Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chroma

Spermine Sepharose as a clustered-charge anion exchange adsorbent



Sagar Dhamane^a, Federico Ruiz-Ruiz^{a,b}, Wen-hsiang Chen^c, Katerina Kourentzi^c, Jorge Benavides^b, Marco Rito-Palomares^b, Richard C. Willson^{a,b,c,*}

^a Department of Biology & Biochemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5001, USA

^b Centro de Biotecnología FEMSA, Departamento de Biotecnología e Ingeniería de Alimentos, Tecnológico de Monterrey, Campus Monterrey, Ave. Eugenio

Garza Sada 2501 Sur, Monterrey, NL 64849, Mexico

^c Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-4004, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 August 2013 Received in revised form 10 November 2013 Accepted 13 November 2013 Available online 20 November 2013

Keywords: Ion-exchange chromatography Clustered charge Adsorption Spermine Ligand density

ABSTRACT

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The steady increase in titers and sales of recombinant pharmaceutical proteins have increased interest in high-efficiency, high-capacity downstream processes for the recovery and purification of biological products [1–3], particularly since estimates of the fraction of bioprocess costs devoted to purification range as high as 80% [4–6]. The continuing need for selective, scalable and economical unit operations has driven the design of novel bioengineering strategies [7,8].

Chromatographic purification remains the most costly element of biopharmaceutical downstream processing [9,10], and development of optimal chromatographic processes is a central issue in bioprocess engineering. Because it offers strong and easily reversible protein adsorption, ion-exchange chromatography is widely used for the selective purification of biomolecules [11,12]. Traditional ion-exchange adsorbents present a random charge distribution over the particle surface that creates a heterogeneous field of adsorption sites [13]. In order to improve this random charge distribution for protein capture, adsorbents have been modified in the past to present improved characteristics by either increasing ligand density through the use of tentacular adsorbents [14,15] or by attaching polyions such as polyethyleneimine or polylysine in order to enhance product selectivity and recovery [16].

Many proteins present a characteristic high charge-density patch that can play a dominant role in the ion-exchange adsorption of these molecules [11,17-19]. We have found that an adsorbent displaying uniform clusters of positive charge shows a higher affinity and capacity for negatively charged biomolecules than an adsorbent with the same total charge density displayed as dispersed charges [11,20]. Clustered pentaargininamide adsorbents of relatively low ligand density show higher affinity for negatively charged proteins than conventional adsorbents of much higher ligand density [11]. The use of pentaargininamide as a ligand in research and for process scale purification, however, must contend with the cost and base-lability of the ligand, which hampers efficient resin cleaning. In this work we describe spermine as an inexpensive, stable ligand for clustered adsorbents. Spermine is a polycationic polyamine involved in DNA packaging whose four amines (see Fig. 1) can form a cluster of four positive charges [21], and whose low cost and alkali stability make it potentially suitable as a ligand for clustered-charge anion exchange chromatography.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-4004, USA. Tel.: +1 713 743 4308; fax: +1 713 743 4323.

E-mail address: willson@uh.edu (R.C. Willson).

^{0021-9673/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.11.030



Fig. 1. Spermine molecule with corresponding pK_a values for titratable atoms. Note that pK_a values for symmetry-related atoms differ because of the sequential addition of protons to the molecule.

In the present work we demonstrate the superior affinity and capacity of a spermine Sepharose clustered-charge anion exchanger for the anionic protein α -lactalbumin, which presents a modifiable charge cluster. The clustered-charge adsorbent presents enhanced initial binding affinity (Q_{max}/K_D) and maximum binding capacity (Q_{max}) when compared to dispersed-charge commercial adsorbents, even when the latter have higher ligand density.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Sepharose CL-6B, Q Sepharose Fast Flow and DEAE Sepharose were from GE Healthcare (Piscataway, NJ). DEAE Plasmid Plus resin was from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). All other reagents were from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) including salmon sperm DNA (Cat. number: D1626), Baker's yeast RNA (Cat. number: R6750) and Ca²⁺ depleted α -lactalbumin from bovine milk (Cat. number: L6010). α -Lactalbumin was prepared from unpasteurized bovine milk and was purified by ion exchange chromatography on DEAE-agarose. Its purity is \geq 85% as was determined by PAGE. The molar mass for the protein used was 14,100 g/mol and the extinction coefficient $\varepsilon^{1\%} = 20.1$ [22].

2.2. Adsorbent preparation

Aldehyde activation of Sepharose was based on the method of Miron and Wilchek [23]. For activation, DI water-washed Sepharose CL-6B (400 μ l in 1.6 mL water) was treated with 0.2 M sodium periodate for 3 h at room temperature, then washed with DI water and resuspended in 50 mM borate buffer pH 9.5 \pm 0.2. To 400 μ l of activated Sepharose CL-6B settled resin, 1.6 mL of spermine tetrahydrochloride (45 mg/mL) solution in 50 mM borate buffer, pH 9.5 was added and incubated on a gyratory rotator at room temperature for 2 h and centrifuged 2 min at 16,000 \times g. The gel with the bound spermine was treated for 3 h with 2 mL of 2 mM sodium borohydride in 50 mM borate buffer, pH 9.5 to reduce the initially formed Schiff base into a stable secondary amine linkage. Spermine modified Sepharose was washed with 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 and stored at 4 °C.

2.3. Ligand density determination

The ligand density of spermine Sepharose was determined by titration with 5 mM NaOH in column mode using an ÄKTA purifier FPLC equipped with a post-column pH monitor. Spermine Sepharose was packed in a column (5×20 mm) and equilibrated with 5 column volumes of 0.1 M HCl, and then 5 mM NaOH was run through a bypass in order to equilibrate the extra-column volume. After the equilibration, 5 mM NaOH was passed through the column to determine the moles of base required to titrate the acid-ified adsorbent. The amount of 5 mM NaOH required for titration of unmodified Sepharose (typically about 1.2 mL) was subtracted from that required to titrate the spermine Sepharose (typically about 6.8 mL) to obtain the millimoles of NaOH used to titrate spermine.

2.4. Adsorption isotherm measurements

Aliquots $(25 \,\mu l)$ of 60% (v/v) spermine Sepharose suspension in binding buffer (10 mM Tris, 10 mM NaCl, pH 8) were placed in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. To these tubes different amounts of protein stock solution (10-180 µl of 5 mg/mL Ca²⁺-depleted bovine α -lactalbumin (or RNA) in binding buffer) were added, followed by binding buffer up to 1 ml. Tubes were placed on a gyratory rotator at room temperature for 1 h, then centrifuged for 10 min at 16,000 × g. Protein concentration in the supernatant was quantified by 280 nm absorbance using a Tecan Infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan, USA). The adsorbent pellets were washed with 1 mL of binding buffer followed by recentrifugation (the wash contained only a small fraction, $3.1\% \pm 1.7\%$, of the added protein). Bound protein was eluted with 1 mL of elution buffer (binding buffer + 1 M NaCl) and adsorbed protein content was determined. In order to determine the completeness of protein recovery, mass balances were performed by dividing the sum of the protein remaining in the supernatant after adsorption equilibration + protein recovered in the wash + protein in the elution fraction, by the original amount of protein added. The ionic strength of the 10 mM Tris buffer, 10 mM NaCl, pH 8 is estimated at 0.015 M.

2.5. Data analysis

The mass balance recoveries for all points in all experiments closed in the range 86–111%. Protein adsorption data were fit to the Langmuir (Eq. (1)), Langmuir–Freundlich (Eq. (2)) isotherms and the steric mass action (SMA) model of Cramer et al. (Eq. (3)) [24].

$$y = \frac{Q_{\max}X}{K_{\rm D} + X} \tag{1}$$

$$y = \frac{Q_{\max} X^{n_H}}{K^n H + X^n H}$$
(2)

$$y = \left(\frac{Q_{\alpha}}{K}\right) \left(\frac{X}{\Lambda - (\nu + \sigma)Q_{\alpha}}\right)^{\nu}$$
(3)

In these equations, y is the bound protein concentration, X is the free protein concentration, Q_{max} is the maximum binding capacity (in μ mol/ml of settled bed adsorbent), and K_D is the dissociation constant. In Eq. (2), $n_{\rm H}$ is the Langmuir–Freundlich heterogeneity parameter. In Eq. (3), Q_a is the equilibrium adsorbent-bound protein concentration, K is the equilibrium constant, Λ is the total ionic capacity of the adsorbent in µmol/ml of settled bed adsorbent, σ is the dimensionless steric factor that reflects the number of sites on the surface that are shielded by the adsorbate and prevented from exchange with salt counter-ions in solution and v is the dimensionless characteristic charge that reflects the number of sites that the protein interacts with on the surface. Fitting used Igor Pro (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR; version 6.05) which uses the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to search for parameters which minimize the χ^2 values, as described previously [11,20]. The initial guess vectors for the parameters were varied manually to ensure that a true global optimum was found.

Boardman and Partridge [25] and Regnier [26] have described the stoichiometric displacement model which emphasizes the importance of electrostatic interactions between proteins and adsorbents in terms of ionic equilibria. If an electrolyte such as NaCl is used, the equilibrium of Na⁺ ions and target proteins (a polyion represented by Pⁿ⁺) between the mobile phase (m) and the adsorbent (ad) can be represented by: $ZNa_{ad} + P_m \leftrightarrow P_{ad} + ZNa_m$. Beginning with this equilibrium an expression was derived which relates the binding affinity of the adsorbent to the molarity of the displacing salt in the mobile phase which was then linearized to the Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7613871

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7613871

Daneshyari.com