Safety and Health at Work xxx (2017) 1–4

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Safety and Health at Work

journal homepage: www.e-shaw.org

Original Article

Determining Sincerity of Effort Based on Grip Strength Test in Three Wrist Positions

Petcharatana Bhuanantanondh*, Pirun Nanta, Keerin Mekhora

Faculty of Physical Therapy, Mahidol University, Salaya, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 15 February 2017
Received in revised form
11 May 2017
Accepted 5 June 2017
Available online xxx

Keywords:
grip strength
maximal effort
sincerity of effort
submaximal effort
wrist position

ABSTRACT

Background: Several grip strength tests are commonly used for detecting sincerity of effort. However, there is still no widely accepted standardized sincerity of effort test. Therefore, this study aimed to examine whether grip strength test in three wrist positions could distinguish between maximal and submaximal efforts.

Methods: Twenty healthy individuals (10 men and 10 women) with a mean age of 26.7 ± 3.92 years participated in this study. All participants completed two test conditions (maximal and submaximal efforts) in three wrist positions (neutral, flexion, and extension) using both hands. Each participant exerted 100% effort in the maximal effort condition and 50% effort in the submaximal effort condition. The participants performed three repetitions of the grip strength test for each session.

Results: The results showed that there is a significant main effect of the type of effort ($p < 0.001$), wrist position ($p < 0.001$), and hand ($p = 0.028$). There were also significant types of effort and wrist position interactions ($p < 0.001$) and effort and hand interactions ($p < 0.028$). The results also showed that grip strength was highest at the wrist in neutral position in both the maximal and the submaximal effort condition. Grip strength values of the three wrist positions in the maximal effort condition were noticeably greater than those in the submaximal effort condition.

Conclusion: The findings of this study suggest that grip strength test in three wrist positions can differentiate a maximal effort from a submaximal effort. Thus, this test could potentially be used to detect sincerity of effort in clinical setting.

1. Introduction

Work-related musculoskeletal injuries are common in the workplace. Such injuries result in a noticeable expense and decreased productivity in both public and private sectors. As a result, there is an increase in demand to find objective means of assessing an individual's physical capacity to work and readiness to return to work following an injury. Thus, functional capacity evaluation has been developed and used as a tool for determining an individual's functional abilities and limitations to work, which may help in reducing the cost associated with work-related musculoskeletal injuries [1,2]. Furthermore, the measurement of hand grip strength has been used in ergonomics or clinical settings to determine the degree of disability, individual's ability to return to work, worker's compensation, and progress in rehabilitation [3,4].

However, some individuals may not make sincere efforts while performing the tests due to a number of reasons, including secondary financial gain, secondary emotional gains, and avoiding returning to work [4,5]. Failure to accurately evaluate injured worker's sincerity of effort (SOE) may bring about an ineffective rehabilitation program and increase workers' compensation medical costs. Thus, it is necessary to have a standardized method that can determine SOE.

A number of methods using the hand grip dynamometer for determining SOE have been developed. Some methods such as electromyography [6,7], the torque–velocity test [8], and the force–time curve test [9,10] are complex and require lengthy administration time. In clinical practice, however, methods that are simple, affordable, and easy to be administered, such as the five-rung grip test [11,12], rapid exchange grip test [13,14], and coefficient of variation [15,16], are commonly used for detecting SOE.

* Corresponding author. Faculty of Physical Therapy, Mahidol University, 999 Phuttamonthon 4 Road, Salaya, Nakhon Pathom 73170, Thailand.
E-mail address: petcharatana.bhu@mahidol.ac.th (P. Bhuanantanondh).

2093-7911/$ – see front matter
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2017.06.001

Stokes [17] was the first to introduce the five-rung test to identify SOE. The method involves testing grip strength at five handle positions of the dynamometer. The SOE is interpreted from the shape of the grip strength, with the bell-shaped curve indicating a maximal effort and a flat curve indicating a submaximal effort. In 1984, Lister [18] introduced the use of the rapid exchange grip test to differentiate between maximal and submaximal efforts. This test involves grip strength tests, with rapidly alternating between both hands and then comparing the grip strength values of the rapid exchange gripping with those of static gripping. To indicate a sincere effort, the rapid grip strength values are expected to decrease with respect to the static grip strength values. The other method, which is the coefficient variation, measures variability of a set of the grip strength tests. It is assumed that submaximal efforts would show greater variability than maximal efforts [15,16].

To date, there is still no widely accepted standardized protocol for assessing SOE. A study by Shechtman and Goodall [14] reported that most therapists combined several tests to detect SOE. Nevertheless, neither the individual SOE tests nor their combination can accurately detect SOE in clinical practice [5]. It should also be pointed out that all the three SOE tests mentioned previously involve grip strength tests in neutral position of the wrist. However, it has been reported that wrist position is one of the most important factors influencing grip strength performance [19–22]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine whether grip strength test in three wrist positions (i.e., neutral, full flexion, and full extension) could differentiate between maximal and submaximal efforts.

2. Materials and methods

The participants in this study were 20 healthy individuals (10 men and 10 women) with a mean age of 26.7 ± 3.92 years. Demographic characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. All the participants were right-hand dominant and had no past history of upper extremity problems. Prior to testing, all the participants read and signed the informed consent. This study was approved by the University Institutional Review Board.

All grip strength tests were performed using the hand grip dynamometer of the Evaltech (BTE Technologies, Inc., Hanover, MD, USA), which was calibrated daily before testing. The grip attachment was set in the second handle position. Each participant completed two test conditions (i.e., maximal and submaximal efforts) in three different wrist positions (i.e., neutral, full flexion, and full extension) using both hands. To familiarize the participants with the testing procedures, practice trials were given prior to the beginning of the tests.

The participants were randomly assigned to begin with the maximal or submaximal effort condition. Each participant was instructed to exert 100% effort in the maximal effort condition and 50% effort in the submaximal effort condition. For all tests, the starting position was standing with feet flat on the floor, shoulder-width apart. The hand grip strength test started with the wrist in neutral position. The tested arm was positioned according to the American Society of Hand Therapist recommendation: the shoulder adducted and neutrally rotated, the elbow flexed at 90°, and the forearm and wrist in neutral position [23]. Each participant was asked to perform the grip strength test for three repetitions in each hand, beginning with the left hand. Each repetition lasted 3 seconds with a 5-second interval for alternating between both hands. After all the tests were completed in neutral position of the wrist, the tests were repeated in full flexion and full extension of the wrist position. To ensure that the participants maintained a proper position during the test, reminders were provided by the researcher as needed. Two-minute rest periods [24] were provided at the end of the tests in each wrist position and between the maximal and submaximal effort conditions. For all tests, the participants were given standardized verbal instructions, but no visual or auditory feedback was provided.

Table 1
Demographic characteristics of the participants ($N = 20$)

Characteristics	Mean ± SD
Age (y)	26.70 ± 3.92
Height (cm)	167.10 ± 8.55
Weight (kg)	61.08 ± 9.05
BMI (kg/m^2)	21.80 ± 2.24

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.

The data of this study were analyzed using SPSS® (version 19.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Shapiro–Wilk test was used for normality test. Factorial repeated measures analysis of variance was employed to determine the effects of the type of effort, wrist position, and hand. If significant interactions were present, simple main effect tests were performed and the least significant difference method was used for *post hoc* tests. Paired *t* test was used for comparing the ratios of grip force between maximal and submaximal efforts. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Model assumptions of normality, homogeneity of variance, and linearity were tested, and the results were satisfactory.

3. Results

Mean grip strength as a function of wrist position for the combinations of types of efforts and hands is presented in Fig. 1. From the factorial repeated measures analysis of variance, the results showed that there is a significant main effect of the type of effort [$F(1, 19) = 133.662$, $p < 0.001$], suggesting that grip strength of a maximal effort differs from that of a submaximal effort. Significant main effects of wrist position [$F(2, 38) = 66.405$, $p < 0.001$] and hand [$F(1, 19) = 5.641$, $p = 0.028$] were also observed, suggesting that grip strength differs for different wrist positions and hands.

The results also showed significant types of effort and wrist position interactions [$F(2, 38) = 48.611$, $p < 0.001$]. Simple main effect analysis showed that for all wrist positions, there were significant differences of grip strength between maximal and submaximal efforts ($p < 0.001$). When performing with a maximal effort, there were significant differences of grip strength between neutral and flexion, and neutral and extension wrist positions ($p < 0.001$); however, there was no significant difference between flexion and extension wrist positions ($p = 0.123$). For a submaximal effort, there were significant differences of grip strength between neutral and flexion ($p < 0.001$), neutral and extension ($p = 0.011$), and flexion and extension ($p = 0.001$) wrist positions.

Furthermore, the significant interaction between types of effort and hand was also observed [$F(1, 19) = 11.165$, $p = 0.003$]. Simple main effect analysis showed that for both hands, there were significant differences of grip strength between maximal and submaximal efforts ($p < 0.001$). When exerting with a maximal effort, there was significant difference of grip strength between left and right hands ($p = 0.006$). By contrast, there was no significant difference of grip strength between left and right hands during performing a submaximal effort ($p = 0.475$). However, there were no significant wrist position and hand interaction ($p = 0.727$), and types of effort, wrist position, and hand interaction ($p = 0.321$).

Table 2 shows the mean ratios of neutral/flexion (N/F) and neutral/extension (N/E) of maximal and submaximal efforts of both hands. The results showed that there were significant differences

Please cite this article in press as: Bhuanantanondh P, et al., Determining Sincerity of Effort Based on Grip Strength Test in Three Wrist Positions, Safety and Health at Work (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2017.06.001