



Three-dimensional flow of a Jeffery fluid over a linearly stretching sheet

T. Hayat^{a,b}, M. Awais^{a,*}, S. Obaidat^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Quaid-I-Azam University 45320, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

^b Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 September 2010

Received in revised form 26 May 2011

Accepted 26 May 2011

Available online 12 June 2011

Keywords:

Jeffery fluid

Linearly stretching sheet

Three-dimensional flow

ABSTRACT

This investigation reports the three-dimensional flow of Jeffery fluid over a linearly stretching surface. Transformation method has been utilized for the reduction of partial differential equations into the ordinary differential equations. The solutions of the nonlinear systems are presented by a homotopy analysis method (HAM). The reported graphical results are analyzed. A comparative study with the previous results of viscous fluid in the literature is made.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Interest of the researchers in the flows of non-Newtonian fluids is on the leading edge during the last few decades. Such interest in fact is accelerated because of a broad range of applications of non-Newtonian fluids in the various disciplines, for instance in biological sciences, geophysics, chemical and petroleum industries. The Navier–Stokes equations cannot adequately describe the flow of non-Newtonian fluids. The constitutive equations are able to predict the rheological characteristics. In view of rheological parameters, the constitutive equations in the non-Newtonian fluids are more complex and thus give rise the equations which are complicated than the Navier–Stokes equations. The versatile nature of fluids does not provide a single constitutive equation by which all the non-Newtonian fluids can be studied. Hence several constitutive equations have been considered by the various researchers [1–10] in the field.

There is extensive literature available on the two-dimensional and axisymmetric flows over a stretching surface since the seminal works of Sakiadis [11,12]. The three-dimensional flow over a stretching surface has not been extensively discussed so far. Ariel [13] found the homotopy perturbation and exact solutions for the three-dimensional flow of a viscous fluid over a stretched surface. The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) three-dimensional viscous flow over a porous stretching surface has been reported by Hayat and Javed [14]. Xu et al. [15] analyzed the MHD and heat transfer effects on the time-dependent three-dimensional flow over on impulsively stretching plate. Hayat and Awais [16] discussed the three-dimensional flow of a Maxwell fluid over a stretching surface.

The purpose of current investigation is to venture further in the regime of three-dimensional flows of the non-Newtonian fluids over a linearly stretching surface. Thus, we consider Jeffery fluid in this paper. The Jeffrey model [17–20] is relatively simpler linear model using time derivatives instead of convected derivatives for example the Maxwell model or an Oldroyd-B model does. This fluid model represents a rheology different from the Newtonian fluid. The paper is organized in the following pattern. Section 2 contains the formulation. The series solution by the homotopy analysis method (HAM) [21–26] has been developed and the related convergence analysis is presented in Section 3. The discussion regarding graphs is also included in the same section.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +92 51 90642172.

E-mail address: awais_mm@yahoo.com (M. Awais).

2. Definition of the problem

We study an incompressible three-dimensional flow of a Jeffery fluid over a linearly stretching sheet at $z = 0$. The fluid occupies the space $z > 0$ and the motion of fluid is due to non-conducting stretching sheet. The constitutive expressions in a Jeffery fluid satisfy

$$\mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{\mu}{1 + \lambda_1} (\dot{\mathbf{r}} + \lambda_2 \ddot{\mathbf{r}}), \quad (2)$$

in which p denotes the pressure, \mathbf{I} the identity tensor, μ the dynamic viscosity, λ_1 the ratio of relaxation and retardation times, λ_2 the retardation time, dots over the quantities denote material differentiation and

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \nabla \mathbf{V} + (\nabla \mathbf{V})^T, \quad (3)$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}), \quad (4)$$

where $\frac{d}{dt}$ is the material differentiation.

The continuity equation and equation of motion under the assumptions associated with the boundary layer flow yield

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{v}{1 + \lambda_1} \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} + \lambda_2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} + u \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial z^2} + v \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y \partial z^2} + w \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial z^3} \right) \right], \quad (6)$$

$$u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{v}{1 + \lambda_1} \left[\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} + \lambda_2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} + u \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x \partial z^2} + v \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y \partial z^2} + w \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial z^3} \right) \right], \quad (7)$$

with the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u = u_w(x) = ax, \quad v = v_w(y) = by, \quad w = 0 \text{ at } z = 0, \\ u \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where u , v and w are the velocities in the x , y and z directions, respectively, ν the kinematic viscosity and the constants $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

If prime denotes differentiation with respect to η then setting

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{a}{\nu}} z, \quad u = axf'(\eta), \quad v = ayg'(\eta), \quad w = -\sqrt{av}\{f(\eta) + g(\eta)\} \quad (9)$$

Eq. (1) is automatically satisfied and Eqs. (5)–(8) give

$$f''' + (1 + \lambda_1) \left[(f + g)f'' - f'^2 \right] + \beta \left[f''^2 - (f + g)f''' - g'f''' \right] = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$g''' + (1 + \lambda_1) \left[(f + g)g'' - g'^2 \right] + \beta \left[g''^2 - (f + g)g''' - f'g''' \right] = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) = 0, \quad g(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad g'(0) = c, \text{ at } \eta = 0, \\ f'(\infty) = 0, \quad g'(\infty) = 0, \quad f''(\infty) = 0, \quad g''(\infty) = 0, \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

in which the Deborah number β and the stretching ratio c are defined by

$$\beta = \lambda_2 a, \quad c = b/a. \quad (13)$$

It is noticed that the two-dimensional system ($g = 0$) can be recovered for $c = 0$ and is given by

$$f''' + (1 + \lambda_1) \left[ff'' - f'^2 \right] + \beta \left[f''^2 - ff''' \right] = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \text{ at } \eta = 0, \\ f'(\infty) = 0, \quad f''(\infty) = 0 \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For axisymmetric flow ($f = g$) and $c = 1$ and thus (10) reduces to

$$f''' + (1 + \lambda_1) \left[2ff'' - f'^2 \right] + \beta \left[f''^2 - 2ff''' - f'f''' \right] = 0, \quad (16)$$

with the boundary conditions (15).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/759634>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/759634>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)