## Accepted Manuscript

From Method Validation to Result Assessment: Established Facts and Pending Questions

Serge Rudaz, Max Feinberg

PII: S0165-9936(18)30079-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.trac.2018.04.013

Reference: TRAC 15141

To appear in: Trends in Analytical Chemistry

Received Date: 1 March 2018

Revised Date: 19 April 2018

Accepted Date: 23 April 2018

Please cite this article as: S. Rudaz, M. Feinberg, From Method Validation to Result Assessment: Established Facts and Pending Questions, *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2018.04.013.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## From Method Validation to Result Assessment: Established Facts and Pending Questions 3

4 Serge Rudaz<sup>1,\*</sup>, Max Feinberg<sup>2</sup>

5 <sup>1.</sup> School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Geneva, University of Lausanne

1 rue Michel Servet, 1211 Geneva 4 (Switzerland)

7<sup>2.</sup> 100 boulevard Kellermann, 75013 Paris (France)

8

6

9 <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

10

11 Keywords: Measurement uncertainty; method validation; accuracy profiles; tolerance
12 intervals; fitness-for-purpose

13

14 Abstract

This paper aims to present a critical discussion of existing strategies to emphasize possible 15 16 pitfalls and expected trends that arise from method validation to result assessment. It critically 17 presents some aspects of the three main historical steps, namely terminology, method 18 validation and quality of results. In addition to the formal link between method validation and 19 measurement uncertainty that was recently demonstrated, the abundance of proposition also 20 leads to some problems of consistency. Particular interest is given to the use of tolerance 21 intervals as an appropriate tool to make decisions in method validation, control charts and 22 determination of measurement uncertainty, considering various possibilities regarding 23 adjustments of coverage percentage that can present difficulty for most analytical scientists.

24

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7687502

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7687502

Daneshyari.com