ARTICLE IN PRESS

JOURNAL OF PROTEOMICS XX (2014) XXX-XXX



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



www.elsevier.com/locate/jprot

Heterosis profile of sunflower leaves: A label free proteomics approach

Mehdi Mohayeji^b, Anna Laura Capriotti^{a,*}, Chiara Cavaliere^a, Susy Piovesana^a, Roberto Samperi^a, Serena Stampachiacchiere^a, Mahmood Toorchi^b, Aldo Lagana^a

⁵ ^aDepartment of Chemistry, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

⁶ ^bDepartment of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, 5166614766, Iran

90 ARTICLEINFO

2

7

15Article history: Received 9 October 2013 10 Accepted 27 January 2014 13 18 Available online xxxx 19Keywords: $\overline{2}\overline{9}$ Heterosis $\underline{36}$ Label free LC-MS/MS $\overline{22}$ Sunflower leaf $\frac{38}{28}$ Proteome $\frac{39}{24}$ 49 2627 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 43

ABSTRACT

Heterosis is the superior performance of heterozygous F_1 -hybrid plants compared with their homozygous genetically distinct parents. The proteome of leaves of one sunflower hybrid and its parental inbred lines was analyzed by label free LC–MS/MS. A total of 1998 proteins were identified. Among them 38 proteins indicated heterosis pattern in hybrid compared with midparents. The results showed an increment of photosynthesis capacity, assimilation rate, nitrogen fixation, cell growth and reducing in some energy-consuming processes like protein production, response to stresses and respiration. These results suggest that heterosis mechanisms increase input energy of plant with reinforcement of carbon fixation pathway and reduction in consumed energy toward production of superior hybrid. This study could help to better elucidate what mechanisms are involved in heterosis of sunflower leaves and what happens at proteome level.

Biological significance

The current work describes the first study in which gel-free shotgun proteomics was used to compare the proteome of leaves of one sunflower hybrid to its parental inbred lines. In this study 1998 proteins were identified from sunflower leaves with label free nano LC–MS/MS. The numbers of 38 proteins significantly showed heterosis pattern. The comparison between hybrid and parental inbred lines showed that hybrid vigor is actually linked by emphasizing the assimilation rate and low energy consumption.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Heterosis, or hybrid vigor, describes the superior performance of 48 heterozygous F_1 -hybrid plants in terms of increased biomass, 49 size, yield, speed of development, fertility, resistance to disease 50 or environmental stress compared with the average of their 51 homozygous parental inbred lines [1,2]. Heterosis has been 52 extensively exploited in sunflower breeding to improve produc-53 tivity. Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is an important oil crop with 48%–58% oil content [3,4]. Nowadays sunflower hybrids are 54 cultivated as commercial varieties. Both additive and non-55 additive genetic effects are involved in the genetic constitution 56 of agronomic character [5]. Significant results have been 57 achieved in the genetic study of heterosis and the mode of 58 inheritance of agronomically important traits, including oil 59 content in the F_1 generation in sunflower [6–8]. Heterosis is 60 most evident for adult traits, but it is also shown during embryo 61 and early seedling development. Despite the rediscovery of 62

* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Sapienza Università di Roma, PoBox n° 34 — Roma 62, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy. Tel.: +39 06 49913062; fax: +39 06 490631.

E-mail address: annalaura.capriotti@uniroma1.it (A.L. Capriotti).

1874-3919/\$ – see front matter © 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2014.01.028

Please cite this article as: Mohayeji M, et al, Heterosis profile of sunflower leaves: A label free proteomics approach, J Prot (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2014.01.028

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

heterosis about a century ago and the suggestion of various 63 genetic models to explain this phenomenon, little consensus 64 has been reached regarding the genetic basis of heterosis yet. 65 Molecular mechanisms of heterosis remain to be clarified, 66 although several hypotheses and models have been proposed, 67 such as genome-wide dominance complementation and locus-68 specific overdominant effects. In addition, epistatic interactions 69 are discussed as the main reason for the heterosis phenomenon 7071 at the molecular level [9,10]. A recent study has suggested that small-scale duplications and deletions may contribute to 72heterosis through complementation of deleted loci [11]. A 73 genome-wide evaluation of cis- and trans-regulatory effects on 74 allelic expression has also been introduced to explain molecular 75 basis of heterosis. Hybrid vigor could also be explained by 76 77 epigenetic factors. When two different genomes come together, 78 besides obvious interactions in cis and transgenetic factors, DNA methylation machinery and its components that maintain 79and regulate epigenomic status would also interact [12]. 80 Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analyses were a first step toward 81 the molecular understanding of heterosis [13]. Several QTL 82 [14-17] and gene expression [18-20] studies in maize and rice 83 reported the prevalence of non-additive, while others detected 84 mainly additive gene expression levels [21,22]. Several recent 85 studies in different crops dissected molecular mechanism of 86 heterosis on the genome, transcriptome and proteome level, 87 88 applying a variety of molecular tools.

89 Protein profiling represents a key role in proteomics, enabling 90 the comparison of protein expression across different samples or treatments. This requires sensitive and accurate assays for 91 identifying proteins in complex mixtures and quantifying their 9293 abundances. The most commonly used approach for comparative proteomic analysis of plant tissues is the application of 94 95 2DE-gels, whereby differences in protein abundances were determined by comparing stained protein spot volumes followed 96 by identification of proteins by mass spectrometry (MS). Howev-97 er, this method is limited in sensitivity, has a low dynamic range, 98 and it is inefficient when analyzing proteins with very high or 99 low molecular mass or for detection of highly acidic/basic, or 100 hydrophobic proteins [23]. Gel-free shotgun proteomics is an 101 alternative approach for the identification and quantification of 102proteins in large scale studies [24]. Thanks to the development of 103 mass spectrometers with high resolution and high mass 104 accuracy, new bioinformatics tools and computational algo-105rithms for evaluation of quantitative differences, label-free 106quantification approaches have become very appealing for the 107 108 quantitative analysis of biological samples. Among them spectral counting is a correlation-based method of determining 109relative protein quantity. This technique is based on the 110 observed correlation between the amount of a given peptide 111 present in a sample and the frequency with which it can be 112 113fragmented in an ion trap MS.

Liu et al. [25] have demonstrated a linear relationship between spectral counts and relative protein abundance. Thereby, they can be used as a simple and reliable index for relative protein quantification.

Proteomics is used as a main tool for gene expression in plant molecular biology. It is also used for heterosis study at molecular level. Gel-based [26,27] and gel free [28] proteomic approaches have successfully been used for detection of heterosis pattern at protein expression level in young roots or embryos of maize and rice. The result showed non-additive 123 protein accumulation in hybrids compare with parents' 124 average. 125

In this work a label-free shotgun proteomic approach was 126 performed to study heterosis in sunflower hybrid leaves. The **Q6** objective of this study was quantification of proteins with 128 heterosis pattern in the sunflower hybrid leaves with respect 129 to parental inbred lines. We would identify candidate proteins 130 to provide hybrid vigor and discuss molecular mechanisms of 131 heterosis in sunflower. 132

2. Material and method

2.1. Plant material

1**33** 135

146

171

Seeds of F_1 hybrid were developed by crossing R26 male 136 restore testers to a male sterile female inbred line, A110, with 137 the parental inbred lines used in this study. All three 138 genotypes were sown in triplicate completely randomized 139 design (CRD). Plants were harvested in V8 stage [29]. All the 140 recommended agronomic and protection practices were 141 followed from sowing until harvesting. Three samples from 142 each plot were harvested for measuring the dry matter. The 143 fresh biomasses were dried in an oven at 72 °C for 48 h for dry 144 weight determination. 145

2.2. Protein extraction and quantification

Proteins were extracted by TCA/acetone precipitation method 147 developed by Damerval et al. [30], applying some modifications. 148 Sunflower leaf tissue was frozen in liquid N_2 and ground to a 149 fine powder using a ceramic mortar and pestle. One g of the 150 resulting powder was suspended in 5 mL of chilled (4 °C) 151 extraction buffer containing 175 mmol L⁻¹ Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 152 5% (w/v) SDS, 15% (v/v) glycerol, 25 mmol L⁻¹ DTT, and 1% (v/v) 153 plant protease inhibitor mix, and kept grinding for an 154 additional 30 s. Homogenized cell debris were removed by 155 filtering homogenate through two layers of miracloth, and 156 centrifuging at low speed (500 ×g) at 4 °C for 15 min. The 157 supernatant was collected and mixed by vortexing with 4 158 volumes of cold (-20 °C) acetone containing 10% (w/v) TCA and 159 0.3% (w/v) DTT, and placed at -20 °C for at least one hour for 160 allowing protein precipitation. Then, precipitated proteins 161 were centrifuged at 15,000 $\times g$ at 4 °C for 45 min, and then the 162 pellet was washed three times with a cold water/acetone 163 solution (20:80, v/v) containing 0.3% (w/v) DTT and between 164 rinses centrifuged at 15,000 ×g for 15 min. Successively, the 165 supernatant was removed and the pellet was slowly dried 166 under nitrogen and resuspended with a solution of 8 mol L^{-1} 167 urea in 50 mmol L^{-1} NH₄HCO₃ and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. 168 The total protein content was determined by Bradford protein 169 assay according to the manufacturer's instructions (Bio-Rad). 170

2.3. In solution digestion and off-line desalting

For each sample, protein aliquots, 100 μ g (1 μ g μ L⁻¹), were 172 reduced, alkylated, and digested with trypsin as described 173 below. The disulphide bond reduction was performed with 174 2.5 μ L of 200 mmol L⁻¹ DTT, in incubation at 37 °C for 1 h, 175

Please cite this article as: Mohayeji M, et al, Heterosis profile of sunflower leaves: A label free proteomics approach, J Prot (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2014.01.028

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7636374

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7636374

Daneshyari.com