



Horizontal ground coupled heat pump: Thermal-economic modeling and optimization

Sepehr Sanaye*, Behzad Niroomand

Energy Systems Improvement Laboratory (ESIL), Department of Mechanical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 January 2009

Received in revised form 14 September 2009

Accepted 27 May 2010

Available online 25 June 2010

Keywords:

Thermal-economic modeling

Optimization

Ground coupled heat pump

Horizontal ground loop

ABSTRACT

The modeling and optimizing processes of a Ground Coupled Heat Pump (GCHP) with closed Horizontal Ground Heat eXchanger (HGHX) are presented in this paper. After thermal modeling of GCHP including HGHX, the optimum design parameters of the system were estimated by minimizing a defined objective function (total of investment and operation costs) subject to a list of constraints. This procedure was performed applying Genetic Algorithm technique.

For given heating/cooling loads and various climatic conditions, the optimum values of saturated temperature/pressure of condenser and evaporator as well as inlet and outlet temperatures of the water source in cooling and heating modes were predicted. Then, for our case study, the design parameters as well as the configuration of HGHX were obtained.

Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis of change in the total annual cost of the system and optimum design parameters with the climatic conditions, cooling/heating capacity, and soil type were discussed.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The earth and ground water are energy sources or sinks with relatively constant temperature during the year. This approximately constant ground temperature is closer to the room temperature than the air temperature during a year. Due to the above, ground coupled heat pumps (GCHPs) consume less annual energy.

Healy et al. [1] modeled the effects of various system parameters on performance and energy efficiency of ground source heat pumps based on sensitivity studies conducted using a computer model. They also presented a comparative economic evaluation to assess the feasibility of using a GCHP in place of electric resistance and oil fired furnace heating/cooling systems and an air source heat pump based on the systems present worth. They analyzed the effects of area, depth, pipe size, horizontal pipe spacing, and fluid flow rate of ground heat exchanger, soil type, and heat pump size on energy consumption. Their study showed that although the GCHP is the least expensive heating/cooling system to operate, it is the most expensive to install. For their case study, this system is economically preferable to conventional systems. Their results also indicated that system parameters can have a significant effect on GCHP performance. Thus, it would be necessary to conduct a pre-design analysis to determine optimal system

parameters that would ensure minimum energy consumption and favorable economics. With the help of GCHP simulation models such analysis can be conducted with ease.

The optimal design of GCHP system was not studied in [1].

Mustafa Inalli [2] presented an analysis of performance evaluation of a horizontal GCHP with R-22 as the refrigerant for a heating mode. An experimental set-up was constructed and tested on the basis of the study performed in Turkey. The influences of various system parameters, such as the buried depth of earth coupled heat exchanger, the mass flow rate of the water-anti-freeze solution and the sewer water, on the COP of the GCHP system were examined and the significant variables were identified.

Their experimental results showed that the average values of the system COP were very low due to a poor design of the system. The primary reason was oversizing some system parts. They also concluded that in the design of a GCHP system, all parts of the system should be checked in terms of energy efficiency. Thus, it is necessary to conduct a pre-design analysis to determine optimal system parameters that will ensure minimum energy consumption and favorable costs.

The optimal design of GCHP system was not investigated in [2].

Zhao et al. [3] presented an integrated optimal mathematical model by analyzing the operating characteristics of the ground-water heat pump and optimized the system according to technical and economic optimal principle with an objective function of the annual total costs. A computation program was also developed. They used the model to calculate and optimize some key operating parameters and components according to different temperature

* Corresponding author. Address: Energy Systems Improvement Laboratory, Mechanical Engineering Department, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran 16488, Iran. Tel./fax: +98 21 77240192.

E-mail address: sepehr@iust.ac.ir (S. Sanaye).

Nomenclature

A	heat transfer surface area of exchanger, m^2	T_{W1}	building circulating water temperature at the inlet of heat pump, $^{\circ}C$
A_s	air temperature swing, $^{\circ}C$	T_{W2}	building circulating water temperature at the outlet of heat pump, $^{\circ}C$
a_1 – a_9	constants in computing the equipment cost	TAC	total annual cost, $\$/y$
C_{AF}	cost of anti-freeze solution, $\$/m^3$	U	overall heat transfer coefficient, $kW/m^2 K$
C_{EI}	annual cost of power consumption, $\$/y$	$V_{pipe,in}$	pipe inside volume, m^3
C_{Inv}	initial or investment cost per operating years of the system, $\$/y$	VFA	volume fraction of the anti-freeze in the intermediate fluid, %
C_{Pipe}	cost of polyethylene pipe, $\$/m$	W	power consumption, kW
C_p	specific heat, $kJ/kg ^{\circ}C$	X	depth, ft
COP	coefficient of performance	<i>Subscripts</i>	
CRF	capital recovery factor	AF	anti-freeze
$D_{e,Eva}$	equivalent diameter of the evaporator annulus for estimating $h_{w,Eva,h}$, m	c	cooling mode
D_{GHX}	GHX nominal pipe diameter, in	Con	condenser
$D_{i,GHX}$	GHX inner pipe diameter, m	Com	compressor
$D_{o,GHX}$	GHX outer pipe diameter, m	Eva	evaporator
$D_{i,i,Con}$	inner diameter of inner pipe of condenser, m	GHX	ground heat exchanger
$D_{i,i,Eva}$	inner diameter of inner pipe of evaporator, m	h	heating mode
$D_{i,o,Con}$	outer diameter of inner pipe of condenser, m	HP	heat pump
$D_{i,o,Eva}$	outer diameter of inner pipe of evaporator, m	i	inner
E	annual power consumption, kWh/y	M	monthly
F	part-load factor	Min	minimum
h	enthalpy, kJ/kg ; convection heat transfer coefficient, $kW/(m^2 K)$	Max	maximum
H_{pump}	pump head, Pa	o	outer
i	interest rate, %	Pum	circulating pump
$k_{p,Con}$	thermal conductivity of inner pipe of condenser, $kW/(m ^{\circ}C)$	R	refrigerant
$k_{p,Eva}$	thermal conductivity of inner pipe of evaporator, $kW/(m ^{\circ}C)$	S	soil
$k_{p,GHX}$	thermal conductivity of GHX pipe, $kW/(m ^{\circ}C)$	W	water
k_s	thermal conductivity of soil, $kW/(m ^{\circ}C)$	<i>Greek abbreviations</i>	
L	length of heat exchanger, m	α_s	thermal diffusivity of ground, ft^2/day
\dot{m}	mass flow rate, kg/s	τ	equivalent annual full-load hours, h/y
n	depreciation time, y	η_M	efficiency of pump motor, %
Nu	Nusselt number	η_{Pum}	electric efficiency of circulating pump, %
Q	thermal load, kW	η_s	isentropic efficiency of compressor, %
R	effective thermal resistance, $m ^{\circ}C/kW$	η_{el}	electric efficiency of compressor, %
T	annual operating hours, h/y	μ	dynamic viscosity, Pa s
T_{Con}	condensation temperature, $^{\circ}C$	Δp	pressure drop of water flow, Pa
T_{Eva}	evaporation temperature, $^{\circ}C$	<i>English abbreviations</i>	
t_{now}	current time (day of the year)	CEES	commercial earth energy systems
T_s	ground temperature, $^{\circ}C$	ESIL	energy systems improvement laboratory
\bar{T}_s	mean surface temperature (average air temperature), $^{\circ}C$	GA	genetic algorithm
t_{shift}	day of the year of the minimum surface temperature	GCHP	ground-coupled heat pump
T_{W1}	water temperature at the inlet of heat pump and outlet of the GHX, $^{\circ}C$	HGHX	horizontal ground heat exchanger
T_{W2}	water temperature at the inlet of the GHX and outlet of heat pump, $^{\circ}C$	NM	Nelder–Mead
		NPT	number of parallel pipes per trench

and depth of the groundwater. They concluded that it is feasible to use a computer for the optimization design of the groundwater source heat pump, because solving the set of equations in the mathematical model minimizes the cost, establishes the most cost-effective design parameters for the specific design configuration analyzed, and reduces the workload of design greatly.

Due to the fact that the studied GCHP was an open loop type, there was no need to consider the ground heat exchanger design parameters such as configuration and length of GHX in [3].

All the mentioned researches declared the benefits of conducting a pre-design analysis to determine optimal parameters of GCHP system. Developing a software program based on a proper model of GCHP with HGHX and defining the total annual cost of the system

as the objective function facilitates the pre-design analysis of the system to obtain the most cost-effective design parameters.

In this paper, in order to optimal design of a GCHP including HGHX, the thermal and economic simulation and optimization of such a system were performed. To optimize the system, an objective function, the system total annual cost (including investment and operational costs), was defined. A software program was developed in Visual Basic 6 based on the presented model in order to optimize the system using genetic algorithm and Nelder–Mead optimization techniques. Two optimization methods were applied to ensure the validity of optimization results. For given heating/cooling loads, and various climatic conditions, the optimum values of heat pump design parameters (saturated temperature/pressure

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/764648>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/764648>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)