#### Energy Conversion and Management 124 (2016) 141-154

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Energy Conversion and Management

## Introducing an integrated chemical looping hydrogen production, inherent carbon capture and solid oxide fuel cell biomass fueled power plant process configuration



### Mahsa Aghaie<sup>a</sup>, Mehdi Mehrpooya<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Fathollah Pourfayaz<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Renewable Energies and Environment Department, Faculty of New Sciences and Technologies, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran <sup>b</sup> Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Laboratory, Faculty of New Sciences and Technologies, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 April 2016 Received in revised form 11 June 2016 Accepted 2 July 2016 Available online 14 July 2016

Keywords: Chemical looping combustion Carbon capture Solid oxide fuel cell Hydrogen production Power cycle

#### ABSTRACT

A novel integrated system is proposed which combines biomass gasification, chemical looping combustion, solid oxide fuel cell system and a steam power cycle. Sensitivity analysis is done for effective parameters to analyze the performance of the integrated system and investigation of the optimal operating condition. Because of some restrictions in the integrated system experiments, a chemical process simulator is used for analysis of the hybrid system. Products of this process are high-purity hydrogen and electrical power which are produced by chemical looping and solid oxide fuel cell (and a power cycle) respectively. The net efficiency of the system reaches to 55.8% with 100% carbon dioxide capture. Solid oxide fuel cell operates at 850 °C and 12 bar. Chemical looping system uses Calcium oxide metal oxide as oxygen carrier. Also carbonator, calcinator and hydrator reactors operating temperature are 800 °C 850 °C and 650 °C respectively.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) as a primary greenhouse gas (GHG), has significant effect on the climate change and become a widespread concern in the recent years [1]. Hence, reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emission seems urgent. Although there is increasing interest to use renewable energies such as solar, wind geothermal and biofuel [2,3]. Growing demand for energy and availability of low price fossil fuels leads to fossil fuels play a primary role in energy supply. CO<sub>2</sub> capture can be an effective method for reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions [4]. Utilizing hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) as a clean fuel has been considered significantly in recent years [5]. Hydrogen can be produced by either fossil fuels or renewable resources [5]. Current H<sub>2</sub> production methods are producing GHG as much as fossil fuels systems. Thus, to consider  $H_2$  as a clean energy resource, it is essential that  $H_2$  be supplied from carbon neutral sources [6]. Now, although there are various H<sub>2</sub> production methods, most of them utilizes fossil fuels mainly from natural gas [6]. Biomass as a diverse and renewable resource, can be replaced by fossil fuels for hydrogen production [7]. Biomass mainly because of energy security, sustainability and its environmental positive effects is attracted more interests

E-mail address: mehrpoya@ut.ac.ir (M. Mehrpooya).

recently [8]. Biomass gasification is a more efficient way to produce valuable syngas and using the heat of gasification reaction [9]. Chemical looping combustion (CLC) is developed in mid-1990 [10]. It is a kind of combustion which uses metal oxide to produce oxygen. Chemical looping hydrogen production (CLHP) with three reactors can produce steam, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> separately. Different metal oxides are used in the chemical looping, among them, calcium sorbent-based looping processes also benefit from the use of limestone, as a natural material that is widely available, relatively inexpensive, and environmentally agreeable, as the sorbent feedstock [11]. Also CLHP rather than hydrogen production, can be utilized for carbon capture processes. Recently CLC method for carbon capture has been considered significantly. Several experiments to evaluate carbon capture efficiency with chemical looping combustion of biomass/coal in a 1 kW continuous reactor with Australia iron ore as oxygen are done [12]. They show that carbon capture efficiency and oxide oxygen fraction increases with the fuel reactor temperature. The biomass combustion in a continuous CLC unit using pine wood as fuel and iron ore as an oxygen carrier is investigated [13]. Based on their studies, for both of steam and CO<sub>2</sub> as gasifying agents, the highest carbon capture efficiency can be obtained in the 880-915 °C temperature range. Hence, it is proposed that recirculated CO<sub>2</sub> can be used without efficiency reduction. So to developing the combustion methods with gaseous fuels, other options based on the chemical looping cycles which produce

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Renewable Energies and Environment Department, Faculty of New Sciences and Technologies, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Δ	$(m^2)$	Cat	cathode
D m	effective diffusivity	Cat	cell
Do	constant ( $cm^2 s^{-1}$ )	Act	activation
$d_n$	average particle diameter	E	electrolyte
E E	thermodynamic voltage (V)	(s)	solid
Eact an	activation energy for anode $(kI \text{ mol}^{-1})$	(g)	gas
Eact cat	activation energy for cathode (kI mol $^{-1}$ )	00	operating
$E^{0}$	thermodynamic voltage at standard condition (V)	G	generation
F	faraday constant, 96,485 (C mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	P	pressure
G	gibbs free energy $(k \mod 1)$	d	diameter
i	current density (A cm $^{-2}$ )		
i <sub>0</sub>	exchange current density (A cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Abbreviations	
L	length (m)	HHV	higher heating value
Р	pressure	LHV	lower heating value
Po	standard pressure (1 bar)	SOFC	solid oxide fuel cell
R	gas constant, 8.314 J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	MCFC	molten carbonate fuel cell
rp	average pore radius	PEMFC	polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell
Т	temperature (K)	PAFC	phosphoric acid fuel cell
T <sub>0</sub>	standard temperature (298 K)	S/C	steam to carbon ratio
U <sub>f</sub>	fuel utilization	ST	steam turbine
V	voltage (V)	SC	steam cycle
W <sub>elec</sub>	electric power (kW)	WGS	water-gas shift
Yan	pre-exponential factor for anode $(A m^{-2})$	YSZ	Yttria-Stabilized-Zirconia
γ <sub>ca</sub>	pre-exponential factor for cathode (A m <sup>-2</sup> )	CLC	chemical looping combustion
δ	thickness	CLHP	chemical looping hydrogen production
3	porosity	GHG	greenhouse gas
$\eta_{ohmic}$	ohmic loss (V)	PB	pine bark
$\eta_{act}$	activation loss (V)	CGE	cold gas efficiency
$\eta_{conc}$	concentration loss (V)	CaO	calcium Oxide
		FeO	Iron Oxide
Subscripts			
An	anode		

hydrogen in combination of CO<sub>2</sub> capture have been raised [14,15]. For instance, Chiesa et al. [16] proposed a system based on CLC method for producing hydrogen from natural gas with intrinsic carbon capture. According to their results, similar efficiency is obtained for chemical looping hydrogen production method and commercially available technologies. Fuel cells as clean, high efficient and high reliable power source are evolving for distributed power systems and portable systems [17,18]. Between all types of fuel calls, solid oxide fuel cells due to their high working temperature range (800-1000 °C), type of electrolyte (ceramic), compatibility with different types of fuels are more promising. Another advantage of SOFC is that its efficiency rises when pressurized in high temperatures, so can be used as a heat source for a gas turbine. Also SOFC can be integrated with gas turbines and other electricity generators [19]. There are several models available in the literature for SOFC-GT integrated systems [20]. Palsson et al. [21] simulated the combined system of SOFC and GT, which they reach the conclusion that efficiencies of more than 65% are possible at low pressure ratios. The attractive property of SOFC-GT systems is their high efficiency and minimal fuel combustion. Furthermore, SOFC power plants are one of the cleanest technologies to obtain electricity. In spite of these special properties, in the exhaust of these systems, there is considerable amount of CO<sub>2</sub>. Hence, there is a growing interest to integrate CO<sub>2</sub> separation technologies to SOFC plants [22]. On the other hand, in the proposed model, part of syngas from gasifier enters chemical looping, so in the chemical looping CO<sub>2</sub> capture, both CO<sub>2</sub> from syngas and SOFC is captured. Integrated systems show higher net power efficiency towards the separated systems [23]. Feasibility of high efficient bioenergy SOFC-CHP system using a novel autothermal steam gasification

reactor, is investigated [24]. Sensitivity analysis shows that with utilization factor less than 0.75, the SOFC off gases provide gasification heat; otherwise extra biomass must be combusted with overall efficiency penalty. Chemical looping can be done by various metal oxides. The calcium oxide is one of the old and useful oxides which was developed and demonstrated in calcium looping concept in 1960s [25] and 1970s [26] in the CO<sub>2</sub> acceptor gasification process Limestone is used as sorbent to promote the conversion of coal to hydrogen gas and now it is used as viable technology for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Connell et al. [11] developed calcium looping process (CLP) using syngas from coal for CO<sub>2</sub> capture and hydrogen production. They found by techno-economic analysis that using CLP, cost of H<sub>2</sub> or cost of electricity can be reduced 9–12% compared to the conventional CO<sub>2</sub> capture and WGS technologies. Various combined systems can be found in the literatures. Xiang et al. [27] proposed a novel process for hydrogen and power generation with CLC with CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Sensitivity analysis based on steam/H<sub>2</sub> ratio, temperature and other parameters were done. Chen et al. [23] introduced the solid oxide fuel cell/gas turbine (SOFC/GT) cycle integrated with coal gasification and chemical looping hydrogen production (CLHP) for electric power production with CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Their results showed that the integrated plant has a net power efficiency of 43.53% with no CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Exergy and energy analysis of an integrated system which include CLHP and SOFC/GT showed that overall energy and exergy efficiencies of the proposed systems are found to be 56.9% and 45.05%, respectively, with a total exergy destruction rate of 15.421 kW [28]. Chen et al. [29] investigated the exergy and energy efficiencies of a combined system of CLC and SOFC. They found that the plant net efficiency is 49.8% with  $\sim 100\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Conceptual design and energy

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/764992

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/764992

Daneshyari.com