# Two different porous coordination polymers regulated by the conformations of flexible ligands 

Hong-Qing Hao *, Chun-Ying Wang, Zhi-Cong Chen<br>School of Chemistry and Environment, Jiaying University, Meizhou 514015, PR China

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 7 August 2014
Received in revised form 23 September 2014
Accepted 25 September 2014
Available online 28 September 2014

## Keywords:

Porous polymer
Homochiral
Pillared-bilayer


#### Abstract

Two porous coordination polymers, $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}(2,3-\mathrm{pydc})(\mathrm{bpp}) \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}(\mathbf{1})\right.$ and $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}(2,3-\mathrm{pydc})(\mathrm{bpp}) \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}(\mathbf{2})(2,3-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pydc $=$ pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, $\mathrm{bpp}=1,3$-bis(4-pyridyl)propane), have been synthesized with the same reactant ratio in different solvents. Two complexes have the same components, coordination environment of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, as well as the coordination mode of ligands, but represent two quite different porous architectures. $\mathbf{1}$ is a homochiral $2 \mathrm{D} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{D}$ porous polymer with polycatenated layers of achiral ligands. $\mathbf{2}$ is a 2 D centrosymmetric pillared-bilayer porous polymer with water-filled channels. They have been characterized by infrared spectroscopy, elemental analysis, thermogravimetric analysis and X-ray crystallography.


© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The design and synthesis of novel coordination polymers have been paid much attention because of their intriguing variety of structures and fascinating properties [1,2]. Of particular interest is to construct chiral or porous coordination polymers [3,4]. Up to date, many interesting porous coordination polymers have been constructed by the flexible ligands [5,6]. The construction of porous coordination polymers is influenced by many factors, such as metal ions, organic ligands, counteranions, experimental temperature, solvent molecules and pH [7]. It could be potential dynamic materials as they may sustain the changes caused by external stimuli. We have recently investigated a series of flexible coordination polymers based on flexible dipyridyl ligands, such as the $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{RCO}_{2}$-bpp ( $\mathrm{R}=$ phenyl or pyridyl, bpp $=1,3-\mathrm{bis}(4-$ pyridyl) propane) systems [8]. In order to study the influence of experimental conditions on the structural and properties of these polymers, herein we report two different porous coordination polymers of the same components, regulated by the conformations of the flexible ligands under different solvents, $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}(2,3-\mathrm{pydc})(\mathrm{bpp}) \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}(\mathbf{1})\right.$ and $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}(2,3-\mathrm{pydc})(\mathrm{bpp}) \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} \quad\right.$ (2) (2,3- $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pydc $=$ pyridine-2,3dicarboxylic acid, bpp = 1,3-bis(4-pyridyl)propane). $\mathbf{1}$ is a 3 D homochiral porous polymer with 2D polycatenated bilayers. $\mathbf{2}$ is a 2D pillared-bilayer porous polymer with water-filled channels.

The room-temperature solution reactions of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pydc and bpp in mixed solvents of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and MeOH , generate complex 1, while the same reactants in mixed solvents of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and triethylamine (TEA) give complex 2 [9].

1 crystallizes in the $P 4_{1} 2_{1} 2$ chiral space group [10,15], while its enantiomer in the $P 4_{3} 2_{1} 2$ chiral space group can be synthesized under

[^0]another experimental conditions [11]. There are one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom, one 2,3-pydc ${ }^{2-}$ ligand, one bpp ligand and 2.5 water molecules in the asymmetric unit. The $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom is five-coordinate in a distorted square-pyramidal coordination environment, which is coordinated by two 0 atoms and one N atom from two 2,3-pydc ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, one N atom of bpp ligand, and one N atom from another bpp (Fig. 1a). The 1D chains are linked by the bpp ligands of $T T$ conformation [12] with a $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance of 9.3221 (1) $\AA$ and a dihedral angle of $71.374^{\circ}$ between the two pyridyl rings (Fig. 3), thus leading to a 2D layer (Fig. 1b). The 1D nanosized elliptical-shaped tubes occur in the dimension of $10.02 \times 10.30 \AA$ (Fig. 1c). Moreover, the 2D layers with 1D nanosized elliptical-shaped tubes polycatenate with two near identical motifs on the bc plane (Fig. 1d). The interpenetration layers give an interesting 2D $\rightarrow$ 3D polymer (Fig. 1e). 1 retains a void of $636.6 \AA^{3}, 15.3 \%$ of the unit cell volume, calculated by PLATON [13], which is larger than its enantiomer [11].

The X-ray crystal structure of complex $\mathbf{2}$ is a 2D pillared-bilayer polymer with water-filled channels, crystallized in Pbon space group. The asymmetric unit contains one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom, one 2,3pydc $^{2-}$ ligand, one bpp ligand and 3.5 water molecules. The Cu (II) atom is also five-coordinate with a square-pyramidal coordination environment (Fig. 2a). The coordination mode adopted by the 2,3-pydc ${ }^{2-}$ ligand in $\mathbf{2}$ is the same as in complex $\mathbf{1}$ (Fig. 2b), that is, the pyridyl N atom and 2-position carboxylate $O$ atom of the 2,3-pydc ${ }^{2-}$ ligand chelate one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom and a 3-position carboxylate O atom coordinates to another $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom, resulting in a zigzag chain. The zigzag chains are linked by the bpp ligands, which adopt a different TG conformation [12], with an $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance of 8.5313(1) A and a dihedral angle of $67.904^{\circ}$ between the two pyridyl rings (Fig. 3), resulting in a 2D layer.

It should be noted that the framework of $\mathbf{2}$ is similar to the literature [14], but is different in the number of guest water molecules, solventaccessible void volume, as well as crystallographic parameters. Similar
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Fig. 1. Coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ : (a) the undulating 2D layer with a (4,4) topology along the crystallographic $c$-axis, (b) elliptical-shaped tubes along $a$-axis in the dimension of $10.02 \times 10.30 \AA(c)$, the polycatenated elliptical tube on the $b c$ plane (d), and the 2D $\rightarrow 3 \mathrm{D}$ interpenetration polymer (e) in $\mathbf{1}$.
to literature, the 2D layer is composed of the $[\mathrm{Cu}(2,3-$ pydc $)$ (pyridine$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ )] on the $a b$ plane (Fig. 2 c , d ), which is connected via a "pillar" composed of the pyridine part of the same bpp ligand to another layer along the crystallographic c-axis, forming the 2D pillared-bilayer porous architecture. The 1D water-filled channels were found along the $a$-axis inside of the pillared-bilayer (Fig. 2e). The estimated sol-vent-accessible void volume is $973.3 \AA^{3}$ per unit cell, which is $22.4 \%$ of the unit cell volume, calculated by PLATON [13].

To estimate the thermal stability of the title complexes, thermal analysis experiments were carried out on single-phase polycrystalline samples under nitrogen atmosphere (Fig. S1). In the TG curve of 1, the first weight loss of $9.3 \%$ at $35-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the removal of two and a half guest water molecules per formula unit (calcd. 9.5\%), and then, a major weight loss occurred at $175-370{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which may correspond to the loss of organic ligands. For 2, the first weight loss of $12.3 \%$ below $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is attributed to the loss of the three and a half guest water molecules (calcd, 12.9\%).

In summary, we have assembled two porous $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ coordination polymers with different conformations of the flexible linker under different solvents. Both complexes have the same components, coordination environment of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms, as well as the same coordination mode of the $2,3-$ pydc $^{2-}$ ligand. The crucial difference is the conformations of flexibly bridging bpp ligands. The bpp ligand with $T T$ conformation leads to a
$2 \mathrm{D} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{D}$ porous homochiral polymer, while the $T G$ conformation results in a 2D pillared-bilayer porous centrosymmetric polymer. The results may provide a feasible strategy toward the regulated assembly of dynamic porous coordination polymers via the solvents and the conformations of flexible linkers.
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## Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 1017626 and 1017627 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for 1 and 2. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk/data_request/cif. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the section of Supporting Information at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.inoche.2014.09.034. These data include MOL files and InChiKeys of the most important compounds described in this article.
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