



# Random walks in I.I.D. random environment on Cayley trees



Siva Athreya<sup>a</sup>, Antar Bandyopadhyay<sup>b,c,\*</sup>, Amites Dasgupta<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile Mysore Road, Bangalore 560059, India

<sup>b</sup> Indian Statistical Institute, 7 S. J. S. Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India

<sup>c</sup> Indian Statistical Institute, 203 Barrackpore Trunk Road, Kolkata 700 108, India

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 29 October 2013

Received in revised form 23 April 2014

Accepted 25 April 2014

Available online 9 May 2014

### MSC:

primary 60K37

60J10

05C81

### Keywords:

Random walk on Cayley trees

Random walk in random environment

Trees

Transience

## ABSTRACT

We consider the random walk in an *i.i.d.* random environment on the infinite  $d$ -regular tree for  $d \geq 3$ . We consider the tree as a Cayley graph of the free product of finitely many copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and define the *i.i.d.* environment as invariant under the action of this group. Under a mild non-degeneracy assumption we show that the walk is always transient.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this short note we consider a random walk in random environment (RWRE) model on a regular tree with degree  $d \geq 3$ , where the environment at the vertices is *independent* and is also “*identically distributed*” (*i.i.d.*). We make this notion of *i.i.d. environment* rigorous by first defining a translation invariant model on a group  $G$  which is a free product of finitely many groups,  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$  and  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r$ , where each  $G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $H_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  with  $d = 2k + r$ . Observing the fact that the Cayley graph of  $G$  is a regular tree with degree  $d$ , we transfer back the model on the  $d$ -regular tree we started with. We prove that under a mild non-degeneracy assumption such a walk is always transient.

### 1.1. Basic setup

**Cayley graph:** Let  $G$  be a group defined above, that is,  $G$  is a free product of  $k + r \geq 2$  groups, namely  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$  with  $k \geq 0$  and  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r$  with  $r \geq 0$ , where each  $G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $H_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $d = 2k + r \geq 3$ . Suppose  $G_i = \langle a_i \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $H_j = \langle b_j \rangle$  where  $b_j^2 = e$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Here by  $\langle a \rangle$  we mean the group generated by a single element  $a$ . Let  $S := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\} \cup \{a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, \dots, a_k^{-1}\} \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\}$  be a generating set for  $G$ . We note that  $S$  is a symmetric set, that is,  $s \in S \iff s^{-1} \in S$ .

\* Corresponding author at: Indian Statistical Institute, 7 S. J. S. Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India. Tel.: +91 11 4149 3932; fax: +91 11 4149 3981.

E-mail addresses: [athreya@isibang.ac.in](mailto:athreya@isibang.ac.in) (S. Athreya), [antar@isid.ac.in](mailto:antar@isid.ac.in) (A. Bandyopadhyay), [amites@isical.ac.in](mailto:amites@isical.ac.in) (A. Dasgupta).

URL: <http://www.isid.ac.in/~antar/> (A. Bandyopadhyay).

We now define a graph  $\bar{G}$  with vertex set  $G$  and edge set  $E := \{ \{x, y\} \mid yx^{-1} \in S \}$ . Such a graph  $\bar{G}$  is called a (left) Cayley Graph of  $G$  with respect to the generating set  $S$ . Since  $G$  is a free product of groups which are isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , it is easy to see that  $\bar{G}$  is a graph with no cycles and is regular with degree  $d$ , thus it is isomorphic to the  $d$ -regular infinite tree which we will denote by  $\mathbb{T}_d$ . We will abuse the terminology a bit and will write  $\mathbb{T}_d$  for the Cayley graph of  $G$ . We will consider the identity element  $e$  of  $G$  as the root of  $\mathbb{T}_d$ . We will write  $N(x)$  for the set of all neighbors of a vertex  $x \in \mathbb{T}_d$ . Notationally,  $N(x) = \{y \in G \mid yx^{-1} \in S\}$ . Observe that from definition  $N(e) = S$ . For  $x \in G$ , define the mapping  $\theta_x : G \rightarrow G$  by  $\theta_x(y) = yx$ , then  $\theta_x$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{T}_d$ . We will call  $\theta_x$  the translation by  $x$ . For a vertex  $x \in \mathbb{T}_d$  and  $x \neq e$ , we denote by  $|x|$ , the length of the unique path from the root  $e$  to  $x$  and  $|e| = 0$ . Further, if  $x \in \mathbb{T}_d$  and  $x \neq e$ , then we define  $\bar{x}$  as the parent of  $x$ , that is, the penultimate vertex on the unique path from  $e$  to  $x$ .

**Random Environment:** Let  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}_e$  be a collection of probability measures on the  $d$  elements of  $N(e) = S$ . To simplify the presentation and avoid various measurability issues, we assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a Polish space (including the possibilities that  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite or countably infinite). For each  $x \in \mathbb{T}_d$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_x$  is the push-forward of the space  $\mathcal{S}$  under the translation  $\theta_x$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S}_x := \mathcal{S} \circ \theta_x^{-1}$ . Note that the probabilities on  $\mathcal{S}_x$  have support on  $N(x)$ . That is to say, an element  $\omega(x, \cdot)$  of  $\mathcal{S}_x$ , is a probability measure satisfying

$$\omega(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{T}_d \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{y \in N(x)} \omega(x, y) = 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{S}_x}$  denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{S}_x$ . The environment space is defined as the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  where

$$\Omega := \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}_d} \mathcal{S}_x, \quad \mathcal{F} := \bigotimes_{x \in \mathbb{T}_d} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{S}_x}. \quad (1)$$

An element  $\omega \in \Omega$  will be written as  $\left\{ \omega(x, \cdot) \mid x \in \mathbb{T}_d \right\}$ . An environment distribution is a probability  $P$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . We will denote by  $E$  the expectation taken with respect to the probability measure  $P$ .

**Random Walk:** Given an environment  $\omega \in \Omega$ , a random walk  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a time homogeneous Markov chain taking values in  $\mathbb{T}_d$  with transition probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}_\omega(X_{n+1} = y \mid X_n = x) = \omega(x, y).$$

Let  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{P}_\omega^x$  the law induced by  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  on  $((\mathbb{T}_d)^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets, such that

$$\mathbf{P}_\omega^x(X_0 = x) = 1. \quad (2)$$

The probability measure  $\mathbf{P}_\omega^x$  is called the *quenched law* of the random walk  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , starting at  $x$ . We will use the notation  $\mathbf{E}_\omega^x$  for the expectation under the quenched measure  $\mathbf{P}_\omega^x$ .

Following Zeitouni (2004), we note that for every  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ , the function

$$\omega \mapsto \mathbf{P}_\omega^x(B)$$

is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Hence, we may define the measure  $\mathbb{P}^x$  on  $(\Omega \times (\mathbb{T}_d)^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})$  by the relation

$$\mathbb{P}^x(A \times B) = \int_A \mathbf{P}_\omega^x(B) P(d\omega), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{G}.$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we also denote the marginal of  $\mathbb{P}^x$  on  $(\mathbb{T}_d)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^x$ , whenever no confusion occurs. This probability distribution is called the *annealed law* of the random walk  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , starting at  $x$ . We will use the notation  $\mathbb{E}^x$  for the expectation under the annealed measure  $\mathbb{P}^x$ .

## 1.2. Main results

Throughout this paper we will assume that the following holds:

(A1)  $P$  is a product measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with “identical” marginals, that is, under  $P$  the random probability laws  $\left\{ \omega(x, \cdot) \mid x \in \mathbb{T}_d \right\}$  are independent and “identically” distributed in the sense that

$$P \circ \theta_x^{-1} = P, \quad (3)$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

(A2) For all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$E[|\log \omega(e, s_i)|] < \infty. \quad (4)$$

It is worth noting that under this assumption  $\omega(x, y) > 0$  almost surely (a.s.) with respect to the measure  $P$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{T}_d$  and  $y \in N(x)$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7549576>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7549576>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)