



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhe

Numerical study of a new cathode flow-field design with a sub-channel for a parallel flow-field polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell

Yulin Wang^{a,b}, Shixue Wang^{a,*}, Guozhuo Wang^a, Like Yue^a^a Key Laboratory of Efficient Utilization of Low and Medium Grade Energy, MOE, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300350, China^b Tianjin Key Lab of Refrigeration Technology, Tianjin University of Commerce, Tianjin, 300134, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 August 2017

Received in revised form

29 November 2017

Accepted 30 November 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell

Cathode flow-field design

Sub-channel

Fuel cell performance

ABSTRACT

The cathode flow-field design of a polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cell is crucial to its performance, because it determines the distribution of reactants and the removal of liquid water from the fuel cell. In this study, the cathode flow-field of a parallel flow-field PEM fuel cell was optimized using a sub-channel. The main-channel was fed with moist air, whereas the sub-channel was fed with dry air. The influences of the sub-channel flow rate (SFR, the amount of air from the sub-channel inlet as a percentage of the total cathode flow rate) and the inlet positions (SIP, where the sub-channel inlets were placed along the cathode channel) on fuel cell performance were numerically evaluated using a three-dimensional, two-phase fuel cell model. The results indicated that the SFR and SIP had significant impacts on the distribution of the feed air, removal of liquid water, and fuel cell performance. It was found that when the SIP was located at about 30% along the length of the channel from main-channel inlet and the SFR was about 70%, the PEM fuel cell exhibited much better performance than seen with a conventional design.

© 2017 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cells are highly promising green energy devices because of their high energy conversion efficiencies and low emission levels. They have already been commercialized, however, there are still many challenges to be overcome to further reduce their cost and enhance their performance [1,2], such as achieving effective water management inside the fuel cell [3,4]. During the operation of a PEM fuel cell, sufficient water to humidify the membrane is necessary to ensure effective proton conduction;

however, excessive water on the cathode side will partially block the pores of the gas diffusion layer (GDL) and hamper the diffusion of oxygen to the reaction area, degrading the performance of the PEM fuel cell. Therefore, maintaining water balance has become one of the most significant issues for developing PEM fuel cells of high performance [5–7]. Many efforts have been devoted to resolve the problem of water management in PEM fuel cells, with flow-field design being believed to an effective approach to improving the water removal ability and the fuel cell performance [8].

Several flow-field designs have been reported in the literature, including serpentine flow-fields [9–12], parallel

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wangshixue_64@tju.edu.cn (S. Wang).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.11.172>

0360-3199/© 2017 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature		U_0	Open circuit potential, V
a	water activity	Greek	
A	area, m^2	ϵ	porosity
A_s	specific area of catalyst layer, m^{-1}	η	overpotential, V
D	mass diffusivity, $m^2 s^{-1}$	λ	water content in membrane
D_λ	water diffusivity in the membrane, $m^2 s^{-1}$	ξ	stoichiometry ratio
EW	equivalent weight of the membrane, $kg mol^{-1}$	μ	viscosity, $kg m^{-1}s^{-1}$
F	Faraday constant, $96,487 C mol^{-1}$	α	exchange transfer coefficient
i	current density, $A m^{-2}$	ρ	density, $kg m^{-3}$
j	volumetric exchange current density, $A m^{-3}$	σ	Surface tension, $m N m^{-1}$
j_0^{ref}	reference exchange current density, $A m^{-2}$	σ^{eff}	electron conductivity, $S m^{-1}$
k_c/k_e	Condensation/evaporation rate coefficient	κ^{eff}	proton conductivity, $S m^{-1}$
k_{kl}	relative permeability of the liquid water	Φ_m	ionic phase potential, V
k_p	permeability, m^{-2}	Φ_s	electronic phase potential, V
k_{rg}	relative permeability of the gaseous mixture	x_{H_2O}	molar fraction of water vapor
M	molecular weight, $kg mol^{-1}$	θ	contact angle, $^\circ$
c	molar concentration, $mol m^{-3}$	Subscripts	
n_d	electro-osmotic drag coefficient	a	anode
p_g	gaseous mixture pressure, atm	c	cathode
p_c	capillary pressure, atm	eff	effective
R_u	universal gas constant $J mol^{-1} K^{-1}$	ref	reference
s	liquid saturation	g	gaseous phase
S_i	source term in the species equation	i	i -th species of the mixture
S_{mass}	source in mass conservation	l	liquid phase
S_u	source term in momentum equation	m	membrane
S_L	source term for phase change of water	sat	saturation
u_g	gaseous-phase velocity, $m s^{-1}$	CL	catalyst layer
T	Cell temperature, K	GDL	gas diffusion layer
V_{cell}	operating voltage, V	v	vapor
X	mass fraction of gas species		

flow-fields [11–19], interdigitated flow-fields [11,12,20,21], pin or mesh flow-fields [22], cascade flow-fields [23] and new types of flow-fields, such as, spiral [24], radial [25], bio-inspired [26] and annular flow-fields [27]. Each configuration has its own advantages and disadvantages, as summarized in Table 1. For example, interdigitated and serpentine flow-fields can reduce flooding in the cathode and improve the fuel cell performance. However, the pressure drops in these designs are large. A parallel flow-field is simple and easy to fabricate and causes the smallest pressure. Nevertheless, the reactants in the

parallel flow-field tend to show a non-uniform distribution, and liquid water is prone to accumulate in the downstream of the cathode channel, decreasing utilization of the effective electrode area and lowering the performance. However, an optimized parallel flow-field design could solve the above problem and develop a PEM fuel cell of high performance [9]. For instance, Yan et al. proposed a tapered flow channel and deemed that the new design could enhance gas diffusion and water removal, hence improving the fuel cell performance [13]. Kuo et al. proposed a new wave-like gas channel and

Table 1 – Flow-field designs of present literatures.

Flow-field designs	Description	References
Serpentine flow-fields	High pressure drop. Good ability in liquid water removal, Homogeneous gas distribution	[9–12]
Parallel flow-fields	Small pressure drop, easy fabrication, Inhomogeneous gas distribution.	[11–19]
Interdigitated flow-fields	High pressure drop, Good ability in liquid water removal, Homogeneous gas distribution.	[11,12,20,21]
Pin or mesh flow-fields	Small pressure drop, Inhomogeneous gas distribution, Local flooding.	[22]
Cascade flow-fields	High pressure drop, Good ability in liquid water removal.	[23]
Spiral flow-fields	Small pressure drop, Homogeneous gas distribution, Difficulty in fabrication.	[24]
Radial flow-fields	Small pressure drop, Local flooding.	[25]

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7708278>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7708278>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)