Accepted Manuscript

Computational modeling of magnetic hysteresis with thermal effects

Martin Kružík, Jan Valdman

PII: S0378-4754(17)30080-0

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2017.03.004

Reference: MATCOM 4441

To appear in: Mathematics and Computers in Simulation

Received date: 2 November 2014 Revised date: 17 November 2016 Accepted date: 15 March 2017



Please cite this article as: M. Kružík, J. Valdman, Computational modeling of magnetic hysteresis with thermal effects, Math. Comput. Simulation (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2017.03.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Mathematics and Computers in Simulation 00 (2017) 1-15

Mathematics
and
Computers
in
Simulation

Computational modeling of magnetic hysteresis with thermal effects

Martin Kružíka, Jan Valdmana,b

^aInstitute of Information Theory and Automation of the CAS, Pod vodárenskou věží 4, CZ-182 08 Praha 8, Czech Republic ^bInstitute of Mathematics and Biomathematics, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Branišovská 31, 37005, Czech Republic

Abstract

We study computational behavior of a mesoscopic model describing temperature/external magnetic field-driven evolution of magnetization. Due to nonconvex anisotropy energy describing magnetic properties of a body, magnetization can develop fast spatial oscillations creating complicated microstructures. These microstructures are encoded in Young measures, their first moments then identify macroscopic magnetization. Our model assumes that changes of magnetization can contribute to dissipation and, consequently, to variations of the body temperature affecting the length of magnetization vectors. In the ferromagnetic state, minima of the anisotropic energy density depend on temperature and they tend to zero as we approach the so-called Curie temperature. This brings the specimen to a paramagnetic state. Such a thermo-magnetic model is fully discretized and tested on two-dimensional examples. Computational results qualitatively agree with experimental observations. The own MATLAB code used in our simulations is available for download.

Keywords: Dissipative processes, hysteresis, micromagnetics, numerical solution, Young measures

2000 MSC: 35K85, 35Q60, 49S05, 78A30, 78M30, 80A17

1. Introduction

In the isothermal situation, the configuration of a rigid ferromagnetic body occupying a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is usually described by a magnetization $m:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^d$ which denotes density of magnetic spins and which vanishes if the temperature θ is above the so-called Curie temperature θ_c . Brown [5] developed a theory called "micromagnetics" relying on the assumption that equilibrium states of saturated ferromagnets are minima of an energy functional. This variational theory is also capable of predictions of formation of domain microstructures. We refer e.g. to [15] for a survey on the topic. Starting from a microscopic description of the magnetic energy we will continue to a mesoscopic level which is convenient for analysis of magnetic microstructures.

On microscopic level, the magnetic Gibbs energy consists of several contributions, namely an anisotropy energy $\int_{\Omega} \psi(m,\theta) \, dx$, where ψ is the-so called anisotropy energy density describing crystallographic properties of the material, an exchange energy $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |\nabla m(x)|^2 \, dx$ penalizing spatial changes of the magnetization, the non-local magnetostatic energy $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu_0 |\nabla u_m(x)|^2 \, dx$, work done by an external magnetic field h which reads $-\int_{\Omega} h(x)m(x) \, dx$, and a calorimetric term $\int_{\Omega} \psi_0 \, dx$. The anisotropic energy density depends on the material properties and defines the so-called easy axes of the material, i.e., lines along which the smallest external field is needed to magnetize fully the specimen. There are three types of anisotropy: uniaxial, triaxial, and cubic. Furthermore, ψ is supposed to be a nonnegative function, even in its first variable, i.e., $\pm m$ are assigned the same anisotropic energy. In the magnetostatic energy, u_m is the magnetostatic potential related to m by the Poisson problem $\operatorname{div}(\mu_0 \nabla u_m - \chi_\Omega m) = 0$ arising from Maxwell equations. Here χ_Ω : $\mathbb{R}^d \to \{0,1\}$ denotes the characteristic function of Ω and $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \, \text{N/A}^2$ is the permeability of vacuum.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7543292

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7543292

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>