International Journal of Mass Spectrometry xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Mass Spectrometry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijms

Polar effects control the gas-phase reactivity of charged para-benzyne analogs

Ashley M. Wittrig, Enada F. Archibold, Huaming Sheng, John J. Nash, Hilkka I. Kenttämaa* 3 **Q1**

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

ARTICLE INFO 80

Article history: Received 29 March 2014 Accepted 24 April 2014 10 Available online xxx 11 12

Keywords: 13

14 Dual-linear quadrupole ion trap

Ion-molecule reactions 15

- 16 Reactivity
- 17 para-Benzynes 18 Enedivnes
- Mass spectrometry 19

1. Introduction 21

Research on the biological activity of enediyne anticancer antibi-22 otics has been at the forefront of cancer research for many decades 23 because of the ability of these molecules to irreversibly cleave 24 double-stranded DNA [1–4]. The enediynes can intercalate into a 25 double-stranded DNA molecule and undergo Bergman-cyclization 26 to form a σ -type carbon-centered biradical intermediate, usually a 27 para-benzyne analog. This highly reactive molecule can abstract a 28 hydrogen atom from each DNA strand, causing irreversible cleav-29 age of the double-stranded DNA and ultimately leading to apoptosis 30 of the cell [1–4]. However, these antibiotics have been found to be 31 extremely cytotoxic [3,5]. In order to be able to rationally design 32 less toxic synthetic drugs, knowledge of the chemical properties of 33 para-benzyne analogs is essential. 34

Because of their short lifetimes, high reactivity, and difficulties 35 in generation, the chemical properties of σ -type carbon-centered 36 aromatic σ , σ -biradicals, such as *para*-benzynes, have proven chal-37 lenging to study [6–8]. One method of overcoming these challenges 38 is the "distonic ion approach" [9-13], a technique that involves 39 attaching a chemically inert charged group to the reactive interme-40 diate to allow for mass spectrometric manipulation. The charged 41 group is located on a different atom than either of the radical 42

ABSTRACT

The gas-phase reactivity of charged para-benzynes is entirely unexplored as they and/or their precursors tend to undergo ring-opening upon their generation. We report here a gas-phase reactivity study of two such benzynes, the 2,5-didehydropyridinium and 5,8-didehydro-isoquinolinium cations, generated in a modified dual-linear quadrupole ion trap (DLQIT) mass spectrometer. Both biradicals were found to form diagnostic products with organic molecules, indicating the presence of two radical sites. As opposed to earlier predictions that the singlet-triplet (S-T) splitting controls the radical reactivity of such species, the 2,5-didehydropyridinium cation reacts much faster in spite of its larger S-T splitting. Calculated vertical electron affinities of the radical sites of the para-benzynes, a parameter related to the polarity of the transition states of their reactions, appears to be the most important reactivity controlling factor.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

60

61

62

63

66

67

sites. This approach has been successfully utilized to characterize the reactivity of many meta-benzyne analogs in Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometers [14-16]. Unfortunately, the generation of charged para-benzyne analogs in FT-ICR instruments has been unsuccessful [17]. For example, the attempted generation of the radical sites in 1 and 2 (Scheme 1) via the cleavage of two iodine atoms in 2,5-diiodopyridinium and 5.8-diiodoisoquinolinium cation precursors, respectively, involved two collision-activated dissociation (CAD) events to cleave the C-I bonds in a consecutive manner. However, the monoradical intermediates formed upon loss of one iodine atom were found to undergo ring-opening faster than iodine atom loss upon CAD, eventually forming enediynes (3 and 4; Scheme 1) instead of the isomeric para-benzynes [17].

In the present study, stable *para*-benzyne analogs **1** and **2** (Scheme 1) were successfully generated in a novel dual-linear quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer (DLQIT) [18a-c]. Their Q3 59 reactivity toward allyl iodide, dimethyl disulfide, cyclohexane and tetra-hydrofuran is reported. To better understand the observations made for the para-benzyne analogs, their reactivities are compared to those of related monoradicals (Scheme 2).

2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 765 494 0882; fax: +1 765 494 0359. E-mail address: hilkka@purdue.edu (H.I. Kenttämaa). URL: http://www.chem.purdue.edu/hilkka/ (H.I. Kenttämaa).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2014.04.017 1387-3806/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

All reactions were carried out in a differentially pumped dual-LQIT tandem mass spectrometer (DLQIT) [18a,b] equipped with

G Model MASPEC 15166 1-5

2

A.M. Wittrig et al. / International Journal of Mass Spectrometry xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Scheme 1. para-Benzynes 1 and 2 and the corresponding enediyne isomers 3 and 4

a manifold for neutral reagent introduction designed based on 68 a previously described apparatus [18c]. This instrument consists 70 of two differentially pumped Thermo Scientific linear quadrupole ion trap (LQIT) systems that have been connected via an ion 71 transfer octupole encased in a machined manifold. Radical and 72 biradical iodo-precursors were introduced and ionized by proto-73 nation via atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and 74 the radical sites were formed by ion-source collision-activated dis-75 sociation (CAD) of iodine atoms with nitrogen collision gas. The 76 (bi)radicals were transferred into the first linear quadrupole ion 77 trap and allowed to react with each reagent for varying periods of 78 time to determine reaction products and efficiencies, as previously 79 described for FT-ICR instruments [14]. 80

3. Results and discussion 81

The reactivity of the para-benzynes, 1 and 2, was expected to be 82 83 similar to that of related monoradicals but lower due to the stabi-84 lizing coupling of the (singlet) biradical electrons [19,20]. When the ions having m/z values of **1** and **2** were allowed to react with each 85 of the neutral reagents, fast proton transfer was observed, indica-86 tive of the presence of the enediynes 3 and 4, like in the earlier 87 study [17]. The only exception was cyclohexane, which has a proton 88 affinity too low to accept a proton from either protonated enediyne 89 [17]. However, a second, slowly reacting isomer was also observed 00 in each case (with the exception of cyclohexane for which only one 91 isomer was detected). The second isomer yields reaction products 92 similar to those of the related monoradicals. Hence, the second, 93 slowly reacting isomers are likely to be the desired para-benzynes 94 1 and 2. Their reactivity provides support for this hypothesis as it 95 is analogous to that of related monoradicals, as discussed below. 96

97 Monoradicals 5, 6, 7, and 8 show major reaction products, with branching ratios at or near 100%, that are diagnostic [21] for the 98 presence of a radical site, such as a H atom abstraction product 99 for cyclohexane and tetrahydrofuran, I atom abstraction product 100 for allyl iodide and SCH₃ abstraction product for dimethyl disulfide 101 102 (see Tables 1 and 2). The same primary reactions were observed for the para-benzynes. Furthermore, similar secondary reactions, iden-103 tified by isolating the primary products and allowing them to react 104 105 further, were observed for the *para*-benzynes with all four neutral reagents (Tables 1 and 2). This second set of abstractions reveals the 106 presence of the second radical site, hence unambiguously demon-107 strating that the reacting ions are biradicals. Both biradicals show 108 lower reactivity than the corresponding monoradicals, as expected, 109 due to the stabilizing coupling of the nonbonding electrons in these 110 (ground) singlet state biradicals Many additional reaction products 111 were also observed (Tables 1 and 2). For example, a product result-112 ing from the addition of the entire neutral reagent molecule to 113







Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for the addition of tetrahydrofuran to 2.

the biradical was observed for all reagents with 2 and all except cyclohexane and dimethyl disulfide with 1. In addition, a product resulting from abstraction of HSCH₃ from dimethyl disulfide was observed for both biradicals. In general, the formation of these products is an additional indication that these molecules contain a second radical site. For example, addition of tetrahydrofuran to the para-benzyne analogs likely occurs by initial hydrogen atom abstraction by one of the two radical sites in 1 and 2, followed by radical-radical recombination of the remaining radical site and the newly formed reagent radical (Scheme 3). Similarly, the product resulting from abstraction of HSCH₃ from dimethyl disulfide is likely initiated by SCH₃ abstraction by the more reactive radical site followed by H atom abstraction from the eliminated SCH₃ radical by the unquenched radical site in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ to form CH₂S.

A significantly larger amount of the enediyne isomer was observed for 1 than 2. For 2, ca. 2-7% of the ion population corresponds to the enediyne 4 whereas for 1, ca. 96-98% of the ion population corresponds to the enediyne, **3**. Previous calculations have shown that during the generation of these para-benzyne analogs, ring opening occurs upon CAD of the monoradical precursors formed via cleavage of the first C-I bond from the diiodoprecursors [17]. Hence, the cause for the large difference in the amounts of the enediyne isomers is likely the difference in the ring-opening barriers of the relevant monoradicals [17]. Indeed, the calculated ring opening barrier for the monoradical iodoprecursor of **1**, 53.5 kcal mol⁻¹, is lower than that for **2**, 56.8 kcal mol⁻¹ (both calculated at the (U)B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)//(U)B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory). Furthermore, loss of an iodine atom from the iodoprecursor to generate the para-benzyne requires more energy for **1** than **2** (65.0 and 63.5 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively) [17].

As for differences in reaction efficiencies, 1 reacts at least two orders of magnitude faster than 2 with each neutral reagent studied. The closest reaction efficiencies were found for cyclohexane, in which case 1 reacts with an efficiency of 0.1% and **2** with 0.002%. The magnitude of the S–T splitting has been used in the past to explain differences in reaction efficiencies for radical reactions of related (ground) singlet state biradicals [19,20,22,23]. Stronger coupling between the biradical nonbonding electrons has been suggested to lead to lower (radical) reactivity [19]. However, 1 and 2 do not follow the expected trend. Biradical **1** with a S–T splitting of -4.6 kcal mol⁻¹ (calculated at the RHF-BCCD(T)/cc-pVTZ//UB3LYP/cc-pVTZ (singlet state) or RHF-UCCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ//UB3LYP/cc-pVTZ (triplet state) level of theory) reacts much faster than **2** with a S–T splitting of -3.7 kcal mol⁻¹. However, the reactivity difference for 1 and 2 can be rationalized based on the electrophilicity of the radical sites, quantified here by the (calculated) vertical electron affinity (EA_v) of the

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

114

115

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7604600

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7604600

Daneshyari.com