

## Accepted Manuscript

A novelty for cultural heritage material analysis: Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) 3D electron diffraction tomography applied to Roman glass tesserae

N. Zacharias, F. Karavassili, P. Das, S. Nicolopoulos, A. Oikonomou, A. Galanis, E. Rauch, R. Arenal, J. Portillo, J. Roque, J. Casablanca, I. Margiolaki

PII: S0026-265X(17)30693-8

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.12.023>

Reference: MICROC 2987

To appear in: *Microchemical Journal*

Received date: 19 July 2017

Revised date: 5 November 2017

Accepted date: 24 December 2017

Please cite this article as: N. Zacharias, F. Karavassili, P. Das, S. Nicolopoulos, A. Oikonomou, A. Galanis, E. Rauch, R. Arenal, J. Portillo, J. Roque, J. Casablanca, I. Margiolaki, A novelty for cultural heritage material analysis: Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) 3D electron diffraction tomography applied to Roman glass tesserae. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. *Microc*(2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.12.023>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## A novelty for Cultural Heritage material analysis: Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) 3D Electron Diffraction Tomography applied to Roman glass *tesserae*

N. Zacharias<sup>1</sup>, F. Karavassili<sup>2</sup>, P. Das<sup>3,4</sup>, S. Nicolopoulos<sup>3</sup>, A. Oikonomou<sup>1,5</sup>, A. Galanis<sup>3</sup>, E. Rauch<sup>6</sup>, R. Arenal<sup>7,8</sup>, J. Portillo<sup>3,9</sup>, J. Roque<sup>10</sup>, J. Casablanca<sup>11</sup> and I. Margiolaki<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of History, Archaeology and Cultural Resources Management, University of the Peloponnese, 24100 Kalamata, Greece

<sup>2</sup> Section of Genetics, Department of Biology, University of Patras, 26500 Patras, Greece

<sup>3</sup> NanoMEGAS SPRL Blvd Edmond Machtens 79 B-1080 Brussels, Belgium

<sup>4</sup> Electron Crystallography Solutions, c/Orense 8 28020 Madrid Spain

<sup>5</sup> Department of Archaeology, University of Nottingham, NG72RD, Nottingham, UK.

<sup>6</sup> SIMaP, Grenoble INP - CNRS-UJF, BP 46 - 38402 Saint Martin d'Hères CEDEX – France

<sup>7</sup> Lab de Microscopias Avanzadas, INA, Univ. de Zaragoza CP 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

<sup>8</sup> ARAID Foundation, CP 50018 Zaragoza, Spain.

<sup>9</sup> CCIIT, University of Barcelona, Lluís Solé i Sabaris 1-3, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>10</sup> Department of Mineralogy, University of Barcelona, Martí Franquès s/n, Barcelona, 08028, Spain

<sup>11</sup> Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, c/Tulipan s/n 28933 Madrid, Spain

### Abstract

We present a novel electron diffraction technique (Automated precession 3D diffraction tomography - ADT) based on a Transmission Electron microscope (TEM) to precisely determine unit cell parameters, Space Group symmetry and atomic structure of various pigment /opacifier crystallites of submicron dimensions and commonly present in colored Roman glass *tesserae*. Such technique can operate at nanometer scale and it is possible to distinguish even between mineralogical phases of similar/same chemical composition, but different crystal structures.

**Keywords:** Electron Diffraction Tomography, Precession Electron Diffraction, Electron Crystallography, TEM, glass *tesserae*, opacifiers

### 1. Introduction

The scientific study of colors and constituent materials in ancient glasses, ceramics, decorated pottery etc., is an issue of great importance in archaeometric research due to its association with manufacturing and production information and finally to the so-called *chaîne opératoire* choices and processes.

To tackle the above issues, the field of archaeometry makes use of the analytical information of an array of instrumentations, (e.g. X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF), Raman spectroscopy, X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Secondary Image Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) or Electron Probe Microscopy Analysis (EPMA)); in all such techniques when the research aims are towards the study of the various phases and their association to the final coloration there is lack of straightforward answers due to the complexity and heterogeneity of the historical materials exhibit. All the previous mentioned analytical techniques operate only at 1-0.3 micron resolution scale, where the acquired data are usually not conclusive due to the possible co-existence of many (nm size) phases present and probably interfering within the analyzed (micron size) volume; as a result, data/signals coming from a particular crystal location may in fact be influenced from a number of other surrounding crystals contribution.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7640689>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7640689>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)