Journal of Power Sources 289 (2015) 114-128

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Power Sources

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Method to improve catalyst layer model for modelling proton exchange membrane fuel cell

Xiaoxian Zhang ^{a, *}, Yuan Gao ^b, Hossein Ostadi ^c, Kyle Jiang ^d, Rui Chen ^e

^a Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK

^b Clean Energy Automotive Engineering Centre & School of Automotive Studies, Tongji University, Shanghai, 201804, China

^c Intelligent Energy, Charnwood Building, Holywell Park, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3GB, UK

^d School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

^e Department of Aeronautical and Automotive Engineering, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, UK

HIGHLIGHTS

• We prove how to derive a model to calculate average oxygen reduction rate.

• We show how to calculate the model parameters for a given catalyst layer structure.

• We validate the model against experimental data.

• We investigate the relative significance of different resistances to oxygen reduction.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 15 February 2015 Received in revised form 1 April 2015 Accepted 26 April 2015

Keywords: Cathode catalyst layer Agglomerate model Oxygen dissolution Ionomer film Cathode electrode Pore-scale modelling

ABSTRACT

Correctly describing oxygen reduction within the cathode catalyst layer (CL) in modelling proton exchange membrane fuel cell is an important issue remaining unresolved. In this paper we show how to derive an agglomerate model for calculating oxygen reactions by describing dissolved oxygen in the agglomerates using two independent random processes. The first one is the probability that an oxygen molecule, which dissolves in the ionomer film on the agglomerate surface, moves into and then remains in the agglomerates; the second one is the probability of the molecule being consumed in reactions. The first probability depends on CL structure and can be directly calculated; the second one is derived by assuming that the oxygen reduction is first-order kinetic. It is found that the distribution functions of the first process can be fitted to a generalised gamma distribution function, which enables us to derive an analytical agglomerate model. We also expend the model to include oxygen dissolution in the ionomer film, and apply it to simulate cathode electrodes. The results reveal that the resistance to oxygen diffusion in ionomer film and agglomerate in modern CL is minor, and that the main potential loss is due to oxygen dissolution in the ionomer film.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Species transport and electrochemical reactions in the gasdiffusion layer (GDL) and catalyst layer (CL) within the polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cell occur at pore scale. In practical fuel cell modelling, however, the geometries of these pores cannot be explicitly resolved, and their impact on different fuel cell

uk (K. Jiang), R.Chen@lboro.ac.uk (R. Chen).

processes is instead described by volumetric average parameters, such as effective diffusion coefficients for species diffusion and effective conductivities for charge transport [1]. How to accurately describe these pore-scale processes in a fuel cell model is crucial, and the most challenging one is the description of oxygen diffusion and reaction in the cathode CL [2,3].

Most catalyst layers in PEM fuel cells use carbon grains to support the catalyst, and the carbon grains are further bound by an ionomer [4,5]. During manufacturing, the carbon grains tend to aggregate, forming porous agglomerates [6] with their outer surfaces coated by a thin ionomer film [7,8]. As such, the catalyst layer has a bi-mode pore structure in which the pores inside the agglomerates (intra-agglomerate pores) are much smaller than the







^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: Xiaoxian.zhang@icloud.com (X. Zhang), yuangao@tongji.edu. cn (Y. Gao), Hossein.Ostadi@intelligent-energy.com (H. Ostadi), k.jiang@bham.ac.

k

η

φ

φs

φm

 σ_{m} σ_{s}

θ

ε

λ

clature	k_d^o	Gaseous oxygen dissolution rate coefficient in ionomer film
Specific outer surface area of ionomer film	Ni	Molar flux of gas <i>i</i> in inter-agglomerate pores
Concentration of dissolved oxygen within	p _o	Partial pressure of gaseous oxygen in inter-
agglomerates		agglomerate pores
Average molar concentration of gas i in inter-	R	Gas constant
agglomerate pores	R _m	Resistance of the membrane
Concentration of dissolved oxygen in outer surface of	$R_0(t)$	Mass of dissolved oxygen in agglomerate at time t
ionomer film	$R_{e}(t)$	Average oxygen reduction rate in the catalyst layer at
Total molar concentration of all gases in inter-		time t
agglomerate pores	Sa	Volumetric reactive surface area of catalyst in
Concentration of dissolved oxygen in equilibrium with		agglomerate
gaseous oxygen in inter-agglomerate pores	Т	Temperature
Reference dissolved oxygen concentration	Vi	Volume of voxel in the 3D image of the reconstructed
Diffusion coefficient of dissolved oxygen in ionomer		catalyst layer
Effective diffusion coefficient of dissolved oxygen in	Vi	Average volume of the ionomer in each agglomerate
agglomerates		voxel
Effective binary diffusion coefficient of gases <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in	α _c	Cathode transfer coefficient
CL and GDL	β	Agglomerate model parameter
Binary diffusion coefficient of gases <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in free space	α	Agglomerate model parameter

Binary diffusion coefficient of gases <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in free space
Effectiveness factor of agglomerate model
Theoretical oxygen reduction potential
Cell voltage
Tortuosity of GDL in in-plane direction
Tortuosity of GDL in through-plane direction
Faraday constant
Henry's constant for oxygen dissolution in ionomer
Average current density
Local current density
Reference exchange current density
Average oxygen reduction rate coefficient in
agglomerate

Nomenclature

 A_1 c'

Ci

Co

С

 C^{eq}

C_{ref} D_0

D'

 D_{ii}^{eq}

pores between the agglomerates (inter-agglomerate pores). The ionomer film provides pathway for protons to transport, whilst the inter-agglomerate pores provide pathways for gaseous species to move [9].

In the cathode CL, gaseous oxygen moves from the GDL into the inter-agglomerate pores first, and then dissolves in the liquids before moving into the catalyst sites within the agglomerate to electrochemically react with protons and electrons [10]. In fuel cell modelling, the movement of gaseous oxygen in the interagglomerate pores is often assumed to be diffusive and modelled by a volumetric average diffusion equation. The diffusion and reaction of the dissolved oxygen within the agglomerate, however, cannot be explicitly resolved. Instead, they are treated as a sink term and calculated by a method known as agglomerate model in the literature [11].

The oxygen needs to overcome a number of resistances, including its dissolution in the ionomer film and the consequent diffusion in the ionomer film and the agglomerate [12], before it can react with electron and proton on the catalyst sites. The relative significance of each resistance, however, is poorly understood. With a few exceptions [13–15], the most common method used in the literature to describe these resistances is the spherical agglomerate model by idealising the CL as a packing of isolated spheres [16,17]. This idealisation not only oversimplifies the CL structure [4,18–21], but is also self-defeating. For example, its assumption of isolated and non-touched spheres physically makes the CL insulate to electrons as electrons can only transport through the carbon grains. Hence, the spherical agglomerate model is just to introduce a resistance to oxygen diffusion rather than a geometrical description of the CL structure. This explains why the agglomerate diameter

used in the spherical agglomerate model varies so widely in the literature, ranging from 200 nm to 6000 nm, far bigger than the average agglomerate size revealed by tomography, which is approximately 100 nm [22,23]. The thickness of the ionomer film used in the spherical models is up to 80 nm, also much thicker than real ionomer film, which is only about 10 nm thick [5]. The inferiority of the spherical model is well known, but still remains the dominant models in the literature due to the lack of alternatives [3.24-28].

Agglomerate model parameter

Local overpotential

Electrolyte potential

Protonic conductivity of CL

Intra-agglomerate porosity

Electronic conductivity of GDL and CL

Size of the voxels in the 3D CL images

Average thickness of the ionomer film

GDL porosity

Solid potential

There has been an increase over the past few years in use of nano-tomography to characterise CL at resolutions of a few nanometres [4,18-20,29]. This makes simulation of oxygen diffusion and reduction in a real CL feasible, and can be used to directly calculate the electrochemical reaction rate without need to simplify the CL structure [30,31]. The concern over this direct method is its computational cost. In fuel cell modelling, the CL is often divided into a number of grids. Since the reaction rate depends on overpotential, which varies spatially in the CL, the above direct method needs to calculate the reaction rate in each numerical grid using pore-scale simulation; this is computationally expensive. For practical use, therefore, it is ideal to derive a simple formula that can adequately describe the average reaction rate by explicitly taking all agglomerate processes into account. We made such an effort by assuming that the ionomer film was thin [32]. In this paper, we show how to derive the agglomerate model for a given agglomerate structure by describing oxygen movement and reaction within it as two independent random processes. We also apply the model to simulate a cathode electrode in an attempt to elucidate the relative significance of each resistance to oxygen diffusion in the agglomerates.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7731730

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7731730

Daneshyari.com