. Blood samples were centrifuged via ultracentrifuge at 2000 rpm for 10 min. Then each serum sample was a liquated in three-eppendorf tubes were stored in -86 °C freezers until processing. Plasma endocan level were measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (ELISA) according to manufacturer's standard protocol (Sunred Biological Technologies Human ECSM1/ENDOCAN ELISA Kit, Catalog No.: 201-12-1978, China). Endocan levels were expressed as pg/m. Protein standards were diluted four times. As a result of dilution step, five standard dilutions were obtained. Prepared standard dilutions and serum samples were added in a 96well plate. Each standards and serum samples were added duplicate. Serum samples were incubated with ECSM1/ENDOCAN antibody and Streptavidin-HRP, at the same time standards were just incubated with Streptavidin-HRP for 60 min at 37 °C. After this incubation step, test well plate was washed 5 times with washing solution. When washing process was finished, chromogenic reagents were added to each well and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C in unlit area. After last incubation step, stop solution was added in each well and according to standards concentration optical density of samples was measured under 450 nm wavelength with Spec*traMax*<sup>®</sup> *Plus*microplate reader device within 15 min.

#### 2.2. Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) Summary data were presented as mean standard lead and median distribution analysis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normalization of the distribution. Comparisons between groups were made using the Mann-Whitney *U* test. Also data on normal distribution are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and were using a *t*-test. Categorical variables are expressed using the chi-square test or fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Correlation analyses were performed using spearmen or Pearson correlation test. Results related to *p* < 0.05 were assumed statistically significant. Event-free survival (EFS) was defined as time from diagnosis until relapse or progression. OS was measured from the date of registration to the study to the date of death from any cause. OS and EFS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method.

#### 3. Results

Mean diagnostic age of patients were 52.5  $\pm$  13.3 (27-84), and

54.7% of the patients are postmenopausal. Demographic features of patients are seen in Table 1. Total of 12 (14.3%) patients had diabetes mellitus (DM) and 25 (29.8%) patients had hypertension (HT). At the time of diagnosis, 33 patients had stage 4 disease. Invasive ductal cancer (IDC) and invasive lobular cancer (ILC) constituted 76.2% and 3.2% of patients respectively. Total of 75% of patients were hormone responsive. Median plasma endocan level was 619.9 (min 259.9–2813.2). Its level was higher in metastatic breast cancer group than non-metastatic breast cancer group (p = 0.045)(Table 2). According to the sub-type there is not statistical difference in plasma endocan level, but its level was higher in patients with Her-2 amplified breast cancer and TNBC. There was no difference between groups in terms of hypertension, age, LVI, ECE, BMI and WBC and plasma endocan level. Plasma endocan level positively correlated with serum CEA level. But no association was observed BMI, WBC, platelet level, diagnostic age or serum ca 15-3 level. The plasma endocan level was lower in diabetic patients. Median follow-up time was 11 (1-30) months. There was not death. Event free survival (EFS) was 15 months in patients with serum endocan levels lower than 620, while it was 4 months in patients with serum endocan levels greater than 620 (p = 0.016) (Fig. 1). Patients features were evaluated according to metastatic situation. When clinicopathological parameters were compared according to

 Table 1

 Patients characteristics.

	n (%)
T1	13 (15.5)
T2	42 (50)
T3	13 (15.5)
T4	12 (14.3)
N0	26 (31)
N1	20 (23.8)
N2	17 (20.2)
N3	17(20.2)
Stage	
Stage 1	10 (11.9)
Stage 2	21 (25)
Stage 3	20 (23.8)
Stage 4	33 (39.2)
Hormonal situation	
ER+	61 (72.6)
PR+	51 (60.7)
Hormone receptor	
Positive	63 (75)
Negative	21 (25)
BMI	
<24.9	18 (21.4)
25–29.9	34 (40.5)
>30	32 (38.1)
Co-morbid disease	
DM	12 (14.3)
HT	25 (29.8)
Pathology	
IDC	64 (76.2)
ILC	3 (3.6)
MIX	13 (16.5)
Mucinous	2 (2.4)
Metaplastic	I (1.2)
Neuroendocrine	I (1.2)
Sub-type	26 (21)
Luminal A	26 (31)
Luiiiiidi B	34 (40.5)
Her-2	11 (13.1)
	13 (15.5)
Age of diagnosis	15 (17.0)
<40 40_40	10 (17.8)
40-43 50 50	19 (22.0)
60-69	23 (27.3) 18 (21.4)
×70	10(21.4) 0(10.7)
210	9(10.7)

Please cite this article in press as: Ates O, et al., Serum endocan level and its prognostic significance in breast cancer patients, Journal of Oncological Sciences (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jons.2017.11.004

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7520458

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7520458

Daneshyari.com