ARTICLE IN PRESS

Impact of Chemoradiation After Supra- or Infrahyoid Cancer on Aerodynamic, Subjective, and Objective Voice Assessments: A Multicenter Prospective Study

*'†'‡'§Jérôme R. Lechien, ‡Mohamad Khalife, *'†Kathy Huet, ‡Anne-Francoise Fourneau, †Véronique Delvaux, †Myriam Piccaluga, †'aBernard Harmegnies, and †'‡'§'aSven Saussez, *†Mons, ‡Baudour, and §Brussels, Belgium

Summary: Objectives. The study aimed to investigate the impact of chemoradiotherapy (CRT) on speech and voice quality according to the anatomic localization of the head and neck cancer.

Methods. Thirty-four patients treated by CRT for advanced suprahyoid (N = 17) or infrahyoid (N = 17) cancer were assessed for speech function, videolaryngostroboscopy, Voice Handicap Index, blinded Grade, Roughness, Breathiness, Asthenia, Strain, and Instability, acoustic measurements, and aerodynamic measurements. Quality of life was evaluated using the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Head and Neck 35 (EORTC QLQ-H&N35) questionnaire.

Results. Patients treated for an infrahyoid tumor presented more severe values of Voice Handicap Index items, dysphonia, breathiness, asthenia, and some acoustic cues (Voice Turbulence Index, Soft Phonation Index, degree of unvoiced segments, and number of unvoiced segments) than patients treated for a suprahyoid tumor. The EORTC QLQ-H&N35 communication item was better in the suprahyoid patient group.

Conclusions. Voice quality impairments associated with CRT are more severe in patients treated for advanced infrahyoid cancer, suggesting the need to develop specific posttherapy management of the dysphonia according to the tumor anatomical localization.

Key Words: Chemoradiation-Head-Neck-Cancer-Voice.

INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancers and their treatments lead to a high risk of comorbidities, which negatively impact the quality of life of patients.¹ Among the conservative treatments, concomitant chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is increasingly used and currently considered as a standard in patients with advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinomas.² Indeed, CRT provides better results in terms of locoregional control, disease-free survival, and overall survival rate compared with alternative approaches, such as chemoradiotherapy induction followed by radiotherapy.³ Over the short, middle, and long terms, CRT affects swallowing, speech, and voice by acute and chronic toxicities to the tissues.^{4,5} To date, only a few studies have suggested that the development of these impairments depended on the anatomic localization of the primary tumor^{1,6} as a majority of studies have considered all anatomic sites as similarly responsible for these impairments.⁷ The ability to clearly distinguish some potential complications according to the anatomic localization could also have an impact on both the prevention and the management of these complications. Thus, we could imagine that patients treated for an infrahyoid cancer

Journal of Voice, Vol.

0892-1997

could substantially have more voice complication than those treated for a suprahyoid cancer who could have more speech complications.

The aim of this cross-sectional study was to investigate the impact of CRT on the voice quality and speech of patients treated at least 9 months prior according to the anatomic localization of the primary tumor (infrahyoid vs suprahyoid).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subject characteristics

From September 2013 to July 2016, we prospectively recruited at the otolaryngology departments of EpiCURA Baudour, Hornu, and Ath hospitals 34 patients who were recently treated for an advanced upper aerodigestive tract cancer using CRT (accepted study protocol ref. 2015/99-B707201524621). From the selected patients, 17 patients were treated for an infrahyoid cancer (laryngeal or hypopharyngeal) and 17 patients were treated for a suprahyoid cancer (oropharyngeal or oral cavity). The patients had undergone and finished CRT since at least 9 months. The patients were considered as cured after the CRT and they had no tracheotomy. The characteristics of the patient groups, including chemotherapy, radiotherapy, tumor features, anatomic groups and subgroups, and smoking, or alcohol consumption, are available in Table 1.

Four patients with glottic (N = 1), medial pharyngeal wall (N = 1), and supraglottic cancers (N = 2) had an immobility of one vocal fold. Patients with tumor double localization were excluded. The groups were comparable in terms of sex ratio, age, body mass index (BMI), time since completing CRT, tobacco and alcohol use, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, tumor, and CRT features (tumor, node, metastasis [TNM] grade, drug, and intensity-modulated radiation schemes).

Accepted for publication April 17, 2017.

^aContributed equally to this work and should be regarded as joint last authors.

From the *Laboratory of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine, UMONS Research Institute for Health Sciences and Technology, University of Mons (UMons), Mons, Belgium; †Laboratory of Phonetics, Faculty of Psychology, Research Institute for Language Sciences and Technology, University of Mons (UMons), Mons, Belgium; ‡Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, EpiCURA Hospital, Baudour, Belgium; and the §Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, CHU Saint-Pierre, Brussels, Belgium.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Jérôme R. Lechien, Laboratory of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine, UMONS Research Institute for Health Sciences and Technology, University of Mons (UMons), Mons, Belgium. E-mail: Jerome.Lechien@ umons.ac.be

^{© 2017} The Voice Foundation. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2017.04.009

2

TABLE 1. Patient Characteristics

Clinical Features	Units	Infrahyoid (N = 17)	Suprahyoid (N = 17)	Total (N = 34)
Sex	M/F	13/4	15/2	28/6
Age	Years	60.13 ± 3.39	55.71 + 2.53	58.41
BMI	ka/m2	21 77 + 1 80	2174 ± 074	21.98
Time since the CBT end	Month	27 29 + 5 55	28.18 ± 3.98	27.82
Tobacco history	PV	35.00 ± 0.00	3379 ± 623	38.67
Tobacco consumption	Pd	0.07 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.25	1 05
COPD	i u	6	6	1.00
Alcohol history	LI/d	12 25 + 3 90	1271 + 422	12 87
Alcohol consumption	U/d	0.29 ± 0.00	3 00 + 1 25	1 53
Tumor localization	0/4	0.20 ± 0.21	0.00 ± 1.20	1.55
	_	7	_	20 59%
Subalottic		,		20.0070
Glottic		1		
Supradottic		6		
Hypopharyny	_	10	_	29 / 1%
Pyriform cinuc	_	7	_	23.41/0
Postorior phoryngool wall		7		
Modial phanyngoal wall		2		
		Z	Δ	11 76%
	-	-	4	11.70%
			3	
			12	20.240/
	_	-	13	38.24%
Iongue basis			6	
Pharyngeal tonsil			5	
Posterior waii/soft palate			2	
		2	2	
IVIISSED TANO	-	0	2	
T INZ	-	0	1	
I ZINU TONIA	-	1	0	
I ZIN I	-	0		
I 2N2	-	4	4	
T3N0	-	4	1	
	-	0	0	
I 3INZ	-	4	4	
14N0	-	0	1	
14N1	-	0	0	
14N2	-	4	3	
14N3	-	0	0	
Grade		4	2	
Few differentiated	-	1	2	
Moderately differentiated	-	/	5	
Well differentiated	-	6	5	
Imprecise	-	3	4	
Chemotherapy			45	
CDDP	-	14	15	
Carboplatin	-	1	1	
Erbitux	-	1	0	
Imprecise	-	1	1	
IMRI				
70	Gy	16	14	
>70	Gy	0	1	
<70	Gy	0	0	
Imprecise	-	1	2	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CDDP, cis-diaminedichloroplatinum; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; F, Female; Gy, Gray; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiation therapy; kg, kilogram; M, male; N, number; Pd, pack daily; PY, pack year; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis; U/d, unit daily.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7533262

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7533262

Daneshyari.com