



Energy and exergy assessments of a novel trigeneration system based on a solid oxide fuel cell



Faramarz Ranjbar^a, Ata Chitsaz^a, S.M.S. Mahmoudi^{a,*}, Shahram Khalilarya^b, Marc A. Rosen^c

^a Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Tabriz, Iran

^b Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Urmia, Iran

^c Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Ontario Institute of Technology, 2000 Simcoe Street North, Oshawa, Ontario L1H 7K4, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 May 2014

Accepted 2 July 2014

Available online 30 July 2014

Keywords:

Solid oxide fuel cell

Trigeneration

Current density

Efficiency

Exergy

Energy

Exergy destruction

ABSTRACT

Energy and exergy assessments are reported of a novel trigeneration system based on a solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC), for steady-state operation and using a zero-dimensional approach. The trigeneration system also includes a generator-absorber heat exchanger for cooling and a heat exchanger for the heating process. The influences of two significant SOFC parameters (current density and inlet flow temperature) on several variables are investigated. The results show that the energy efficiency is a minimum of 33% higher when using the trigeneration system compared with the SOFC power cycle. In addition, the maximum energy efficiencies are found to be 79% for the trigeneration system, 69% for the heating cogeneration, 58% for cooling cogeneration and 46% for electricity production. Moreover, the highest trigeneration exergy efficiency is almost 47% under the given conditions. It is also shown that, as SOFC current density increases, the exergy efficiencies decrease for the power cycle, cooling cogeneration, heating cogeneration and trigeneration. As current density increases, the trigeneration energy and exergy efficiencies decrease, and an optimal current density is observed to exist at which the net electrical power is a maximum. As SOFC inlet flow temperature increases, the trigeneration energy and exergy efficiencies and net electrical power increase to a peak and then decrease. The main exergy destructions occur in the air heat exchanger, the SOFC and the afterburner.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Accessibility of energy resources and global warming are two important long-term problems. Global energy demand has steadily risen over time, notwithstanding the limited availability of non-renewable fossil fuels. As a consequence, more efficient and clean energy systems are being sought. The energy efficiency of conventional power plants based on single prime mover is usually less than 40%, with most of the energy loss associated with waste heat. Combining cooling and heating subsystems in a conventional plant can increase the system efficiency considerably, and energy efficiencies can reach 80% through trigeneration [1,2], the simultaneous production of cooling, heating and electricity, often from one energy source. Trigeneration, also referred to as a combined cooling, heating and power (CCHP), offers a number of potential benefits:

- Increased efficiency.
- Reduced fuel and energy costs.
- Significantly reduced greenhouse gas emissions.
- Shortened transmission lines.
- Fewer distribution units.
- Increased power supply reliability.

The primary driver is an important part of a trigeneration system, making its selection important. The main primary drivers are gas turbines, steam turbines, external combustion engines, internal combustion engines, micro-turbines, and fuel cells. Among these, trigeneration based on fuel cells often achieves higher energy efficiency, in part because the fuel cell avoids Carnot efficiency limitations.

The solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) is considered an important emerging technology. It can produce electricity directly from a fuel, such as methane, and an oxidant, such as air. It operates at high temperatures and generates much waste heat, so it is commonly coupled with a gas turbine (GT) or an organic Rankine cycle (ORC) as a bottom cycle to improve the overall efficiency by

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 411 3392487; fax: +98 411 3354153.

E-mail address: S_mahmoudi@tabrizu.ac.ir (S.M.S. Mahmoudi).

Nomenclature

a	activity factor of species in electrochemical reaction	<i>Greek letters</i>	
A_a	active surface area, m^2	η	energy efficiency
D_{aeff}	effective gaseous diffusivity through anode, m^2/s	ψ	exergy efficiency
D_{ceff}	effective gaseous diffusivity through cathode, m^2/s	ρ	electrical resistivity of cell components
$\overline{ex}_i^{ch,0}$	standard chemical exergy of species, kJ/mol		
$\dot{E}x$	exergy rate, kW	<i>Subscripts</i>	
$\dot{E}x^{ch}$	rate of chemical exergy, kW	0	atmospheric condition
$\dot{E}x^{ph}$	rate of physical exergy, kW	a	anode
F	Faraday constant, C/mol	abs	absorber
$\Delta\bar{g}^0$	change in molar Gibbs free energy, J/mol	ac	AC current, air compressor
GAX	generator absorber heat exchanger	act	activation
\bar{h}	molar enthalpy, J/mol	AHE	air heat exchanger
I	current, A	c	cathode
\dot{I}	exergy destruction rate, kW	cog,c	cooling cogeneration
j	current density, A/m^2	cog,h	heating cogeneration
j_{as}	anode-limiting current density, A/m^2	conc	concentration
j_{cs}	cathode-limiting current density, A/m^2	cond	condenser
j_{oa}	exchange current density of anode, A/m^2	cv	control volume
j_{oc}	exchange current density of cathode, A/m^2	des	desorber
K	equilibrium constant of waste gas shift reaction	e	electrolyte, exit
L	thickness of SOFC layer, m	el	electrical power
LHV	lower heating value, kJ/mol	evap	evaporator
\dot{n}	molar flow rate, mol/s	fc	fuel compressor
N_{FC}	total number of fuel cells	FC	fuel cell
\dot{Q}	heat rate, kW	FHE	fuel heat exchanger
\bar{R}	universal gas constant, $J/mol K$	h	heating
$R_{contact}$	contact resistivity, Ωm^2	HR	heat recovery
$r_{el,h}$	electrical to heating ratio	in	inlet
$r_{el,c}$	electrical to cooling ratio	int	interconnect
\bar{s}	molar entropy, $J/mol K$	inv	DC to AC inverter
T	temperature, K	ohm	ohmic
U_f	fuel utilization ratio	N	Nernst
U_o	air utilization ratio	tri	trigeneration
V	voltage, V	WHE	water heat exchanger
\dot{W}	power, kW	wp	water pump
$\dot{W}_{FC,stack}$	power output of fuel cell	-	molar
x_r	extent of steam reforming reaction for methane, mol/s	.	rate
y_i	molar concentration	0	standard pressure
y_r	extent of water gas shift reaction, mol/s	ch	chemical
z_r	extent of electrochemical reaction, mol/s	ph	physical

recovering SOFC waste heat. Many researchers have studied the performance of SOFC-GT hybrid power plants [3–16], integrated SOFC-ORC power plants [17,18] and combined SOFC-Stirling hybrid plants [19]. Few analyses, however, have been reported of trigeneration power plants based on an SOFC. Some of the more significant of these are now described.

Weber et al. [20] conducted detailed CO_2 emission and cost analyses of a trigeneration system based on a SOFC primary mover in an office building, and demonstrated that the system reduced CO_2 emissions by 30% at a cost increase of 70% compared with a conventional system.

A trigeneration plant driven by a SOFC and a gas turbine was studied by Burner et al. [21]. The plant included half-, single- and double-effect chillers, a heat pump, an additional gas boiler, a heat recovery boiler, a compression chiller and cooling system. They considered the potential of combining a heat pump into the trigeneration system. The study considered energy and exergy efficiencies and concentrated on costs and CO_2 emissions, and demonstrated that the SOFC-GT system is attractive economically and environmentally. The study utilized multi-objective optimization

based on a multi-criteria evolutionary algorithm, and incorporated the following optimization objectives: annual total cost of trigeneration and annual CO_2 emission rates.

Liu et al. [22] proposed a trigeneration plant by combining an internal-reforming solid oxide fuel cell (IRSOFC) with a zeolite/water adsorption chiller, and analyzed numerically the performance of the system under various operating conditions and parameters.

Yu et al. [23] analyzed a total energy system incorporating a SOFC and an absorption chiller driven by exhaust gas to provide electricity, cooling and/or heating simultaneously. They found that, as fuel utilization coefficient varies, both the electrical efficiency and total efficiency of the system achieved maximum values.

Al-Sulaiman et al. [24] carried out an energy analysis of a trigeneration system based on a SOFC and an ORC. The system also consisted of a heating process and a single-effect absorption chiller. The analysis demonstrated that, compared to only a power plant, trigeneration increases the efficiency by at least 22%. They also found that the exergy efficiency increased by 3–25% when trigeneration was applied [25].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/760668>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/760668>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)