



## Review

## Dose matters: Direct killing or immunoregulatory effects of natural polysaccharides in cancer treatment

Guibin Pang<sup>a,d</sup>, Fujun Wang<sup>a,c,d,\*\*</sup>, Leshuai W. Zhang<sup>b,c,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, 201203, China<sup>b</sup> School for Radiological and Interdisciplinary Sciences (RAD-X), State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, School of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Collaborative Innovation Center of Radiation Medicine of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215123, China<sup>c</sup> Zhejiang Reachall Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Zhejiang, 322100, China<sup>d</sup> Shanghai R&D Centre for Standardization of Chinese Medicines, Shanghai, 201210, China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Polysaccharides  
Cancer treatment  
Immunoregulation  
Dose  
Cell signaling pathway

## ABSTRACT

Polysaccharides from natural resources possess anti-tumor activities for decades, but the efficacy of polysaccharides as the adjuvant drugs for cancer treatment at prescribed doses remains open for debate. In this review, molecular mechanisms involved in direct killing effects of polysaccharides, including apoptosis, cell cycle arrest and mitochondria/DNA damage were described. However, the concentrations/doses used to reach the direct killing effects are too high to be applicable. Polysaccharides can also exert anti-tumor effects through immunoregulation at lower doses, and the effects of polysaccharides on natural killer cells, dendritic cells and other lymphocytes for tumor destruction, along with the receptor recognition and downstream signaling pathways, were delineated. Unfortunately, the prescribed doses of polysaccharides are too low to stimulate immunoresponse, resulting in the failure of some clinical trials. Therefore, understanding the sophisticated mechanisms of the immunoregulatory function of natural polysaccharides with refined doses for clinical use will help the standardization of traditional medicine.

## 1. Introduction

Cancer is one of the major threats to human health worldwide with millions of new cases each year, among which the lung cancer, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, liver and stomach cancer are the most common types with highest rates of death (Siegel, Miller, & Jemal, 2018). Many factors including inheritance, obesity, infection, tobacco abuse, repetitive inflammations and even negative emotions, are all triggers for cancer development (Torre et al., 2015). Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are very common for tumor therapy, both of which exert their functions through direct killing on tumor cells but also on adjacent healthy cells. Other than injury of healthy tissues, traditional therapeutic strategies lead to systemic disorders such as nausea, vomiting, fatigue, anemia, anorexia and other adverse effects. As the result, the quality of patient's life is extremely low (Metri, Bhargav, Chowdhury, & Koka, 2013). To alleviate these adverse effects and reduce drug resistance, the concepts of targeted, complementary and combined therapy are widely accepted clinically. Due to the increased number of antibody based drugs, targeted therapy has been applied clinically and chemo-immunotherapy has attracted public

attention (Xiang, Sharma, Freter, & Yan, 2012). Natural compounds with prominent bioactivities and minimal toxicity exhibit a synergistic effect when combined with chemotherapeutic drugs for cancer treatment (Uzoigwe & Sauter, 2012). Different from the latest approved recombinant antibodies, polysaccharides extracted from natural resources have demonstrated outstanding anti-tumor efficacy for a long period of time. *Lentinan* polysaccharide and polysaccharide-protein complex extracted from *coriolus versicolor* (polysaccharide-kureha, PSK) have been recognized as an adjuvant for treatment of malignant tumor in China or Japan for many years (Ren, Perera, & Hemar, 2012). Although very few natural polysaccharides have been approved to be adjuvant drugs for cancer therapy, a large amount of polysaccharides from more than four hundred herbs are being extracted and studied by researchers. These natural polysaccharides are currently available in health-food market, while their actual efficacy, doses and associated mode of action on tumor therapy remain to be explored.

Polysaccharides are the major bioactive ingredient extracted from natural resources including bacteria, higher plants, animals and algae. Polysaccharide is a type of long polymer, which consist of mono-saccharides covalently connected via glycosidic bond. For a certain

\* Corresponding author at: College of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, 199 Renai Rd, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, 215123, China.

\*\* Corresponding author at: Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1200 Cailun Road, Shanghai 201203, China.  
E-mail addresses: [wjf@shutcm.edu.cn](mailto:wjf@shutcm.edu.cn) (F. Wang), [zhangsl@suda.edu.cn](mailto:zhangsl@suda.edu.cn) (L.W. Zhang).

**Table 1**  
Direct effects of natural polysaccharides on tumor cells.

Classification	Sources	Concentration Range/Cell line/LC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml)	% Apoptotic Cells (Concentration)	Mechanisms for Direct Cytotoxicity	Ref.
Higher plant (rootstock)	<i>Stachys floridana</i> Schuatl. Ex Benth	0-2000/HepG2 > 2000, PC-3 > 2000, HT-29 ~500	10% (125 µg/ml, HT-29)	Apoptosis***; Cell cycle arrest*	Ma et al. (2013)
	<i>Panax ginseng</i>	0-800/K562 > 800	23% (400 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*; Cell cycle arrest*	Xiong et al. (2017)
	<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer	0-5000/HT-29 > 600	N/A	Apoptosis*	Cheng et al. (2011)
	<i>Radix heclysari</i>	0-400/BGC823 > 400	N/A	Cell cycle arrest*	Wei et al. (2012)
	<i>Menispermum dauricum</i>	0-400/SKOV-3 ~200	50% (200 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*	Lin et al. (2013)
	<i>Litchi pulp</i>	0-750/HepG2 ~450, HeLa ~550, A549 > 750	N/A	N/A	Huang et al. (2014)
	<i>Polygala tenuifolia</i>	0-1600/OVCAR-3 ~500	23.5% (400 µg/ml), 51.2% (800 µg/ml)	Apoptosis***; Mitochondria damage*	Zhang, Song et al. (2015), Zhang, Qiang et al. (2015)
	<i>Peony seed drug</i>	0-500/HEK293 > 500, PC-3 ~400, HCT116 ~350, MCF-7 ~170, HeLa ~180	20.22% (200 µg/ml, PC-3), 17.87% (200 µg/ml, HCT116), 30.94% (200 µg/ml, HeLa), 38.73% (200 µg/ml, MCF-7)	Apoptosis***; Cell cycle arrest*; Mitochondria damage*	Zhang et al. (2017)
	<i>Coix lachryma-jobi</i>	0-300/A549 > 300	17% (300 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*; Mitochondria damage*; DNA damage	Lu et al. (2013)
	<i>Boschniakia rossica</i>	0-400/HepG2 ~270	43.86% (200 µg/ml), 53.67% (400 µg/ml)	Apoptosis**	Wang et al. (2014)
Fungus (Ganoderma)	<i>Glycyrrhiza inflata</i>	0-200/SCC25 ~50	41.4% (100 µg/ml), 52% (200 µg/ml)	Apoptosis***; Mitochondria damage*	Shen et al. (2015)
	<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	0-10000/HCT116 > 2500	9.84% (2500 µg/ml)	Apoptosis**; Cell cycle arrest*; Mitochondria damage*; DNA damage	Liang et al. (2015)
	<i>Ganoderma tsugae</i>	0-3000/Colo205 > 300	N/A	Cell cycle arrest*	Hsu et al. (2008)
	<i>Ganoderma arum</i>	0-320/CT-26 > 320	N/A	Apoptosis***; Mitochondria damage**	Zhang et al. (2014)
	<i>Pleurotus linteus</i>	0-1000/HepG2 ~750	no change	Apoptosis***; Cell cycle arrest*; Mitochondria damage*	Ouyang et al. (2013)
	<i>Grifola frondosa</i>	0-1000/SMMC-7721 ~500, HepG2 ~400	9% (200 µg/ml, SMMC-7721), 8.65% (200 µg/ml, HepG2)	Apoptosis**	Zhao et al. (2017)
	<i>Agaricus blazei</i>	0-400/HOS > 400	57% (100 µg/ml), 63% (200 µg/ml), 69% (400 µg/ml)	prevent DNA synthesis	Wu et al. (2012)
	<i>Cordyceps gunnii</i>	0-800/S180 ~400	N/A	N/A	Zhu, Dong et al. (2016), Zhu, Lv et al. (2016)
	<i>Cordyceps sinensis</i>	0-300/S180 > 300	25.4% (300 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*	Mei et al. (2014)
	<i>Hirsutiella sinensis</i>	0-500/H1299 ~150	65% (400 µg/ml)	Apoptosis**; Mitochondria damage**	Liu, Tian et al. (2017), Liu, Xie et al. (2017)
Algae (Sulfated)	<i>Saccharina cichorioides</i>	0-800/HCT116 > 800	no change	no change	Vishchuk et al. (2013)
	<i>Caposiphonia fulvescens</i>	0-2500/AGS ~750	N/A	Apoptosis***	Kwon and Nam (2007)
	<i>Sargassum henslowianum</i> C. Agardh	0-1000/B16 ~350	41% (200 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*	Ale, Maruyama, Tamauchi, Mikkelsen, and Meyer (2011)
	<i>Fucus vesiculosus</i> sigma	0-1000/B16 ~300, 0-200/4T1 ~200	30% (200 µg/ml), 22.8% (50 µg/ml), 43.2% (100 µg/ml), 63.5% (200 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*	Ale et al. (2011)
	<i>Gracilariaopsis lemaneiformis</i>	0-100/A549 ~60, MKN28 ~100, B16 ~60	6.2% (60 µg/ml, A549), 7% (60 µg/ml, MKN28), 6.5% (60 µg/ml, B16)	Apoptosis*; Cell cycle arrest*	Xue et al. (2013)
	<i>Fucus vesiculosus</i>	0-100/U937 ~20	18.86% (20 µg/ml), 45.71% (40 µg/ml)	Apoptosis*	Kang et al. (2017)
				Apoptosis***; Mitochondria damage**	Park et al. (2013)

Notes: The number of <sup>\*\*\*</sup> represents the number of altered parameters. For example, <sup>\*\*\*</sup>Apoptosis represents the changes of three apoptotic indicators. Four apoptotic indicators are usually available in the literatures: (1) Bax or Bak-1 ↑, Bcl-2 ↓; (2) caspase-3/8/9 or PARP ↑; (3) MAPK or Akt signaling blockade; (4) p53 ↓. Mitochondria damages include two indicators: (1) Mitochondria Membrane Potential (MMP) ↓; (2) Cytochrome C ↑. Cell cycle arrest has one parameter: cyclinD/E or CDK-2/4/6 ↓.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7782165>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7782165>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)