

# A weight function methodology for the assessment of embedded and surface irregular plane cracks

Hugo López Montenegro \*, Adrián Cisilino, José Luis Otegui

*Institute of Materials Science and Technology (INTEMA), University of Mar del Plata, Welding and Fracture Division,  
J. B. Justo 4302, 7600 Mar del Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

Received 20 September 2005; received in revised form 2 April 2006; accepted 12 April 2006  
Available online 14 June 2006

---

## Abstract

A low cost numerical tool for the calculation of mode I stress intensity factors  $K$  in embedded and surface irregular cracks is presented in this paper. The proposed tool is an extension of the O-integral algorithm due to Oore and Burns for the assessment of embedded plane cracks using the weight function methodology. The performance of the O-integral is assessed first by comparing its  $K$  results to exact solutions for embedded elliptical and rectangular cracks. From the analysis of this data it is found that the error in the  $K$  results systematically depends on the crack aspect ratio and the local crack front curvature. Based on this evidence a corrective function is derived in order to remediate the limitations of the O-integral. Solutions due to Newman and Raju are used to account for the effects of free surfaces and finite thickness. The accuracy of the proposed procedure is assessed by solving a number of examples and by comparing the obtained results to those available in the literature.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Fracture mechanics; Stress intensity factor; Three-dimensional cracks; Numerical algorithms; Surface cracks

---

## 1. Introduction

Design standards based on theory and rules of good art allow to minimize the risk of failure of structures of all types. Among those, best known are ASME PVPC Code [1], used by manufacturers and operators of pressure vessels and pipes, and others applicable to specific industries. These standards mostly come from USA (API, SEAL, STUMP, etc.), and from European countries (DIN, ISO, etc.). Another interesting aspect refers to the evaluation of equipment in operation that, due to fabrication errors or accumulated damage in service, show discontinuities or crack-like defects that are not allowed by the manufacturing codes. Nowadays there is an advanced theoretical understanding and an array of experimental and numerical tools to address this engineering problem; such as failure analyses, root cause assessments, testing of laboratory samples, numerical analysis for design and re-rating, etc. A set of documents and recommended practices standardize the analysis

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +54 223 4816600; fax: +54 223 4810046.  
E-mail address: [hmonte@fi.mdp.edu.ar](mailto:hmonte@fi.mdp.edu.ar) (H.L. Montenegro).

**Nomenclature**

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| $a, c$               | crack dimensions: half depth and half width, respectively                    |
| $r, r^*$             | crack aspect ratio   |
| $b$                  | half width of a plate  |
| $t$                  | plate thickness  |
| $K$                  | stress intensity factor  |
| $K_{\text{corr}}$    | corrected stress intensity factor  |
| $K_I$                | mode I stress intensity factor   |
| $K_Q$                | stress intensity factor at a point $Q'$ on the crack front                   |
| $\sigma$             | opening stress   |
| $\sigma_0$           | remote uniform stress  |
| $\sigma_Q$           | opening force intensity (pressure) at a point $Q$                            |
| $A$                  | crack surface area   |
| $s$                  | crack front  |
| $ds$                 | elemental length of the crack front $s$                                      |
| $l_{QQ'}$            | distance from the load point $Q$ to the point $Q'$ on the crack front        |
| $\rho_Q$             | distance from point $Q$ to the center of the elemental length $ds$           |
| $W_{QQ'}$            | weight function  |
| $\chi_n$             | non-dimensional normalized curvature of the crack front                      |
| $\phi$               | parametric angle for the crack geometry                                      |
| $r(\theta), \theta$  | polar coordinates  |
| $f_c$                | corrective function  |
| $P, R, G$            | defined functions of $r$   |
| $f_1, f_2$           | defined functions of $\chi_n$  |
| $p, q, t$            | coefficients in $f_2$ , depending on the parameter $r$                       |
| $Y$                  | configuration function   |
| $Y_b$                | configuration function for the crack in an infinite body                     |
| $Y_b^C$              | $Y_b$ solution obtained by using the Calyf program                           |
| $\lambda_s$          | free surface correction factor   |
| $f_\phi$             | Newman and Raju solution function for an embedded elliptical crack           |
| $Q$                  | parameter approximating the square of the elliptical integral of second type |
| $F_S, H$             | Newman and Raju auxiliary functions  |
| $\sigma_m, \sigma_b$ | remote membrane and pure bending stresses, respectively                      |
| $t_m, t_b$           | normalized values of $\sigma_m$ and $\sigma_b$ , respectively                |
| $f_S$                | auxiliary function for $F_S$   |
| $g_S, f_w$           | auxiliary functions for $f_S$  |
| $M^S$                | auxiliary parameters for $f_S$   |
| $q$                  | parameter related to the deformation of a circumference of radius one        |

of structures in service, which purpose is to prevent accidents and at the same time to reduce repair costs. The British documents BSI PD 6493 [2], CEGB R6 [3]; and the American documents EPRI (Electric Power Research Institute) of 1981 and 1990 [4,5] were among the first bodies to address the problem, leading to present day procedures such as the British Standard BS 7910 [6], which replaces the PD 6493, the European standard procedure for structural integrity assessment SINTAP [7] and the American Petroleum Institute Recommended Practice API RP 579 [8].

Assessing the engineering integrity and life expectancy of a cracked component or structure, either under service conditions or during the design stage requires the determination of fracture parameters as the stress intensity factor  $K$ . In this sense, most of the above-mentioned codes and standard procedures use assessment rules with a certain degree of conservatism. For example, API RP 579 models embedded or surface cracks as

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/772172>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/772172>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)