Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Identifying compatibility of lithium salts with LiFePO₄ cathode using a symmetric cell



Bo Tong^{a,b,c}, Jiawei Wang^b, Zhenjie Liu^{b,d}, Lipo Ma^b, Zhibin Zhou^{c,**}, Zhangquan Peng^{a,b,*}

^a School of Applied Physics and Materials, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin, 130022, China

^c Key Laboratory of Material Chemistry for Energy Conversion and Storage (Ministry of Education), School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Huazhong University of

Science and Technology, 1037 Luoyu Road, Wuhan 430074, China

^d University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

HIGHLIGHTS

- A symmetric cell (L_{0.25}FePO₄|LiFePO₄) with sulfimide-based electrolytes is used.
- Capacity fading of the symmetric cell is also scrutinized.
- Li[(FSO₂)(n-C₄F₉SO₂)N]-based electrolyte shows decent compatibility with LiFePO₄.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Lithium-ion battery Electrolyte Lithium iron phosphate Cathode electrolyte interphase Symmetric cell

ABSTRACT

The electrochemical performance of lithium-ion batteries is dominated by the interphase electrochemistry between the electrolyte and electrode materials. A multitude of efforts have been dedicated to the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) formed on the anode. However, the interphase on the cathode, namely the cathode electrolyte interphase (CEI), is left aside, partially due to the fact that it is hard to single out the CEI considering the complicated anode-cathode inter-talk. Herein, a partially delithiated lithium iron phosphate (Li_{0.25}FePO₄) electrode is used as the anode. Owing to a high voltage plateau (≈ 3.45 V vs. Li/Li⁺), negligible reduction reactions of electrolyte occur on the L_{0.25}FePO₄ anode. Therefore, the CEI can be investigated exclusively. Using a LiFePO₄|Li_{0.25}FePO₄ symmetric cell configuration, we scrutinize the compatibility of the electrolytes containing a wide spectrum of lithium salts, Li[(FSO₂)($c_m F_{2m+1}SO_2$)N] (m = 0, 1, 2, 4), with the LiFePO₄, in both cycling and calendar tests. It is found that the Li[(FSO₂)($n-C_4F_9SO_2$)N] (LiFNFSI)-based electrolyte exhibits the highest compatibility with LiFePO₄.

1. Introduction

Rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have been widely used as the power source for portable electronic devices for decades, and currently moves to a high gear towards electrification of road transportation. It is well-recognized that the electrolyte plays a key role in LIBs. In today's LIBs, organic carbonate solvent and lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) are employed in combination. The outstanding performance of the LiPF₆-carbonate electrolytes in LIBs is largely ascribed to its high ionic conductivity, excellent anodic stability and anti-corrosion character against the Al current collector [1]. More importantly, the LiPF₆-carbonate electrolyte has desirable compatibility with the graphite anode owing to the formation of a high-quality SEI film [1]. However, LiPF₆-carbonate electrolytes still suffer from vexing thermal instability and unwanted moisture sensitivity [1–7]. It has been reported that decomposition of LiPF₆-carbonate electrolyte during battery operation can be initialized by the presence of trace amounts of HF and protic impurities (i.e., H₂O and alcohols), both of which can cause severe degradation of PF₆⁻ anions and carbonate solvents regenerating HF and protic species, particularly at elevated temperatures [7]. Degradation of LiPF₆-carbonate accelerates the capacity fading of LiBs.

So far, two strategies are employed to tackle the degradation of the LiPF_6 -carbonate electrolytes. One is the introduction of certain additives as a stabilizer to retard the decomposition [8–13]. Nevertheless, this approach only postpones the electrolyte degradation. The other is

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.02.076

^{*} Corresponding author. School of Applied Physics and Materials, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, China. ** Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: zb-zhou@mail.hust.edu.cn (Z. Zhou), zqpeng@ciac.ac.cn (Z. Peng).

Received 16 December 2017; Received in revised form 7 February 2018; Accepted 24 February 2018 0378-7753/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



(b) LiFTFSI, (c) LiFPFSI and (d) LiFNFSI.

Scheme 1. Structures of conducting lithium salts (a) LiFSI.

to develop novel lithium salts to replace LiPF₆. In past decades, many lithium salts with various kinds of anions have been reported, such as those containing nitrogen [7,14-21], phosphorus [22-24], or boron [25-29] as the central atoms. Among them, sulfonamide-based lithium salts (Li[(FSO₂)($C_mF_{2m+1}SO_2$)N], m = 0, 1, 2, 4, abbr.: LiFSI, LiFTFSI, LiFPFSI, LiFNFSI, respectively, Scheme 1) have attracted unabated attention. These sulfonamide salts-based electrolytes are revealed to have a better electrochemical performance (particularly in terms of cycle life at elevated temperatures) than that containing LiPF₆, in a wide spectrum of LIB chemistry, including graphite|LiCoO_2, Li|LiMn_2O_4, MCMB|LiMn₂O₄ and Li|LiFePO₄ [7,16-18]. The improved electrochemical performances are owing to better compatibility of the sulfonimide lithium salt-based electrolyte with the anode (lithium metal and graphite) [7,16–18]. LiFSI-based electrolyte, for example, can form a compact and smooth SEI film on graphite and Li metal surface [19,30-34]. The decent SEI film is formed via decomposition of $-FSO_2$ groups (i.e., LiF, Li₂SO₃, Li₂SO₄). However, it remains unknown whether these electrolytes also have good compatibility with the cathode materials.

To understand the compatibility of electrolytes with cathode materials, some factors should be taken into consideration. Current anode materials (i.e., graphite and lthium metal), are unstable towards carbonates used in LIBs [35]. In addition, carbonates decompose at low potentials, ca. 1 V vs. Li/Li+, and form SEI films on the graphite anode [1]. Moreover, electrochemical reduction products of electrolyte decomposition may migrate to the cathode. All these make the impact of electrolyte on the cathode ambiguous and difficult to be evaluated exclusively, viz. to be deconvoluted from the anode-cathode coupling. To tackle these problems, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ (LTO) has been used as the anode owing to the relatively high potential plateaus of 1.55 V vs. Li/Li⁺ compared with the graphite [36]. However, the reduction potential of sulfonimide lithium salts (i.e., LiFSI etc.) is ca. 2 V vs. Li/Li⁺ [34], much higher than inorganic lithium salts (i.e., LiPF₆, LiClO₄, LiAsF₆ etc., reduced at ca. 1 V vs. Li/Li+ [1]). Therefore, reduction reactions may still occur on the LTO surface when sulfonimide salts are used.

Partially delithiated lithium iron phosphate (Li_xFePO₄, 0 < x < 1) has been widely used as the counter/reference electrode to investigate oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) in aprotic Li-O₂ batteries [37,38]. The outstanding properties include high stability towards oxygen reduced species (i.e., O_2^{--} , LiO₂ and Li₂O₂) and organic solvents (i.e., ethers and sulfones) in comparison with the Li metal [37,38]. Moreover, the Li_xFePO₄ has a high stable potential of ca. 3.45 V vs. Li/Li⁺. Thereby, no reduction reactions, at least theoretically, can occur on the Li_xFePO₄ surface. By this way, the cathode-electrolyte interactions can be studied exclusively.

Herein, by using a LiFePO₄ |Li_{0.25}FePO₄ (LFP|L_{0.25}FP) symmetric cell configuration, we report several organic carbonate-based electrolytes containing a spectrum of lithium salts (LiFSI, LiFTFSI, LiFPFSI and LiFNFSI, Scheme 1), and investigate their compatibility with LiFePO₄ cathode in both cycling and calendar aging tests.

2. Experimental

2.1. General remarks

All the procedures were carried out in an argon-filled glove box (MBraun, both H_2O and $O_2 < 0.1$ ppm). Li foil (99.999%, China Energy Lithium Co. Ltd.), separator (Celgard 2325). All the conducting

salts: lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (LiFSI, 99.5%), lithium (fluorosulfonyl) (trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiFTFSI, 99.5%), lithium (fluorosulfonyl) (pentafluoroethanesulfonyl)imide (LiFPFSI, 99.5%) and lithium (fluorosulfonyl)(nonafluorobutanesulfonyl)imide (LiFNFSI, 99.5%) were received from Suzhou Fluolyte Co., Ltd., China and used without further treatment. Prior to use, ethylene carbonate (EC, battery grade, Aladdin), dimethyl carbonate (DMC, battery grade, Aladdin) were mixed by 1:1 (by volume) and dried with freshly activated 4 Å molecular sieve for at least 48 h.

2.2. Preparation of the electrolytes and electrodes

The electrolytes were prepared in an argon-filled glove box (H₂O and $O_2 < 0.1 \text{ ppm}$) by dissolving 1.0 M LiX (X = FSI, FTFSI, FPFSI, FNFSI) in a mixture of EC/DMC (1:1, v/v). Water content was less than 20 ppm, as determined by a Mettler-Toledo Karl Fischer titration apparatus (Metrohm KF 831).

The cathode comprised by LiFePO₄ powder: super P: polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) = 90:3:7 (by weight) was dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP), and spread onto stainless steel mesh (Φ 12) and then vacuum-dried at 120 °C for 24 h before using. The loading of LiFePO₄ is ca. 3 mg/cm². The stainless steel mesh was used as current collector due to its high chemical stability compared with Al foil [40]. Thus, the impact of conducting salts on LiFePO₄ can be exclusively tackled.

Partially delithiated LiFePO₄ (Li_{0.25}FePO₄) was used as an anode. The detailed setups can be found in literature [39]. In brief, LiFePO₄ was fabricated by mixing active material with Super P (Timcal) and poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE) binder in a ratio of 8:1:1 (by weight). Afterwards, the Li|LiFePO₄ cells were assembled and charged at a rate of 0.1 C to a point at which ca. 75% active lithium was extracted from the cathode (corresponding to 75% initial capacity). The cycled cells were disassembled in an argon-filled glove box. Then the Li_{0.25}FePO₄ electrodes were rinsed with anhydrous DMC and vacuum-dried at room temperature for 3 h. The capacity of Li_{0.25}FePO₄ anodes was about in 2 fold excess of the LiFePO₄ cathodes.

2.3. Evaluation of Li-ion batteries

The coin-type cells (CR2032) were assembled in an argon-filled glove box using LFP cathode, $L_{0.25}$ FP anode, separator (Φ 19), and 110 μ L electrolyte, respectively. The charge/discharge tests were conducted on a LAND CT2001A battery testing system (Wuhan, China) at a constant current of 0.1C (1 C = 170 mA/g) from -0.7–0.75 V vs. $L_{0.25}$ FP (2.75–4.2 V vs. Li/Li⁺) at room temperature. For rate test, the assembled LFP| $L_{0.25}$ FP symmetric cells were charged/discharged for five cycles at 0.1/0.1C for conditioning, and were then cycled sequentially at 0.2/0.2, 0.5/0.5, 1/1, 2/2 and 4/4 C at room temperature. The full cell was performed by Biologic VMP3 battery testing system with a T-type SwagelokTM cell, in which, LFP, $L_{0.25}$ FP and lithium foil were used as working electrode (WE), counter electrode (CE) and reference electrode (RE), respectively.

For the aging test at elevated temperature, these pristine LFP| $L_{0.25}$ FP symmetric cells were first cycled for 5 cycles at 0.2/0.2 C at room temperature, following by storage at 60 °C for 7 days in full state-of-charge. Afterwards, the cells were discharged at 0.1 C to investigate the residual capacities at room temperature. Finally, the LFP| $L_{0.25}$ FP symmetric cells were charged/discharged for 3 cycles at 0.2/0.2 C. This

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7725363

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7725363

Daneshyari.com