



## Uncertainty evaluation of continuous noise sampling



J.M. Barrigón Morillas\*, C. Prieto Gajardo

Acoustic Laboratory, Department of Applied Physics, Polytechnic School, University of Extremadura, Avda. de la Universidad s/n, 10003 Cáceres, Spain

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 11 June 2012

Received in revised form 8 April 2013

Accepted 3 July 2013

Available online 30 July 2013

#### Keywords:

Noise mapping

Long-term sampling

Uncertainty

Environmental noise

### ABSTRACT

An area of current interest and topic of multiple publications is the assessment of uncertainty in estimating long-term indicators from measurements made for periods of time of less than 1 year. In this work, these prior investigations have been used as a starting point.

Based on measurements made during one whole year at 26 sampling points with variables of urban and traffic characteristics, it was considered two aims related to uncertainty in the estimation of the annual  $L_{den}$ . The strength of this study is the large amount of data, which allows to simulate real measurements by sampling data from random days. Thus, it was studied in detail the predictive ability of the expressions proposed in the literature. Associated with this objective, then it was sought to evaluate the uncertainty associated with the estimation of annual  $L_{den}$  when random days of sampling were much lower than a full year.

The results indicate the need for further progress in the theoretical determination of uncertainty. Second, the results made it able to estimate the uncertainty for the  $L_{den}$  indicator based on the number days sampled randomly.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Noise pollution poses serious risks to health and quality of life for large parts of the world population [1–5]. Many studies have been conducted over the last few decades on various aspects of noise pollution, including sources [6–8], sampling strategies [9–13], noise pollution levels [14–17], strategic noise map uncertainty [18–21], exposure levels [22,23], and physiological and psychological effects [24,25].

The European Community [26] suggests the use of noise maps as a major tool for assessing noise levels and their effects on humans. Such evaluations are required for devising noise pollution improvements or solutions.

European Directive 2002/49/EC recommends that noise assessments and an evaluation of noise pollution effects on the community be made over a long time interval, such as 1 year. This recommendation suggests that noise mapping measurements and sound field propagation models should use annual averages or other techniques with time periods on the order of 1 year. Naturally, the predictions should be compared with measurements representative of the entire year if it were possible.

Sound level measurements are therefore required either to confirm model predictions or to directly generate a noise map. Because of the costs and time needed for long-term measurements, it is common practice to obtain noise data over periods of minutes to

hours [27–31], with some studies measuring noise over a whole day [32–35]. Noise measurements over periods longer than a day are rarely performed [36–38]. Generally, short-term noise measurements are extrapolated to the months or years required by the European Noise Directive 2002/49/EC. Therefore, studies that analyse the variability of sound indicators based on sound measurements that have been taken continuously for a year or more are required.

Several authors [39–41] have worked to find measurement strategies that would provide estimated average values of the  $L_{den}$  index that provide acceptable values for  $L_{den}^{(map)}$  (see Eq. (5)).

A research area related to noise measurements involves understanding the uncertainties associated with long-term noise estimates when they are generated based on short- or medium-term measurements. A recent theoretical paper [19] gives a mathematical expression to estimate the  $L_{den}^{(map)}$  when the number of sampling days is much less than the 365 days of the year used for the  $L_{den}$  index.

The goal of this paper is to confirm the theoretical analysis by providing a statistical comparison of estimated and “real” noise measurements. This comparison was conducted using a wide range of measurements over an entire year to obtain estimated annual values [19]; those estimates were then compared with actual long-term measurements which were taken at 26 points that had a variety of noise conditions on randomly selected days throughout the year.

In this paper, Section 2 describes the characterisation and location of measurement stations, Section 3 presents the experimental

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 927 257234; fax: +34 927 257203.

E-mail address: [barrigon@unex.es](mailto:barrigon@unex.es) (J.M. Barrigón Morillas).

methodology, materials and methods, Section 4 presents the assessments of the results, and Section 5 contains the conclusions.

**2. Characterisation and location of measurement stations**

Environmental noise in cities is primarily derived from road traffic. Past research has shown that street noise depends on a number of factors, including land use [42], road type [43], social activities and socioeconomic factors [9], weather and the intrinsic attributes of the street itself, such as its geometry, the presence of obstacles to sound propagation and the type of pavement [37,44,45]. A wide variety of locations were considered for the measurement stations so as to ensure differing characteristics of urban and architectural environment, traffic flow and other factors.

Measurements were taken throughout 2006, at 26 different locations in Madrid. Table 1 and Fig. 2 contains relevant geographic characteristics for the measurement stations, while Table 2 summarises relevant meteorological characteristics for the stations. The data were obtained from the Spanish Meteorological Agency website [46]. All measurements had an integration interval of 1 min.

Stations that had lost more than 5% of the measurement days during the analysed year were discarded to avoid introducing uncertainties associated with the total time of measurement when calculating  $L_{den}$ .

**3. Methodology**

Twenty-six statistical noise analysers from Brüel & Kjær models 4441 and 4435 were used for environmental sound monitoring. The

**Table 2**  
Meteorological characteristics of the city where the stations were located.

City	Annual minimum mean maximum (°C)	July minimum mean maximum (°C)	Precip. annual: total–max. July: (total–max.) (mm)	Weather averages
Madrid	9.37 14.34 19.29	17.96 24.52 31.06	37.10–13.35 (11.41–7.44)	1920–2011

analysers were operated continuously during the year 2006. The measured parameter was the continuous equivalent A-weighted noise level integrated every hour ( $L_{Aeq,1h}$ ) for all months of 2006. The  $L_{den}$  indices were calculated and averaged from the hourly  $L_{Aeq}$  results, as shown in Fig. 1.

Acoustic parameter  $L_{den}$  is calculated using Eq. (1) [26]:

$$L_{den} = 10 \log \frac{1}{24} \left\{ 12 \times 10^{\frac{L_{day}}{10}} + 4 \times 10^{\frac{L_{evening} + 5}{10}} + 8 \times 10^{\frac{L_{night} + 10}{10}} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Because  $L_{den}$  should be based on all the days of a year, the calculation of  $L_{day}$ ,  $L_{evening}$  and  $L_{night}$ , as performed using Eqs. (2)–(4), should use  $n$  to represent every day in the year.

$$L_{day} = 10 \log \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 10^{\frac{L_{day}^{(i)}}{10}} \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$L_{evening} = 10 \log \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 10^{\frac{L_{evening}^{(i)}}{10}} \right\} \quad (3)$$

**Table 1**  
Main features of the environmental sound monitoring stations.

City population area density	Measurement station number	Geographical location	Traffic	Street category <sup>a</sup> [31,47]	Coordinates GPS latitude–longitude
Madrid 3,255,944 inhab. 605,77 km <sup>2</sup> 5,374,86 inhab./km <sup>2</sup>	1	Plaza del Carmen – Tres Cruces	Medium	3	40.419208 –3.703172
	2	c/Princesa – Plaza de España	Intense	2	40.423992 –3.712333
	3	Avda. Betanzos – c/Monforte de Lemos	Intense	2	40.478228 –3.711542
	4	Plaza Dr. Marañón – c/Miguel Ángel	Intense	1	40.442500 –3.689444
	5	Plaza Marqués de Salamanca	Intense	2	40.430833 –3.679167
	6	c/Alcalá – c/O’Donell	Intense	1	40.421564 –3.682319
	7	Paseo de las Delicias – c/Canarias	Intense	1	40.425556 –3.681111
	8	Avda. de Pablo Iglesias – P. de S. Francisco de Sales	Medium	3	40.445542 –3.707128
	9	Avda. Ramón y Cajal – c/Príncipe de Vergara	Intense	2	40.451472 –3.677353
	10	Dr. Gómez Ulla – Jardines Eva Duarte de Perón	Intense	2	40.428753 –3.668833
	11	c/Arroyo del Olivar – c/Río Grande	Medium	3	40.388150 –3.651522
	12	Plaza Fernández Ladreda – c/Marcelo Usera	Intense	1	40.389444 –3.716111
	13	Plaza de Castilla – c/Agustín de Foxá	Intense	2	40.465556 –3.688611
	14	c/Vizconde de los Asilos – c/Arturo Soria	Light	4	40.440047 –3.639233
	15	Glorieta Marqués de Vadillo – c/Antonio Leiva	Light	4	40.394778 –3.731833
	16	Paseo de Extremadura – c/Francisco Brizuela	Medium	3	40.399167 –3.714444
	17	Avda. de Moratalaz – c/Camino de Vinateros	Medium	3	40.407956 –3.645294
	18	Plaza de Cristo Rey – c/Isaac Peral	Intense	2	40.439722 –3.716389
	19	Puerta de Toledo – Paseo Pontones	Medium	3	40.407500 –3.709444
	20	End of c/Alcalá (Canillejas)	Intense	2	40.449167 –3.608333
	21	Casa de Campo (Near the cable bar)	Pedestrian	6	40.419356 –3.747344
	22	c/Riaño	Light	4	40.462500 –3.580556
	23	c/Júpiter	Light	4	40.476928 –3.580028
	24	Avda. de la Aviación	Medium	3	40.376111 –3.776639
	25	Avda. de la Guardia	Light	4	40.518056 –3.774611
	26	Ribera del Sena s/n	Intense	2	40.461667 –3.615250

<sup>a</sup> Streets categories go from 1 ‘Main city roads’ to 6 ‘pedestrian roads’. The definitions for the different categories can be found in [25,40].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/754551>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/754551>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)