Polyhedron 144 (2018) 187-197

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

Impact of the substitution pattern of xylyl-bridged 1,4-bis(1,4,7-triazacyclononane) ligands on the crystal structures of their zinc(II) complexes and their ability to bind to N-terminally tagged proteins *



POLYHEDRON

Campbell J. Coghlan^{a,b,1}, Eva M. Campi^{a,b}, Stuart R. Batten^b, W. Roy Jackson^{a,b}, Milton T.W. Hearn^{a,b,*}

^a Centre for Green Chemistry, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia ^b Department of Chemistry, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 November 2017 Accepted 12 January 2018

Keywords: Zinc(II) aza-macrocyclic complexes Crystal structures Xylenyl linkers IMAC separations Bis(tacn) ligands

ABSTRACT

Reactions of *ortho-*, *para-* and substituted *meta-*xylenyl bridged bis(tacn) ligands with two or three molar equivalents of $Zn(NO_3)_2$ - GH_2O at pH 5.0 in the presence of $NaClO_4$ or NH_4PF_6 resulted in the formation of a range of crystalline zinc complexes. In the presence of perchlorate counter ions the L^{px} and L^{phx} ligands gave rise to dinuclear Zn^{2+} coordination complexes $[Zn_2Cl_2(L^{px})(H_2O)_2](ClO_4)_2(H_2O)$ and $[Zn_2Cl_2(L^{phx})(H_2O)_2](ClO_4)_2(H_2O)_2$ respectively, which formed infinite 1D polymeric chains. In contrast, in the presence of the hexafluorophosphate counter ion, the L^{nix} ligand formed a unique tetranuclear Zn^{2+} complex, $[Zn_2Cl_2(L^{nix})(H_2O)_3](Cl)(PF_6)_2(H_2O)_4$, the L^{cax} ligand formed an octanuclear complex $[Zn_8Cl_4(L^{cax})_4(H_2O)_{10}]$ (PF₆)_{6.7}(NO₃)_{1.3}(H₂O)_{3.3}, whilst the L^{0x} ligand formed a mononuclear sandwich structure, $[Zn_1(L^{0x})]$ (PF₆)_{6.7}(NO₃)_{1.3}(H₂O)_{3.3}, whilst the L^{0x} ligand crystallised as $[H_4(L^{px})](NO_3)_2(PF_6)(H_2O)_2$ with the stacked ligand cations forming a hydrogen-bonded network. These structural attributes provide an explanation for the significant differences in binding behaviour of recombinant N-terminally tagged proteins with the corresponding Zn^{2+} -azamacrocyclic complexes, compared to the analogous Cu^{2+} - or Ni²⁺-azamacrocyclic complexes, when immobilised onto support materials and used as immobilised metal affinity chromatographic (IMAC) adsorbents.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, the physicochemical properties of a variety of xylenyl bridged bis(tacn) metal complexes have been investigated. These macrocyclic complexes have been based on derivatised *ortho-*, *meta-* and *para-*xylenes [1–10], on complexes generated from the functionalisation of tri- or tetra-methylben-zenes [11–13] or on complexes derived from a *meta-*xylene core

but with additional functionalisation of the aromatic ring [14– 18]. These ligands and their metal complexes have been used in a variety of applications, ranging from modelling of enzyme active sites [13,19], phosphate ester hydrolysis as models for DNA or RNA cleavage [2,10,14,15,20], and chemical oxidation reactions as catalysis [21,22]. Graham and co-workers have also examined [3,5] the binding behaviour of several Cu²⁺-xylenyl bridged bis(tacn) complexes with a selection of small peptides and small globular proteins.

Recently, research effort has focused on the potential of these ligands in immobilised metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) as a platform technology for protein purification [23–28]. IMAC involves the immobilisation of a mono- to tetra-dentate chelating ligand onto a solid support material followed by coordination of a suitable metal ion to this immobilised ligand. The metal ion then interacts with specific metal binding sites presented as accessible motifs within the folded structure of the protein. Often today, these motifs are introduced as unique peptide 'tags' by genetic engineering procedures and attached at the N- or C-terminus of the protein of interest. This approach enables the ready removal



Abbreviations: Tacn, 1,4,7-triazacyclononane; L^{ox}, 1,2-bis(1,4,7-triazacyclonon-1-yl-methyl)benzene; L^{px}, 1,4-bis(1,4,7-triazacyclonon-1-ylmethyl)benzene; L^{phx}, 3,5-bis(1,4,7-tri-azacyclonon-1-ylmethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl; L^{cax}, 3,5-bis(1,4,7-triazacyclonon-1-ylmethyl)-benzoic acid; L^{nix}, 3,5-bis(1,4,7-triazacyclonon-1-ylmethyl)-1-nitrobenzene.

^{*} This article is dedicated to our colleague and good friend, Professor Leone Spiccia, whose untimely death in December 2016 cut short a brilliant career in inorganic and materials chemistry.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Centre for Green Chemistry, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia.

E-mail address: milton.hearn@monash.edu (M.T.W. Hearn).

¹ Current address: School of Chemistry and Physics, Centre for Advanced Nanomaterials, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia.

of unrelated proteins and most fermentation/cell culture contaminants in a single step with subsequent elution of the target protein selectively [23–28].

Previous studies have predominantly examined the various coordination modes and protein binding behaviour of several of these xylenyl bridged bis(tacn) ligands and related alkyl bridged bis(tacn) ligands with Cu²⁺ [2-4,6,7,9-11,13,14,17,18,24-28] and Ni²⁺ [4,7,8,11,12,17,24–28] ions. Much less attention has been given to the coordination status and protein binding behaviour of xylenyl bridged bis(tacn) ligands complexed with Zn²⁺ ions [1,10,14,28]. This circumstance can be contrasted to the strong interest in the dinuclear zinc complexes of other classes of bis(tacn) ligands, such as the 2-hydroxypropyl-linked analogues, which show cooperativity between the metal ions in the cleavage of phosphate esters and RNA [2,10,29-31]. Surprisingly, in some earlier exploratory studies major differences in selectivity and capture efficiency have been noted with wild type or N-terminally tagged recombinant proteins with different immobilised xylenyl bridged ligands coordinated with Zn²⁺ ions compared to the corresponding immobilised Cu²⁺- or Ni²⁺-complexes [24–28]. Hitherto, a rational structural explanation for these differences in binding behaviour has been lacking. The studies described in this paper were designed to address this issue, namely to allow a comparison of the crystal structures of a range of xylenyl bridged bis(tacn) ligands (Fig. 1) when complexed with Zn^{2+} ions, and to build upon the insights gained earlier with the corresponding Cu²⁺- and Ni²⁺complexes of similar bridged bis(tacn) ligands containing a functionalised aromatic ring as part of the linker. The objective was to uncover a structural basis for the observed differences in binding characteristics of recombinant N-terminally tagged proteins with these bis(tacn) Zn²⁺ complexes when immobilised as IMAC adsorbents.

2. Results & discussion

2.1. Preparation of ligands

The L^{px} and L^{ox} ligands were prepared based on methods reported by Zompa and co-workers [34] for the synthesis of alkylene-bridged bis(azamacrocycles). The reaction of 2 equivalents of 1,4,7-triazatricyclo[5.2.1.0^{4,10}]decane (tacn orthoamide) with α,α' -dibromo-*p*-xylene or α,α' -dibromo-*o*-xylene respectively, followed by hydrolytic workup and treatment with conc. HCl, gave the L^{px} and L^{ox} ligands as their hydrochloride salts (L-6HCl) in *ca* 80% yield. The L^{phx}, L^{nix} and L^{cax} ligands were prepared in *ca*. 85% yield using similar methods with appropriately substituted α,α' dibromo-*m*-xylene [17]. The products were analysed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and electrospray ionisation mass spectroscopy (ESI-MS) and, following crystallisation, were of high purity, e.g., ~97–99%.

2.2. Preparation and characterisation of metal complexes

The 6HCl salts of the different bis(tacn) ligands were dissolved in water containing 2 molar equivalents of $ZnNO_3.6H_2O$ with adjustment to pH 5.0. The counter ion, PF_{6}^- , as solid ammonium hexafluorophosphate, or the counter ion, ClO_4^- , as solid sodium perchlorate, was then added. These solutions were left to evaporate for several days. Filtration afforded crystals of the Zn^{2+} -complexes suitable for X-ray structure determination. Chromatographic analysis of these solutions and subsequently elemental analysis of the recovered crystals of the Zn^{2+} -complexes were consistent with formation of the desired products in excellent yields. As observed with other bis(tacn) ligand–metal complexes, the presence of varying amounts of adventitiously bound water, which proved resistant to removal, resulted in the lower carbon values than theoretically expected upon elemental analysis of the recovered crystalline products.

2.3. The structure of $[Zn(L^{ox})](PF_6)_2(H_2O)(1)$

Structural data for the [ZnL^{ox}](PF₆)₂(H₂O) complex **1** was compared to the corresponding perchlorate counter-ion complex to allow the effects of different counter ions on the crystal structure of the complex to be examined. To this end, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for the $[ZnL^{ox}](PF_6)_2(H_2O)$ complex **1** were obtained and found to be consistent with data [2] for the zinc complex of the same ligand but with a perchlorate counter-ion present. Although the PF_6^- salt, 1, crystallised in a different space group (triclinic P-1 compared [1] to monoclinic $P2_1/c$ for the ClO_4^- salt), the X-ray crystallographic structures of both Zn²⁺-L^{ox} complexes were found to be similar. Both tach rings of the L^{ox} ligand bind to single Zn²⁺ ion (M-N = 2.147(3)-2.301(4) Å), giving a sandwich structure (Fig. 2) with the Zn^{2+} ion residing in a constrained octahedral geometry due to Jahn-Teller distortion. The cis angles relating to the individual tacn rings ranged from 77.97(14)° to 82.02(14)°, while those involving nitrogen atoms from different tacn rings ranged from 87.37(16)° to 115.95(14)°. The trans angles ranged between 160.87(14)° and 166.38(13)°. In contrast, when 3 molar equivalents of Ni(NO₃)₂ were similarly employed with the same L^{ox} ligand, a mixture of the analogous mononuclear sandwich structure and an extended binuclear structure (both as their perchlorate salts) was obtained. Moreover, studies by Graham et al. have found [4] that the corresponding mononuclear Cu²⁺-complex was formed when a limiting amount of Cu(NO₃)₂ (1 molar equivalent) was used, but the extended binuclear Cu²⁺-complex was generated when 2 or 3 molar equivalents of $Cu(NO_3)_2$ were employed. Collectively, these studies have confirmed that under pH and buffer conditions favourable for protein stability under IMAC conditions, the immobilised Lox ligand can adopt an extended structure with two Cu²⁺ or Ni²⁺ ions bound, but only a sandwich structure is present for the corresponding $Zn^{2+}-L^{ox}$ complex.

2.4. The structure of $[H_4L^{px}](NO_3)_3(PF_6)(H_2O)_2$ (2)

Crystals of the protonated metal-free L^{px} ligand **2** were obtained from the reaction of L^{px} with Zn(NO₃)₂, using PF₆ as a counter ion. The asymmetric unit contained halves of two unique H₄L^{px} cations, three nitrate anions, one hexafluorophosphate anion, and two intercalated water molecules. Both H₄L^{px} ligand cations lie across inversion centres which relate the two halves of each L^{px} ligand. Each ligand carries a +4 charge, since each secondary amine has two hydrogen atoms attached. In contrast, the L^{px} ligand when coordinated to a zinc metal ion has only a single hydrogen on each secondary amine, and the complexed ligand therefore has no overall charge. This +4 charge of the protonated metal-free L^{px} ligand is balanced by three NO₃ anions and a PF₆ anion.

The two crystallographically distinct ligands are orientated in different directions and stack in an alternating fashion along the *x* axis, crossing at the central benzene rings, for which the closest C...C contact is 3.510 Å (Fig. 3). Furthermore, there is extensive hydrogen bonding throughout. Each secondary amine proton is hydrogen bonded to either a nitrate or a water oxygen atom; there are also weaker C–H...O interactions between one of the aliphatic tacn protons and a nitrate oxygen. The intercalated water molecules also make hydrogen bonds to the nitrate anions and to the PF₆ anions. The PF₆ anions are also hydrogen bonded to the water molecules and to an aliphatic proton from a tacn ring. The hydrogen bonding interactions connect the components into complicated sheets, as shown in Fig. 4. These sheets are then interconnected by the PF₆ anions and the backbone of one of the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7763089

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7763089

Daneshyari.com