ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Inorganica Chimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ica



Mixed-ligand 1,3-diaryltriazenide complexes of ruthenium: Synthesis, structure and catalytic properties



Nabanita Saha Chowdhury ^a, Chhandasi GuhaRoy ^a, Ray J. Butcher ^b, Samaresh Bhattacharya ^{a,*}

- ^a Department of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Section, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, India
- ^b Department of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington, DC 20059, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 3 May 2013
Received in revised form 24 June 2013
Accepted 25 June 2013
Available online 4 July 2013

Keywords: 1,3-Diaryltriazenes Ruthenium complexes Crystal structure Catalytic properties

ABSTRACT

Reaction of 1,3-diaryltriazenes (abbreviated in general as HL-R, where H represents the dissociable N–H proton and R is the *para* substituent ($R = OCH_3$, CH_3 , H, Cl, NO_2) on the aryl fragment) with $[Ru(PPh_3)_2(CO)_2Cl_2]$ in 2-methoxyethanol in the presence of a base (NEt_3) affords a family of yellow complexes of the type $[Ru(PPh_3)_2(L-R)(CO)(H)]$, where the 1,3-diaryltriazenes are coordinated as monoanionic bidentate NN-donors. Two triphenylphosphines, a hydride and a carbonyl are also coordinated to ruthenium. The triphenylphosphines are mutually *trans*, and the hydride and carbonyl are mutually cis. Structure of the $[Ru(PPh_3)_2(L-H)(CO)(H)]$ complex has been determined by X-ray crystallography. All the complexes show intense absorptions in the visible region, which are assigned, based on DFT calculations, to transitions within orbitals of the triazenide ligand. The complexes exhibit an irreversible oxidation on the positive side of SCE and an irreversible reduction on the negative side. All the complexes are found to efficiently catalyze transfer hydrogenation reactions.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There has been considerable current attention on the coordination chemistry of the 1,3-diaryltriazenes primarily because of their varied modes of binding [1-3]. The 1,3-diaryltriazenide anion, formed in situ via loss of the acidic N-H proton, is a short-bite ligand, which is known to serve as monodentate ligand [1], chelating bidentate ligand [2], and also as bridging ligand [3]. However, in most of the cases it usually coordinates to a metal center as bidentate NN-donor forming a four-membered chelate ring (1) [2]. It is also worth mentioning that the 1,3-diaryltriazenes find important applications in biological field [4]. We have recently explored the chemistry of two groups of 1,3-diaryltriazenide complexes of rhodium [5], and the present work has originated from our continued interest in the triazenide complexes of other platinum group of metals. For the present study we have chosen a family of five 1,3-diaryltriazenes (HL-R) differing in the para-substituent R on the arvl fragment, and selected ruthenium as the metal center. The chosen ligands are abbreviated in general as HL-R, where H stands for the dissociable N-H hydrogen and R for the para-substituent. As the ruthenium starting material [Ru(PPh₃)₂(CO)₂Cl₂] has been selected, because of its demonstrated ability to readily accommodate ligands of different types via displacement of some of the pre-coordinated ligands [6]. The primary objective of the undertaken study has been to synthesize a series of mixed-ligand ruthenium complexes of 1,3-diaryltriazenes, and find out the coordination mode of the triazenes in the complexes. Reaction of the selected 1,3-diaryltriazenes (HL-R) with [Ru(PPh₃)₂(CO)₂Cl₂] has indeed afforded a group of interesting mixed-ligand complexes, and herein we describe the chemistry of these complexes, with special reference to their formation, structure, spectral and electrochemical properties, and catalytic activity.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +91 33 24146223. E-mail address: samaresh_b@hotmail.com (S. Bhattacharya).

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Commercial ruthenium trichloride, purchased from Arora Matthey, Kolkata, India, was converted to RuCl₃·3H₂O by repeated evaporation with concentrated hydrochloric acid. Triphenylphosphine was purchased from Loba Chemie, Mumbai, India. The *para*-substituted anilines were obtained from S.D. Fine-Chem, Mumbai, India. [Ru(PPh₃)₂(CO)₂Cl₂] and the 1,3-diaryltriazenes were prepared by following reported procedures [7,8]. Tetrabutylammonium hexaflurophosphate (TBHP), obtained from Sigma–Aldrich, was used for electrochemical work. Purification of dichloromethane and acetonitrile for electrochemical work was performed as reported in the literature [9]. All other chemicals and solvents were reagent grade commercial materials and were used as received.

2.2. Synthesis of the complexes

All the [Ru(PPh₃)₂(L-R)(CO)(H)] complexes were synthesized by following a general procedure. Specific details are given below for a particular complex.

[Ru(PPh₃)₂(L-OCH₃)(CO)(H)]. To a solution of HL-OCH₃ (33 mg, 0.13 mmol) in 2-methoxyethanol (40 mL) was added triethylamine (13 mg, 0.13 mmol), followed by [Ru(PPh₃)₂(CO)₂Cl₂] (100 mg, 0.13 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 24 h, which yielded a yellow solution. The solution was evaporated in air to afford a yellow solid, which was purified by thin layer chromatography on a silica plate. With 1:1 hexane–benzene as eluant a yellow band separated, which was extracted by acetonitrile. Evaporation of this acetonitrile extract yielded [Ru(PPh₃)₂(L-OCH₃)(CO)(H)] as a yellow crystalline solid. Yield: 67%. Anal. Calc. for C₅₁H₄₅N₃ O₃P₂Ru: C, 67.25; H, 4.95; N, 4.62. Found: C, 67.47; H, 4.91; N, 4.67%. Mass: 934, [M+Na]⁺. ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, δ ppm¹: −12.90 (t, hydride, J = 20.6); 3.46 (s, OCH₃); 3.51 (s, OCH₃); 6.64 (d, 2H, J = 8.9); 6.76 (d, 2H, J = 9.0); 6.90 (d, 2H, J = 8.9); 7.01 (d, 2H, J = 9.3); 7.14–7.70 (30H*). IR, $\bar{\nu}$ cm⁻¹: 1926, 1634, 1501, 1438, 1280, 1098, 818, 745, 694 and 518.

[Ru(PPh₃)₂(L-CH₃)(CO)(H)]. Yield: 72%. Anal. Calc. for C₅₁H₄₅N₃-O₁P₂Ru: C, 69.70; H, 5.13; N, 4.78. Found: C, 69.67; H, 5.02; N, 4.80%. Mass: 902, [M+Na]⁺. ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, δ ppm: -12.87 (t, hydride, J = 20.6); 2.17 (s, CH₃); 2.23 (s, CH₃); 6.33 (d, 2H, J = 7.2); 6.46 (d, 2H, J = 7.4); 6.79 (d, 2H, J = 7.3); 6.87 (d, 2H, J = 7.6); 6.95–7.70 (30H*). IR, $\bar{\nu}$ cm⁻¹: 1928, 1636, 1502, 1436, 1279, 1094, 819, 746, 694 and 516.

[*Ru*(*PPh*₃)₂(*L-H*)(*CO*)(*H*)]. Yield: 70%. *Anal*. Calc. for $C_{49}H_{41}N_{3}O_{1-}$ P₂Ru: C, 69.18; H, 4.82; N, 4.94. Found: C, 69.46; H, 4.74; N, 4.98%. Mass: 874, [M + Na]⁺. ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, δ ppm: -12.85 (t, hydride, J = 20.6); 6.94 (t, 1H, J = 6.8); 7.04 (t, 1H, J = 7.3); 7.36 (d, 2H, J = 7.5); 7.26 (d, 2H, J = 7.2); 7.20–7.70 (34H*). IR, $\bar{\nu}$ cm⁻¹: 1928, 1636, 1500,1435, 1280, 1097, 817, 745, 695 and 517.

[*Ru(PPh*₃)₂(*L-Cl)(CO)(H)*]. Yield: 72%. *Anal.* Calc. for C₄₉H₃₉N₃O₁-P₂Cl₂Ru: C, 63.98; H, 4.24; N, 4.57. Found: C, 64.10; H, 4.16; N, 4.63%. Mass: 943, [M+Na]⁺. ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, δ ppm: -12.93 (t, hydride, J = 20.6); 6.75 (d, 2H, J = 7.4); 7.00 (d, 2H, J = 8.6); 7.22-7.70 (34H*). IR, \bar{v} cm⁻¹: 1929, 1634, 1502, 1436, 1279, 1096, 816, 746, 695 and 516

[*Ru(PPh*₃)₂(*L-NO*₂)(*CO*)(*H*)]. Yield: 69%. *Anal.* Calc. for C₄₉H₃₉N₅-O₅P₂Ru: C, 62.55; H, 4.15; N, 7.45. Found: C, 62.61; H, 4.09; N, 7.49%. Mass: 964, [M+Na]⁺. ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, δ ppm: -12.95 (t, hydride, J = 20.6); 7.03 (d, 2H, J = 8.2); 7.54 (d, 2H, J = 8.3); 7.20–7.89 (34H*). IR, \bar{v} cm⁻¹: 1930, 1635, 1503, 1438, 1278, 1094, 820, 744, 694 and 515.

2.3. Physical measurements

Microanalyses (C. H and N) were performed using a Heraeus Carlo Erba 1108 elemental analyzer. Mass spectra were recorded with a Micromass LCT electrospray (Qtof Micro YA263) mass spectrometer by electrospray ionization method. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured using a Sherwood MK-1 balance. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solution on a Bruker Avance DPX 300 NMR spectrometer using TMS as the internal standard. IR spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu FTIR-8300 spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr pellets. Electronic spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-570 spectrophotometer. Optimization of groundstate structures and energy calculations for all the complexes were carried out by density functional theory (DFT) method using the GAUSSIAN 03 package [10], where B3LYP was chosen as the basis function and 631g(d,p) basis set was taken for H. C and N. and SDD basis set for Ru and P. Electrochemical measurements were made using a CH Instruments model 600A electrochemical analyzer. A platinum disc working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode and an aqueous saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE) were used in the cyclic voltammetry experiments. All electrochemical experiments were performed under a dinitrogen atmosphere. All electrochemical data were collected at 298 K and are uncorrected for junction potentials. GC-MS analyses were performed using a Perkin Elmer CLARUS 680 instrument.

2.4. Crystallography

Single crystals of $[Ru(PPh_3)_2(L-H)(CO)(H)]$ were obtained by slow diffusion of hexane into a solution of the complex in dichloromethane. Selected crystal data and data collection parameters are given in Table 1. Data were collected on a Bruker SMART Apex CCD area detector using graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). X-ray data reduction, structure solution and refinement were done using SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 programs [11]. The structure was solved by the direct methods.

2.5. Catalysis: general procedure for the transfer hydrogenation reactions

A mixture of ketone (1 mmol), a known mole percent of the catalyst and KOH (0.06 mmol) was dissolved in 2-propanol (5 mL),

Table 1Crystallographic data for [Ru(PPh₃)₂(L-H)(CO)(H)].

<u> </u>	1 (3/2) // // //
Empirical formula	$C_{49}H_{41}N_3O_1P_2Ru_1$
Formula weight	850.86
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	ΡĪ
a (Å)	11.8767(17)
b (Å)	14.0894(15)
c (Å)	14.9356(15)
α (°)	66.122(10)
β (°)	69.210(11)
γ (°)	65.226(12)
$V(Å^3)$	2022.7(5)
Z	2
λ (Å)	0.71073
Crystal size (mm)	$0.23\times0.36\times0.39$
T (K)	203
μ (mm $^{-1}$)	0.508
R_1^a	0.0369
wR_2^b	0.1074
Goodness-of-fit ^c	1.04

a $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_0|$.

¹ Chemical shifts are given in ppm and multiplicity of the signals along with the associated coupling constants (*J* in Hz) are given in parentheses. Overlapping signals are marked with an asterisk

b $wR_2 = \left[\sum \{w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2\}/\sum \{w(F_0^2)\}\right]^{1/2}$.

^c Goodness-of-fit = $[\Sigma(w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2)/(M - N)]^{1/2}$, where M is the number of reflections and N is the number of parameters refined.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7751341

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7751341

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>