S TAPRO: 8286 **Pulled** 2G pp. 1–10 (col. fig: NIL)

Statistics and Probability Letters xx (xxxx) xxx

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro)

Statistics and Probability Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro

Semi-functional partial linear quantile regression^{$\dot{\ }$}

Hui Ding ^{[a,](#page-0-1)[b](#page-0-2)}, Zhiping Lu ^{[a](#page-0-1)}, Jian Zhang ^{[c](#page-0-3)}, Riquan Zhang ^{a,[*](#page-0-4)}

a *School of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241, PR China*

b *School of Economics, Nanjing University of Finance and Economics, Nanjing, 210023, PR China*

c *School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF, UK*

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 31 August 2017 Received in revised form 3 July 2018 Accepted 4 July 2018 Available online xxxx

MSC: primary 62G05 secondary 62G20

Keywords: Functional data analysis Partial linear Quantile regression

a b s t r a c t

Semi-functional partial linear model is a flexible model in which a scalar response is related to both functional covariate and scalar covariates. We propose a quantile estimation of this model as an alternative to the least square approach. We also extend the proposed method to kNN quantile method. Under some regular conditions, we establish the asymptotic normality of quantile estimators of regression coefficient. We also derive the rates of convergence of nonparametric function. Finite-sample performance of our estimation is compared with least square approach via a Monte Carlo simulation study. The simulation results indicate that our method is much more robust than the least square method. A real data example about spectrometric data is used to illustrate that our model and approach are promising.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction ¹

Over the last two decades, technological progress in many subject areas have produced a large number of continuous data ² with curves or images as the units of observation. Functional data analysis (FDA) encompasses the statistical methodology $\overline{3}$ for such data and has been prevailed. See [Müller](#page--1-0) ([2005\)](#page--1-0), [Cuevas](#page--1-1) [\(2014\)](#page--1-1), [Morris](#page--1-2) ([2015\)](#page--1-2), [Wang](#page--1-3) [et](#page--1-3) [al.](#page--1-3) ([2016](#page--1-3)) and [Goia](#page--1-4) [and](#page--1-4) ⁴ [Vieu](#page--1-4) [\(2016\)](#page--1-4) for systematic reviews on this subject. The recent monographs by [Horváth](#page--1-5) [and](#page--1-6) [Kokoszka](#page--1-5) ([2012\)](#page--1-5) and [Hsing](#page--1-6) and 5 [Eubank](#page--1-6) [\(2015\)](#page--1-6) offer some mathematical theories of functional data. As the important tool of FDA, functional regression ϵ aim to model the relationship between functional (scalar) response and functional (scalar) covariates. Researchers are $\frac{7}{2}$ increasingly interested in functional regression models. See [Greven](#page--1-7) [and](#page--1-7) [Scheipl](#page--1-7) ([2017](#page--1-7)) for a short survey on this field. It $\frac{1}{8}$ is noteworthy that semiparametric functional regression models offer a well-balanced mixture of parametric models and ⁹ nonparametric models. Semiparametric functional regression models keep flexibility of parametric regression models and ¹⁰ overcome sensitivity to dimensional effects of nonparametric approaches. See [Goia](#page--1-8) [and](#page--1-8) [Vieu](#page--1-8) ([2014](#page--1-8)) for a short survey. Semi- ¹¹ functional partial linear regression model is an important semiparametric functional regression model. It can be expressed 12 as $Y = m(X) + \mathbf{Z}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon$, where *X* is functional covariate that taking values in semi-metric space $\mathscr{F}, m : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{R}$ is a 13 unknown smooth function, *Z* is *p*-dimensional random vector of scalar covariate, β is unknown coefficient of scalar covariate, ¹⁴ ε is a random error. The model has been widely used in many fields. [Aneiros-Pérez](#page--1-9) [and](#page--1-9) [Vieu](#page--1-9) [\(2006\)](#page--1-9) proposed profile least $\frac{1}{15}$ square estimation method and derived the asymptotic performances of proposed estimators. [Aneiros-Pérez](#page--1-10) [and](#page--1-10) [Vieu](#page--1-10) [\(2008](#page--1-10)) 16 extended the model to time series area. [Ling](#page--1-11) [et](#page--1-11) [al.](#page--1-11) ([2017\)](#page--1-11) proposed a k-nearest-neighbours (kNN) procedure and derived the $\frac{1}{17}$

* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2018.07.007> 0167-7152/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

 \hat{x} The research was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11571112, 11701360), Program of Shanghai Subject Chief Scientist (14XD1401600), the 111 Project of China (B14019), Research Innovation Program for ECNU Graduates (ykc17083), the Natural Science Foundation of the Anhui Provincial Department of Education (KJ2017A424, KJ2018A0424) and the Anhui Province Natural Science Foundation (1508085QA14).

E-mail address: zhangriquan@163.com (R. Zhang).

STAPRO: 8286

2 *H. Ding et al. / Statistics and Probability Letters xx (xxxx) xxx–xxx*

asymptotic performances of kNN estimators. [Aneiros](#page--1-12) [et](#page--1-12) [al.](#page--1-12) [\(2015](#page--1-12)) extend the model to high-dimensional framework. They ² proposed penalized least-squares method to study the problem of variable selection and derived an oracle property.

The above-mentioned references are all focused on mean regression. It is known that mean regression is sensitive ⁴ to outliers. Quantile regression is usually recognized as an alternative to mean regression. Quantile regression is more robust than mean regression. There is few literatures on quantile-regression-based estimation procedures in the functional regression model. [Cardot](#page--1-13) [et](#page--1-13) [al.](#page--1-13) ([2005](#page--1-13)) proposed a spline estimator for functional linear quantile regression model. [Chen](#page--1-14) [and](#page--1-14) [Müller](#page--1-14) [\(2012](#page--1-14)) studied a estimation method for conditional quantile analysis in the generalized functional regression framework. [Kato](#page--1-15) [et](#page--1-15) [al.](#page--1-15) [\(2012\)](#page--1-15) studied quantile estimation in functional linear quantile regression model. In this paper, we ⁹ study quantile regression of semi-functional partial linear model. To the best of our knowledge, this method has not been ¹⁰ researched in the scientific literature. Since the model is flexible in practice, quantile regression method is urgently needed, ¹¹ which motivates us to investigate quantile regression of estimation of the model.

 In this paper, we use quantile regression method to estimate the nonparametric function and regression coefficient of the model. We also extend the proposed method to kNN quantile method. Under some regular conditions, we establish the 14 asymptotic normality of estimators of regression coefficient and derive the rates of convergence of nonparametric function. A Monte Carlo simulation and an application to spectrometric data show the advantages of our proposed method.

¹⁶ The article is organized as follows. Section [2](#page-1-0) describes our model and our estimation method. Sections [3](#page--1-16) and [4](#page--1-17) present 17 asymptotic properties and finite sample performance of the proposed estimators respectively. Section [5](#page--1-18) provides an 18 application to spectrometric data. Concluding remarks are provided in Section [6.](#page--1-19) Technical proofs are given in an [Appendix](#page--1-20).

¹⁹ **2. Model and estimation**

²⁰ *2.1. Model*

21 Given quantile level $\tau \in (0, 1)$, we consider the following semi-functional partial linear quantile regression model

$$
Y = m_{\tau}(X) + \mathbf{Z}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\tau} + \varepsilon_{\tau}, \tag{1}
$$

23 where *X* is functional covariate that taking values in semi-metric space \mathscr{F} , and we denote the associated semi-metric by $d(\cdot,\cdot)$, $m_\tau:\mathscr{F}\to\mathscr{R}$ is a unknown smooth function, $\bm{Z}=(Z_1,\ldots,Z_p)^\top$ are p -dimensional random vector of scalar covariates, $\bm{\beta}_{\tau}=(\beta_{1\tau},\ldots,\beta_{p\tau})^\top$ are unknown coefficients of scalar covariates, ε_τ is a random error whose τ th quantile conditional on 26 (Z, X) being zero.

²⁷ *2.2. Estimation*

Suppose that $\{(Y_i, X_i, Z_i), i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is a random sample generated from model [\(1\).](#page-1-1) We estimate coefficients β_{τ} and $_{29}$ function $m_{\tau}(\cdot)$ in model [\(1\),](#page-1-1) by minimizing the following quantile loss function

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_\tau \left(Y_i - m_\tau(X_i) - \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_\tau \right), \qquad (2)
$$

31 where $\rho_{\tau}(s) = s\{\tau - I(s < 0)\}.$

 Obviously, [\(2\)](#page-1-2) contains both nonparametric and parametric component. And they can be estimated by different rates of convergence, so we use three-stage procedure. In the first stage, we apply the local constant weighted quantile smoothing 34 technique to get an initial estimators. That is, we obtain an initial estimators of $m_\tau(X_i)$ and β_τ by minimizing the following local weighted quantile loss function

$$
\sum_{i:i\neq j}\rho_{\tau}\left(Y_{i}-a_{\tau j}-\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\tau}\right)K_{h_{0}}(d(X_{i},X_{j})),\tag{3}
$$

where $K_{h_0}(\cdot) = K(\cdot/h_0)/h_0$ and $K(\cdot)$ is a kernel function and h_0 is a bandwidth. For convenience, we denote the initial $_3$ estimators of $a_{\tau j},$ β_{τ} by $\tilde{a}_{\tau j},$ $\tilde{\beta}_{\tau}.$ In the second stage, we further improve the efficiency of $\tilde{\beta}_{\tau}.$ Specifically, we derive the 39 final estimator of β_{τ} by minimizing the following quantile loss function

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{\tau} \left(Y_{j} - \tilde{a}_{\tau j} - \mathbf{Z}_{j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\tau} \right).
$$
\n
$$
(4)
$$

41 Denote the final estimator of $\pmb{\beta}_\tau$ by $\hat{\pmb{\beta}}_\tau$. In the third stage, we obtain the final estimator of $m_\tau(x)$. More concretely, we obtain the final estimator of $m_{\tau}(x)$ by minimizing the following local weighted quantile loss function

$$
A_3 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_\tau \left(Y_i - a_\tau - \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\tau \right) K_h(d(X_i, x)). \tag{5}
$$

44 Denote the final estimators of $a_τ$ by $\hat{a}_τ$. Evidently, $\hat{a}_τ$ are the final estimator of $m_τ(x)$.

Please cite this article in press as: Ding H., et al., Semi-functional partial linear quantile regression. Statistics and Probability Letters (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2018.07.007.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7547843>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7547843>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)