



Thermoeconomic optimization of a Kalina cycle for a central receiver concentrating solar power plant



Anish Modi*, Martin Ryhl Kærn, Jesper Graa Andreasen, Fredrik Haglind

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Nils Koppels Allé, Building 403, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 October 2015

Accepted 21 February 2016

Keywords:

Kalina cycle
Ammonia-water mixture
Thermoeconomic optimization
Concentrating solar power
Central receiver

ABSTRACT

Concentrating solar power plants use a number of reflecting mirrors to focus and convert the incident solar energy to heat, and a power cycle to convert this heat into electricity. This paper evaluates the use of a high temperature Kalina cycle for a central receiver concentrating solar power plant with direct vapour generation and without storage. The use of the ammonia-water mixture as the power cycle working fluid with non-isothermal evaporation and condensation presents the potential to improve the overall performance of the plant. This however comes at a price of requiring larger heat exchangers because of lower thermal pinch and heat transfer degradation for mixtures as compared with using a pure fluid in a conventional steam Rankine cycle, and the necessity to use a complex cycle arrangement. Most of the previous studies on the Kalina cycle focused solely on the thermodynamic aspects of the cycle, thereby comparing cycles which require different investment costs. In this study, the economic aspect and the part-load performance are also considered for a thorough evaluation of the Kalina cycle. A thermoeconomic optimization was performed by minimizing the levelized cost of electricity. The different Kalina cycle simulations resulted in the levelized costs of electricity between $212.2 \text{ \$ MWh}^{-1}$ and $218.9 \text{ \$ MWh}^{-1}$. For a plant of same rated capacity, the state-of-the-art steam Rankine cycle has a levelized cost of electricity of $181.0 \text{ \$ MWh}^{-1}$. Therefore, when considering both the thermodynamic and the economic perspectives, the results suggest that it is not beneficial to use the Kalina cycle for high temperature concentrating solar power plants.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Concentrating solar power (CSP) plants are regarded as a viable solution for large scale clean electricity production [1]. One of the biggest challenges faced by the CSP industry today, as compared with the contemporary fossil fuel based alternatives, is the high cost of electricity production. A CSP plant uses a number of reflecting mirrors to focus and convert the incident solar energy to heat, and a power cycle to convert this heat into electricity. In addition, a thermal energy storage system could also be present to store excess heat and use it in times of little or no sunshine. The large investment costs of the CSP plants can be driven down by research in any of these areas through the development of more cost-effective components and improved system designs. One such possibility is the use of ammonia-water mixtures in the CSP plant with a Kalina cycle. The Kalina cycle was introduced in 1984 [2] as an alternative to the conventional steam Rankine cycle to be used as a bottoming cycle for combined cycle power plants. The composi-

tion of the ammonia-water mixture used in the cycle is defined by the *ammonia mass fraction*, i.e. the ratio of the mass of ammonia in the mixture to the total mass of the mixture. The change in the mixture composition affects the thermodynamic and the transport properties of the mixture [3]. Since its introduction, several uses for the Kalina cycle have been proposed in the literature for low temperature applications. Examples include their use in geothermal power plants [4], for waste heat recovery [5–8], for exhaust heat recovery in a gas turbine modular helium reactor [9], in combined heat and power plants [10,11], with a coal-fired steam power plant for exhaust heat recovery [12], as a part of Brayton-Rankine-Kalina triple cycle [13], and in solar plants [14–16]. For high temperature applications, the Kalina cycles have been investigated to be used as gas turbine bottoming cycles [17–20], for industrial waste heat recovery, biomass based cogeneration and gas engine waste heat recovery [21], for direct-fired cogeneration applications [22], and in CSP plants [23–26].

The feasibility of using ammonia-water mixtures at high temperatures has been questioned due to the nitridation effect resulting in the corrosion of the equipment [27]. However, the use of an ammonia-water mixture as the working fluid at high temperature

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 45251910.

E-mail address: anmod@mek.dtu.dk (A. Modi).

Nomenclature

A	area (m ²)	cos	cosine effect
α_{rec}	receiver absorptivity	cw	condenser cooling water
C	cost (\$)	d	design
\hat{C}	specific cost (\$ kW ⁻¹)	el	electrical equipment and material
CRF	capital recovery factor	eqp	power cycle equipment
CSP	concentrating solar power	fix	fixed
D	diameter (m)	gen	generator
DNI	direct normal irradiance (W m ⁻²)	hx	heat exchanger
E	electricity (MWh)	inc	energy available on the receiver surface before receiver thermal loss
η	efficiency	insec	instrumentation and control
f	factor, defined locally where used	inst	installation
F_{cu}	copper loss fraction	inv	investment
GA	genetic algorithm	is	isentropic
H	height (m)	land	required land area
k_d	real debt interest rate	loss	loss
k_i	insurance rate	m	mechanical
k_{tur}	turbine constant (kg K ^{0.5} s ⁻¹ bar ⁻¹)	M&S	Marshall and Swift equipment cost index
LCOE	levelized cost of electricity (\$ MWh ⁻¹)	min	minimum
\dot{m}	mass flow rate (kg s ⁻¹)	misc	power cycle miscellaneous cost
N	rotation speed (rpm)	mx	mixer
N_p	plant lifetime in years	net	net electrical power output
O&M	operations and maintenance	PC	power cycle
p	pressure (bar)	pip	piping
π	universal constant, 3.1416	pp	pinch point
\dot{Q}	rate of heat transfer (MW)	pres	pressure
ρ_{col}	heliostat mirror (collector) reflectivity	pu	pump
T	temperature (°C)	re	recuperator
\bar{T}	average temperature (°C)	rec	solar central receiver
U	overall heat transfer coefficient (W m ⁻² K ⁻¹)	sep	separator
\dot{W}	mechanical or electrical power (MW)	SF	solar field
X	vapour quality (kg kg ⁻¹)	sha	shadowing
x	ammonia mass fraction (kg ⁻¹)	site	plant site improvement
ζ	relative load	sol	available solar energy
<i>Subscripts and components</i>		spg	spillage
abs	absorbed energy by receiver working fluid	spl	splitter
atm	atmospheric transmittance	temp	temperature
blo	blocking	th	thermal
cd	condenser	thv	throttle valve
CF	cost function	tow	tower
cln	mirror cleanliness	tur	turbine
cnt	contingency	var	variable
col	heliostat mirror collector	y	yearly or annual

has been successfully demonstrated at the Canoga Park demonstration plant with turbine inlet conditions of 515 °C and 110 bar [28]. Moreover, a patent by Kalina [29] claims the stability of ammonia-water mixtures along with the prevention of nitridation for plant operation preferably up to 1093 °C and 689.5 bar using suitable additives. Water itself prevents the ammonia in the mixture from corroding the equipment up to about 400 °C, and above this temperature the amount of the additive is far below the damage threshold [30].

The motivation behind the current study is that the irreversibility during a heat transfer process can be reduced by using a zeotropic mixture, which evaporates and condenses at a varying temperature, contrary to the isothermal evaporation and condensation of a pure fluid [31]. In addition, using a mixture instead of a pure fluid presents an additional degree of freedom in terms of varying the mixture composition in order to obtain better performance from the power cycle. In the previous studies, the Kalina

cycle has been evaluated primarily considering the thermodynamic performance of the cycle based on the cycle energy and exergy efficiencies. The reduction in the irreversibility during the heat transfer process with a fluid mixture however comes at a price of increased heat exchanger areas and the need to use a complex cycle layout. These compromises have economic consequences as compared with using a pure fluid. This study focuses on the quantification of these consequences. The primary objective of this paper is to thermoeconomically evaluate the use of a Kalina cycle for a central receiver CSP plant with direct vapour generation and without storage. The presented thermoeconomic optimization methodology includes (1) the thermodynamic design of the Kalina cycle and the solar field, (2) their part-load performances, and (3) the economic model including the cost functions for estimating the capital investment and the operations and maintenance (O&M) costs. The results from the thermoeconomic optimization of the Kalina cycle are presented and briefly compared with those

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/760309>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/760309>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)