Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Power Sources

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

Enhanced electrochemical properties of $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ composites synthesized from $\text{FePO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ nanocrystallites

Li Chen, Yong-Qiang Yuan, Xia Feng, Ming-Wei Li*

Department of Chemistry, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

HIGHLIGHTS

▶ Pre-synthesized FePO₄·2H₂O nanocrystallites are used to synthesize LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄.

▶ Well-ordered LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄ (x = 0.85, 0.75, 0.65) nanostructures form.

► LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄/C composites have enhanced electrochemical properties.

► LiFe_{0.25}Mn_{0.75}PO₄ sample exhibits the best electrochemical performances.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 January 2012 Received in revised form 23 April 2012 Accepted 27 April 2012 Available online 4 May 2012

Keywords: Lithium-ion battery Cathode material Lithium manganese phosphate Iron substitution

ABSTRACT

The high discharge potential of LiMnPO₄, 4.1 V vs. Li/Li⁺, and its theoretical capacity of 170 mAh g⁻¹ make it a promising candidate as a cathode material in lithium-ion batteries. But extremely low electronics conductivity, slow lithium diffusion kinetics, and the Jahn-Teller effect of Mn³⁺ limit the electrochemical performances of LiMnPO₄. In this work, the pre-synthesized and defined FePO₄·2H₂O nanocrystallites are used as one of the raw materials to synthesize LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄/C (x = 0.85, 0.75, 0.65) composites via solid-state reactions. The synthesized LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄ samples show well-crystallized structures and have enhanced electrochemical properties. There exist two plateaus around 3.5 and 4.1 V on both of their charge and discharge curves. Among the samples, the Fe_{0.25}Mn_{0.75}PO₄ one exhibits the longest highvoltage charge/discharge plateau at 4.10 V/4.05 V, and has an average discharge voltage of ~3.78 V vs. Li/Li⁺ and a discharge capacity of ~130 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.05 C rate. For the Fe_{0.25}Mn_{0.75}PO₄ sample, the noticeable improvement of its electrochemical performances is mainly attributed to iron substitution, the appropriate Mn/Fe ratio, and the well-ordered crystal structure forming by using FePO₄·2H₂O nanocrystallites as one of the raw materials.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Olivine structural lithium transition-metal phosphates LiMPO₄ (M = Fe, Mn, Co, and Ni) were found to be ones of the most promising candidates as the cathode materials for large-scale lithium-ion batteries due to their large theoretical capacities and excellent thermal stability [1–4]. Among them, LiFePO₄ has the inherent characteristics of low-cost and environmental friendliness, so it has been extensively studied and its electrochemical properties have been greatly improved by cation substitution/ doping and surface modification with conductive materials coating to enhance its low conductivity [5–11].

0378-7753/\$ – see front matter \odot 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.04.089

LiMnPO₄ is of particular interest to battery industry because of its higher theoretical energy density than LiFePO₄. It has higher discharge potential (4.1 V vs. Li/Li⁺) than LiFePO₄ (3.4 V vs. Li/Li⁺), and its theoretical capacity of 170 mAh g^{-1} is almost the same as LiFePO₄. However, the even lower electronic conductivity and slow lithium diffusion limit LiMnPO4 electrochemical performances [2,3]. Another unavoidable problem is the electrochemical stability of LiMnPO₄ is badly affected by the Jahn-Teller effect of Mn^{3+} . During cycling, the shrinkage of about 8.9% occurs during LiMnPO₄ delithiated to form MnPO₄, but only 6.8% during LiFePO₄ delithiated to form FePO₄. Additionally, the cell volume of $LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO_4$ increases with increasing Mn^{2+} content *x*, while the volume is almost constant in Fe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄ at different Mn³⁺ content x [12–15]. Therefore, appropriate iron substitution is beneficial to keeping stable volume of LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄ during the lithium extraction/insertion.



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 22 27890272; fax: +86 22 27403475. *E-mail address*: mingweili@tju.edu.cn (M.-W. Li).

Inspired by the success of LiFePO₄, researchers have tried similar methods to improve the electrochemical properties of LiMnPO₄ [16–21]. It has been reported that an improvement in kinetics was realized as partial Mn ions were substituted by Fe to form LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄, such as LiFe_{0.2}Mn_{0.8}PO₄ [13,20]. The Fe substitution in Mn-sites probably has two main benefits: one is enhancing the transport properties of materials; the other is decreasing the Jahn–Teller effect of Mn³⁺.

Some researchers synthesized monoclinic phase FePO₄·2H₂O nanoparticles at first, and then lithiated them to form LiFePO₄/C composites, which show improved high-rate charge/discharge capability and long-term cyclability [21,22]. Wang et al. synthesized LiFePO₄/C composite using a core—shell structural precursor FePO₄/ polyaniline, and suggested that the LiFePO₄/C nanoparticles form by an *in situ* polymerization restriction mechanism [23]. Additionally, LiMnPO₄ has ever been synthesized from a MnPO₄·2H₂O precursor. It was reported that the MnPO₄·2H₂O nanoparticles facilely react with lithium source and form LiMnPO₄ via solid-state reactions at 550 °C [24,25], and the synthesized LiMnPO₄ shows improved electrochemical properties.

In this work, iron substitution is utilized to improve the electrochemical properties of LiMnPO₄. To synthesize a well-ordered LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄ crystal structure, the FePO₄·2H₂O nanocrystallites are pre-synthesized and defined, and then are used as one of the raw materials to form a precursor by ball milling with Li-, Mn-, PO₄-, and carbon-containing chemicals. The precursors finally form LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄/C (x = 0.85, 0.75, 0.65) composites via solid-state reactions at 550 °C. The synthesized LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄ nanoparticles exhibit well-ordered crystal structures, and show obvious enhanced electrochemical properties.

2. Experimental

FePO₄·2H₂O were pre-synthesized by a spontaneous precipitation method. Equimolar aqueous solution of H₃PO₄ and FeCl₂ with a concentration of 0.1 M was added into a continuous stirred beaker in water bath at 60 °C. After 10 min, excess H₂O₂ solution (30 wt.%), the molar ratio of H₂O₂/FeCl₂ = 1.1/2, was fed into the beaker. The pH value of the mixed solution was controlled between 4.0 and 5.0 by adding ammonia. At the initial stage of the reaction, there appeared precipitate in the beaker. After stirring 15 min, the precipitate was filtered and washed with de-ionized water until its pH value became neutral. The obtained precipitate was dried at 120 °C for 12 h.

LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄/C (x = 0.85, 0.75, 0.65) composites were synthesized by solid-state reactions after a two-stage ball milling process. Stoichiometric amounts of the above synthesized FePO₄·2H₂O, Li₂CO₃, Mn(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O, NH₄H₂PO₄, and sucrose (25 wt.% of LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄) were dissolved in de-ionized water. This solution was wet ball-milled for 10 h at room temperature and dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h. The dried precursor was heated at 300 °C for 5 h under argon atmosphere for its main decomposition. Then the decomposed precursor was calcined at 550 °C for 10 h in an Ar atmosphere until the expected LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄/C composites formed. As a contrast, LiMnPO₄/C (i.e., x = 1.0) composite was also synthesized using the same synthesis condition only without FePO₄·2H₂O in the precursor.

To study the thermal stability of the precursor and optimize the synthesis condition, thermogravimetric and differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC) analyses were performed using a simultaneous thermal analyzer (STA 409 PC/PG, NETZSCH). About 10 mg sample was heated from room temperature to appropriate temperatures in N₂ at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

The crystalline structures of the samples were analyzed by a powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D/max 2500 V/PC, Rigaku) using Cu K α radiation. Lattice parameters were calculated from the refined XRD patterns. The crystallite size was obtained from XRD results and calculated by Scherrer formula, $d = k \cdot \lambda / (\beta \cdot \cos \theta)$, where d (nm) is the mean crystallite size, k (= 0.89) is the shape factor of particles, λ (= 0.154056 nm) is the X-ray wavelength, β (radian) is the half-width of the main diffraction peak, and θ (radian) is the Bragg angle of main diffraction peak. Morphology and microstructure of the samples were investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, XL30, Philips) and a field-emission highresolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, Tecnai G² F20, FEI) with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). An infrared carbon and sulfur analyzer (CS-901B, Haotianhui) was used to analyze the carbon content of the samples.

The electrochemical measurements were performed using 2032 coin-type cells with lithium metal as the anode. For cathode fabrication, at first a mixture was made by well mixing the synthesized LiFe_{1-x}Mn_xPO₄/C composite, conductive carbon black (Super P), and N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) in a weight ratio of 80/10/10. Then the mixture was spread onto aluminum foil and formed a uniform thin layer with a thickness of 150 µm. It was dried at 80 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h to remove NMP. The coated aluminum foil was dried at 120 °C overnight under vacuum and punched into 13 mm diameter disks, which served as the cathode. 1 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate (50/50 in volume) was used as the electrolyte. All cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box. The coin cells were placed on a battery testing system (CT2001A, LAND) with current density at 0.05 C $(1 \text{ C} = 170 \text{ mA g}^{-1})$ in a voltage window between 2.5 and 4.5 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

At first, $\ensuremath{\text{FePO}_4\ensuremath{\cdot}2H_2O}$ nanocrystallites were synthesized according to the reaction

 $2FeCl_2+2NH_4H_2PO_4+H_2O_2=2FePO_4\cdot 2H_2O+2NH_4Cl+2HCl$

The precipitation was washed, dried, and used as one of the raw materials to synthesize $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ composites.

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of the dried precipitation. The pattern matches well with the monoclinic iron phosphate hydrate,



Fig. 1. XRD pattern of the synthesized FePO₄·2H₂O sample.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7743120

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7743120

Daneshyari.com