



Short communication

Preparation and characterization of surfactin-modified silica stationary phase for reversed-phase and hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography



Kaname Ohyama, Yu Inoue, Naoya Kishikawa, Naotaka Kuroda*

Course of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 July 2014

Received in revised form

20 September 2014

Accepted 24 October 2014

Available online 30 October 2014

Keywords:

Hydrophilic interaction

Mixed-mode

Surfactin

Reversed-phase

ABSTRACT

Surfactants are good candidates as selectors in mixed-mode reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC)/hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) because they contain both a hydrophobic and a hydrophilic moiety. Surfactin, a cyclic heptapeptide, is an efficient biosurfactant produced by *Bacillus subtilis* that comprises seven amino acids and a β -hydroxyl fatty acid. A surfactin-modified silica (SMS) stationary phase was prepared by amide bond formation between amino groups on aminopropyl silica and the carboxylic acid groups of L-Glu and L-Asp residues in surfactin. The resulting SMS stationary phase was characterized in both RPLC and HILIC mode using different mobile phases. The SMS column was found to separate analytes in both modes. The retention of polar solutes exhibited “U-shaped” curves, depending on the acetonitrile content. “U-shaped” curves are an indicator of RPLC/HILIC mixed-mode retention behavior. The presence of hydrophobic and hydrophilic moieties in surfactin provides unique properties that allow the SMS column to be used for both RPLC and HILIC separations, simply by changing the mobile phase composition.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) is widely used to retain and separate hydrophobic and moderately hydrophobic compounds; however, it often cannot be used to separate polar compounds due to lack of retention on the column. Normal phase liquid chromatography is another choice, but non-polar mobile phases are poor solvents for polar compounds. Recently, hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC), where a bare silica or polar group (amine, amide, cyano, diol)-bonded silica stationary phase and a hydro-organic mobile phase are used, has become a valuable alternative for the separation of polar compounds. Separation in HILIC mode is believed to result from the partitioning of analytes between a water-rich layer on the surface of the hydrophilic stationary phase and the hydro-organic mobile phase, and from the interaction based on hydrogen bonds between the analytes and the functional group on the stationary phase [1].

Mixed-mode chromatographic separation based on more than one retention mechanism would likely provide better separation

than single mode separation. This new concept is gaining attention, and several mixed-mode separation materials have been reported. Most mixed-mode separation methods combine RP and anion- or cation-exchange. However, the column-packing materials available for RPLC and HILIC mixed-mode separations are limited, although the combination of these two chromatographic approaches may expand their applicability [2–6]. Several researchers have used materials possessing a long alkyl chain (hydrophobic moiety) and an ionizable group (hydrophilic moiety) for RPLC/HILIC mixed-mode separation [3]. However, ionized groups can electrostatically interact with ionized analytes, resulting in a severe peak tailing. In contrast, Wu et al. synthesized nonionic polar stationary phases with hydroxyl and sulfoxide groups, and reported that some of these stationary phases were effective for RPLC and HILIC mode separations [4].

Surfactants are good candidates as selectors for RPLC/HILIC mixed-mode separation because they consist of both a hydrophobic and hydrophilic moiety. Lin et al. prepared a hydrophobic/strong cation-exchange monolithic column by copolymerization of 3-sulfopropyl methacrylate and pentaerythritol triacrylate [7]. Gu et al. prepared a methacrylate-derived surfactant-bound monolithic column by copolymerization of 11-acrylamino undecanoic acid and ethylene dimethacrylate. They evaluated its potential

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 95 819 2894; fax: +81 95 819 2444.
E-mail address: n-kuro@nagasaki-u.ac.jp (N. Kuroda).




Fig. 1. Surfactin-modified silica stationary phase.

in the RP separation of three model proteins [8]. However, to our knowledge, an RPLC/HILIC mixed-mode stationary phase immobilized with surfactant has yet to be reported.

Surfactin is an efficient biosurfactant produced by *Bacillus subtilis* [9]. It is a cyclic heptapeptide consisting of seven amino acids and a β -hydroxyl fatty acid. Compared with chemical surfactants, surfactin has some unique advantages such as lower toxicity, biodegradability, and effectiveness at extreme temperature or pH values [10]. Surfactin is also known for its antiviral, antitumor and hemolytic activities [11–13].

In the present study, a surfactin-modified silica (SMS) stationary phase (Fig. 1) was prepared by amide bond formation between the amino groups on aminopropyl silica (APS) and the carboxylic acid groups of L-Glu and L-Asp residues in surfactin. An SMS-packed column was characterized in both RPLC and HILIC mode using different mobile phase compositions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

APS (particle size, 5 μm ; pore size, 120 \AA) was a kind gift from Daiso Chemical (Osaka, Japan). Surfactin, HPLC grade of acetonitrile (ACN), *tris*(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), hydrochloric acid, ammonium acetate, acetic acid, benzene, naphthalene, toluene, phenol, aniline, 4,5-dimethyl-1,2-phenylenediamine, uracil, thymidine, cytosine, uridine, adenosine, pyridoxine, thymine, 1-methylxanthine, 1,7-dimethylxanthine, 1,3,7-trimethylxanthine (caffeine) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) were obtained from Wako Pure Chemicals (Osaka, Japan). *N,N*-Dimethylformamide (DMF) was from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). *N,N*-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine (DMAP) was purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Propylbenzene and butylbenzene were from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan). Dichloromethane (DCM), ethanol, sodium perchlorate, perchloric acid, ethylbenzene and adenine were from Kishida Chemicals (Osaka, Japan). Riboflavin was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Preparation of SMS stationary phase

SMS was obtained by a single-step reaction as follows: surfactin (1.54 g), EDC (1.12 g) and DMAP (0.036 g) were added to a suspension of APS (0.77 mmol/g, 0.22 g) in DMF (145 mL) and the mixture was shaken at 30 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 15 h. After the reaction, SMS was filtered and washed with DMF. Elemental analysis: C 5.00%; H 0.67%; N 1.08% for APS; C 13.24%, H 1.76%, N 2.94% for SMS. The modification ratio of surfactin on APS was estimated from the value of nitrogen by elemental analysis as follows: $29.4 \text{ (mg/g)} / 14 \times 8 = 0.26 \text{ (mmol/g)}$. Surfactin involves 7 nitrogen atoms and aminopropyl group on APS involves 1 nitrogen atom.

2.3. Chromatography

A slurry of SMS in the mixture of glycerine and methanol (1/5, v/v) was prepared with ultrasonication (1 min) and was pumped into an HPLC column (150 mm \times 1.5 mm I.D.) at 35 MPa using an HPLC pump, methanol (flushing solvent) and stainless-steel reservoir (75 \times 6 mm I.D.). During packing, the pressure decreased because glycerine was flushed out from the packed column. Then, the packed HPLC column was flushed with methanol at 1.0 mL/min for 30 min; at 0.5 mL/min for 30 min; finally, at 0.2 mL/min overnight.

The HPLC system included a Shimadzu LC-20AD pump, SPD-20A UV detector and CR-8A recorder (Kyoto, Japan). Flow rate was set at 0.2 mL/min with UV detection at 260 nm. All aqueous solutions were made with the water that was deionized and distilled using WG 203 (Yamato Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) and then passed through a water purification system (Puric-Z, Organo, Tokyo, Japan).

The column efficiency N was calculated from the number of theoretical plates per meter:

$$N = 5.55x(t_r/w_{0.5})^2$$

where t_r is retention time of analytes; $w_{0.5}$ and peak width at half height. A measure of the symmetry of a peak, given by the following equation:

$$S = W_{0.05}/2f$$

where S is symmetry factor, $W_{0.05}$ is the peak width at 5% height and f is the distance from peak front to apex point at 5% height.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Retention properties in RPLC mode

The influence of ACN content in the mobile phase on the retention of hydrophobic compounds was studied by varying the percentage of ACN from 30% to 70%. Fig. 2 depicts the plots of $\log k$ and the percentage of ACN. The retention of test compounds decreased as the content of ACN increased. A linear relationship between $\log k$ and ACN content was obtained, typical of the RPLC mode in which the retention of hydrophobic compounds is dominated by hydrophobic interactions. Fig. 2 also shows that the retention of test compounds increased with their increasing hydrophobicity. The retention factors of test compounds on SMS stationary phase were one-third of those on RP C8 stationary phase (data not shown), but were stronger than the hydrophobic retention on bare silica, conventional diol and long alkyl hydroxyl group-bonded stationary phases [3,4].

3.2. Retention properties in HILIC mode

HILIC separation commonly employs a hydro-organic mobile phase with an organic content above 60%. The effect of ACN

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7612323>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7612323>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)