

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ENERGY CONVERSION & MANAGEMENT

Energy Conversion and Management 48 (2007) 251-258

www.elsevier.com/locate/enconman

Selection of new absorbents for carbon dioxide capture

Sholeh Ma'mun ^{a,1}, Hallvard F. Svendsen ^{a,*}, Karl A. Hoff ^b, Olav Juliussen ^b

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway ^b SINTEF Materials and Chemistry, N-7465 Trondheim, Norway

> Received 10 October 2005; accepted 15 April 2006 Available online 11 July 2006

Abstract

This work focuses on selecting new absorbents for CO_2 capture. Absorption of CO_2 was studied at 40 °C using both single and mixed amine-based absorbents. The experimental results show that most absorbents tested have a poorer performance than MEA, but that aqueous AEEA might be a possible contender. In addition to the absorption measurements, the VLE of CO_2 in the selected absorbent, the aqueous 2.9 M AEEA, were studied at 40 and 120 °C. The equilibrium partial pressures of CO_2 in the aqueous 2.9 M AEEA at the temperature of removal (40 °C) and that of regeneration (120 °C) are lower than for aqueous 5.0 M MEA, but the maximum net cyclic capacity is somewhat higher.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Carbon dioxide; Absorption; Absorbent selection; Alkanolamines; Equilibrium; Cyclic capacity

1. Introduction

Removal of acidic gases, e.g., carbon dioxide (CO₂), is an important industrial operation. Carbon dioxide is produced in large quantities by many important industries such as fossil fuel fired power plants, steel production, chemical and petrochemical manufacturing, cement production and natural gas purification. The reasons for CO₂ removal are traditionally technical and economical concerns. Carbon dioxide present in natural gas will reduce the heating value of the gas, and as an acidic component, it has the potential to cause corrosion in pipes and process equipment and also to cause catalyst poisoning in ammonia synthesis [1]. Natural gas pipe lines usually permit from 1% to 2% CO₂ and sometimes as high as 5% [2]. In the past decades, CO₂ removal from flue gas streams started as a potentially economic source of CO₂, mainly for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) operations. Moreover, CO2 was also produced for other industrial applications such as carbonation of brine, welding as an inert gas, food and beverage carbonation, dry ice, urea production and soda ash industry [3,4]. However, environmental concerns, such as global climate change, are now focused as one of the most important and challenging environmental issues facing the world community and have motivated intensive research on CO_2 capture and sequestration. Carbon dioxide, as one of the greenhouse gases (GHG), is currently responsible for over 60% of the enhanced greenhouse effect, methane (CH₄) contributes 20% and the remaining 20% is caused by nitrous oxide (N₂O), a number of industrial gases and ozone. Scientific evidence now strongly suggests that increased levels of GHG may lead to higher temperature and cause climate change on a global scale. Various climate models estimate that the global average temperature may rise by about 1.4–5.8 °C by the year 2100 [5].

A wide range of technologies currently exists for separation and capture of CO_2 from gas streams as given in Ref. [3]. Such systems have been used in the chemical industry and in the production of technical gases for industrial and laboratory use [6]. Absorption with amine-based

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +47 735 94100; fax: +47 735 94080.

E-mail addresses: hallvard.svendsen@chemeng.ntnu.no, smamun@ chemeng.ugm.ac.id (H.F. Svendsen).

¹ Present address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Gadjah Mada University, Jl. Grafika 2 Jogjakarta, Indonesia 55281.

^{0196-8904/\$ -} see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2006.04.007

absorbents is the most common technology for CO_2 removal today. It is a process with considerable inherent problems, particularly when used on large gas flows, e.g., exhaust resulting from fossil fuel fired power stations. The processes are bulky, leading to large investment costs and high energy consumption, and the absorbents in use today are not stable and form degradation products that need to be handled.

A chemical that is to be used as a new commercial absorbent for removal of CO₂ will require both a high net cyclic capacity and high reaction/absorption rate for CO₂, as well as high chemical stability, low vapor pressure and low corrosiveness. Aqueous solutions of alkanolamines are the most commonly used chemical absorbents for the removal of acidic gases (CO₂ and H₂S) from natural, refinery and synthesis gas streams. Among them, aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) as a primary amine has been used extensively for this purpose, especially for removal of CO₂. It has several advantages over other commercial alkanolamines, such as high reactivity, low solvent cost, low molecular weight and, thus, high absorbing capacity on a mass basis and reasonable thermal stability and thermal degradation rate. The disadvantages of MEA include high enthalpy of reaction with CO₂, leading to higher desorber energy consumption, the formation of a stable carbamate and also the formation of degradation products with COS or oxygen bearing gases, inability to remove mercaptans, vaporization losses because of high vapor pressure and more corrosive than many other alkanolamines and, thus, needs corrosion inhibitors when used in higher concentration [7-10]. Because of its wide use and advantages compared to other alkanolamines, MEA is set as a base case in this work. The parameters evaluated here are the absorption rate and the cyclic capacity.

This work focuses on selecting new absorbents for CO_2 capture using a screening method giving the absorption rate as function of loading, a molar ratio between CO_2 absorbed and the absorbent used. The vapor–liquid equilibria (VLE) of CO_2 in a selected absorbent at temperature of removal (40 °C) and that of regeneration (120 °C) were also studied. The objective of the work described here is to select new and more acceptable absorbents or absorbent mixtures whose absorption rate and net cyclic capacity are higher than the existing ones, thereby reducing the energy consumption of the removal process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The CO₂ (min. 99.99 mol.%) and N₂ (min. 99.6 and 99.999 mol.%) gases used were obtained from AGA Gas GmbH. The alkanolamines were obtained from Acros Organics and used without further purification. Those selected were monoethanolamine (MEA) – $[H_2N(CH_2)_2OH]$, 2-(butylamino)ethanol (BEA) – $[CH_3(CH_2)_3NH(CH_2)_2OH]$, *N*-methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) – $[CH_3N(CH_2CH_2OH)_2]$,

2-(methylamino)ethanol (MMEA) – $[CH_3NH(CH_2)_2OH]$, 2-(ethylamino)ethanol (EMEA) – $[CH_3CH_2NH(CH_2)_2OH]$, 2-(2-aminoethyl-amino)ethanol (AEEA) – $[H_2N(CH_2)_2NH-(CH_2)_2OH]$, and their reported purities were not less than 99%, 98%, 98.5%, 99%, 98% and 97%, respectively. Other absorbent chemicals tested were piperazine (PZ) – $[-NH-(CH_2)_2NH(CH_2)_2-]$ (Acros Organics, min. 99 mass%) and potassium salt of taurine (PT), which was prepared by neutralizing taurine [2-aminoethanesulfonic acid – $H_2N(CH_2)_2$ -SO₃H] (Acros Organics, 99 mass%) with an equi-molar amount of potassium hydroxide (KOH) (Merck, p.a.).

2.2. Screening apparatus

The absorbent selection experiments were performed in a screening apparatus as shown in Fig. 1. The apparatus, designed to operate at atmospheric pressure and temperatures up to 80 °C, consists of six 1 L glass absorbers, six K type thermocouples, a HETO circulating heater (Type 02 T 623), a Hartmann & Braun Uras 3G IR CO₂ analyzer and a BRONKHORST HI-TEC N2/CO2/H2S mass flow controller (Type E-7100). The data acquisition system uses LabVIEW. Before starting the experiment, a CO₂-N₂ gas mixture containing 10 vol.% CO2 with flow rate of 5 NL min⁻¹ was circulated through a by pass valve to calibrate the analyzer. As the process started, the by pass valve closed automatically. The gas mixture was passed through a water saturator and then to the absorber containing 750 mL of absorbent, being either a single or mixed absorbent. The gas phase leaving the absorber was cooled, and the CO₂ content directly determined by IR analysis. The temperature of the water bath was maintained at 40 ± 0.1 °C. The gas CO₂ content, the temperatures and the gas flow rates were collected by the LabVIEW data acquisition system. The process automatically terminated when the concentration of CO_2 in the outlet reached 9.5 vol.% (9.5 kPa CO₂ partial pressure). After terminating the experiment, a liquid sample was then analyzed by the barium chloride method. The amount of HCl not used to dissolve BaCO₃ was titrated with 0.1 M (mol L^{-1}) NaOH using an automatic titrator (Metrohm 702 SM Titrino) with end point pH 5.2.



Fig. 1. Screening apparatus for CO₂ capture.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/765547

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/765547

Daneshyari.com