



Domain and range symmetries of operator fractional Brownian fields[☆]

Gustavo Didier^{a,*}, Mark M. Meerschaert^b, Vlasdas Pipiras^c

^a *Mathematics Department, Tulane University, 6823 St. Charles Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA*

^b *Department of Statistics and Probability, Michigan State University, 619 Red Cedar Road, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA*

^c *Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, CB#3260, Hanes Hall, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA*

Received 5 September 2016; accepted 12 April 2017

Available online xxxx

Abstract

An operator fractional Brownian field (OFBF) is a Gaussian, stationary increment \mathbb{R}^n -valued random field on \mathbb{R}^m that satisfies the operator self-similarity property $\{X(c^E t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{c^H X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m}$, $c > 0$, for two matrix exponents (E, H) . In this paper, we characterize the domain and range symmetries of OFBF, respectively, as maximal groups with respect to equivalence classes generated by orbits and, based on a new anisotropic polar-harmonizable representation of OFBF, as intersections of centralizers. We also describe the sets of possible pairs of domain and range symmetry groups in dimensions $(m, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 60G18; 60G15

Keywords: Operator fractional Brownian field; Symmetry group; Anisotropy; Operator scaling; Operator self-similarity; Long range dependence

[☆] The first author was supported in part by the ARO grant W911NF-14-1-0475. The second author was supported in part by the NSF grants DMS-1462156 and EAR-1344280 and the ARO grant W911NF-15-1-0562. The third author was supported in part by the NSA grant H98230-13-1-0220. The first author would like to thank Karl H. Hofmann and Mahir B. Can for the enlightening discussions.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: gdidier@tulane.edu (G. Didier), mcubed@stt.msu.edu (M.M. Meerschaert), pipiras@email.unc.edu (V. Pipiras).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2017.04.003>

0304-4149/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A random vector is called *full* if its distribution is not supported on a lower dimensional hyperplane. A random field $X = \{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ with values in \mathbb{R}^n is called *proper* if $X(t)$ is full for all $t \neq 0$. A linear operator P on \mathbb{R}^m is called a projection if $P^2 = P$. Any nontrivial projection $P \neq I$ maps \mathbb{R}^m onto a lower dimensional subspace. We say that a random field X is *degenerate* if there exists a nontrivial projection P such that $X(t) = X(Pt)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We say that X is *stochastically continuous* if $X(t_n) \rightarrow X(t)$ in probability whenever $t_n \rightarrow t$. A proper, nondegenerate, and stochastically continuous random vector field X is called *operator self-similar* (o.s.s.) if

$$\{X(c^E t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{c^H X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad \text{for all } c > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

In (1.1), $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=}$ indicates equality of finite-dimensional distributions, $E \in M(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $H \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$, where $M(p, \mathbb{R})$ represents the space of real-valued $p \times p$ matrices, and $c^M = \exp(M(\log c)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (M \log c)^k / k!$ for a square matrix M . For a univariate stochastic process (namely, $(m, n) = (1, 1)$), the relation (1.1) is called self-similarity (see, for example, [17,35]).

An *operator fractional Brownian field* (OFBF, in short) is an \mathbb{R}^n -valued random field $X = \{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ satisfying the following three properties: (i) it is Gaussian with mean zero; (ii) it is o.s.s.; (iii) it has stationary increments, that is, for any $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\{X(t+h) - X(h)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{X(t) - X(0)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m}$. When $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, OFBF is the celebrated fractional Brownian motion, widely used in applications due to the long-range dependence property of its increments (see [34,16]). When $m = 1, n \geq 1$, OFBF is known as operator fractional Brownian motion (OFBM).

The theory of o.s.s. stochastic processes ($m = 1, n \geq 1$) was developed by Laha and Rohatgi [24] and Hudson and Mason [21], see also Chapter 11 in [29]. OFBM was studied by Didier and Pipiras [13] (see also [1,33,22,23] on the related subject of multivariate long-range dependent time series). For scalar fields (namely, $m \geq 1, n = 1$), the analogues of fractional Brownian motion and fractional stable motion were studied in depth by Biermé et al. [7], with related work and applications found in [4,8,25,6,5,19,9,10,28,15,32]. Li and Xiao [26] proved important results on o.s.s. random vector fields. Baek et al. [2] bridged the gap between harmonizable and moving average integral representations for OFBF.

The *domain and range symmetries* of a proper, nondegenerate random field X starting at zero are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^{\text{dom}}(X) &:= \left\{ A \in GL(m, \mathbb{R}) : \{X(At)\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{X(t)\} \right\}, \\ G_1^{\text{ran}}(X) &:= \left\{ B \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : \{BX(t)\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{X(t)\} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $GL(k, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the general linear group of invertible $k \times k$ matrices. Cohen et al. [11] and Didier and Pipiras [14], respectively, characterized the range symmetries of operator stable Lévy processes and OFBM.

Symmetry is an important modeling consideration, and a useful guide to model selection (see [27] on Markov processes and [30] on measures). In particular, the interest in the study of symmetries is tightly connected to two major themes: (a) anisotropy, i.e., when $G_1^{\text{dom}}(X)$ is not the orthogonal group, and its applications in several fields such as bone radiographic imaging and hydrology; and (b) the parametric identification of operator scaling laws, which depends on both $G_1^{\text{dom}}(X)$ and $G_1^{\text{ran}}(X)$. The latter theme is treated in detail for general o.s.s. random fields in the related paper [12]. In regard to the former, note that the term “anisotropy”, like “nonlinear”

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7550447>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7550447>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)