Journal of Molecular Structure 1160 (2018) 242-247

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular Structure

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/molstruc

Halide anion effects on coordination polymerization of cadmium(II) halide with 1: 1 mixed ligands

Minjoo Ryu^a, Young-A. Lee^{b, **}, Ok-Sang Jung^{a, *}

^a Department of Chemistry, Pusan National University, Pusan, 46241, Republic of Korea
^b Department of Chemistry, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, 54896, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 December 2017 Received in revised form 31 January 2018 Accepted 31 January 2018 Available online 6 February 2018

Keywords: Diallylbis(pyridinyl)silane Halide effects Mixed bidentate complexes Cadmium(II) coordination polymers

1. Introduction

Self-assembly of desirable coordination polymers including topology and dimension has been an intriguing research issue for the last two decades, owing to their task-specific applications such as separation, toxic materials adsorption, molecular containment, ion exchange, molecular recognition, catalysis, luminescent sensing, and etc [1–7]. However, synthesis of advantageous coordination networks is both intricate and serendipitous, presumably due to the presence of numerous significant factors such as the flexibility of organic ligands, the coordination geometry of metal cations, counteranions, mole ratios, solvents, temperature, and unpredictable weak interactions, in addition to kinetic and thermodynamic control [8–13]. Some metal complexes with mixed ligands have been known to play a noteworthy role in biological processes and analytical chemistry [14–20], and their ligands been sensitive to metal ions [12,20-22]. In our laboratory, some interesting coordination polymers with heteroleptic ligands have been synthesized using silicon-containing bidentate N-donor ligands [8,12,23]. Main factors such as Lewis acidity and local geometry of central metal cations and Lewis basicity and planarity of ligands

ABSTRACT

Insight into self-assembly of CdX₂ (X = Cl and Br) with a mixture of L¹ and L² (L¹ = diallylbis(3-pyridyl) silane; L² = diallylbis(4-pyridyl)silane) was carried out. The self-assembly of CdCl₂ with the 1: 1 mixture of L¹ and L² produces only 2D [CdCl₂(L¹)(L²)] with heteroleptic ligands, whereas that of CdBr₂ with the 1: 1 mixture of L¹ and L² gives rise to the statistical mixture of 2D sheet [CdBr₂(L¹)₂]·2H₂O, 1D loop-chain [CdBr₂(L²)₂]·2CH₂Cl₂, and the 2D [CdBr₂(L¹)(L²)] with heteroleptic ligands.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

[24,25] are significant to decide the formation of mixed ligand complexes. Furthermore, the formation of coordination polymers with mixed ligands sometimes determines the mechanism including the sensitivity and selectivity of ligands, stability, and composition [26,27]. A research on formation of mixed ligand complex is of very interest to coordination chemists for the estimation of physical phenomena [28].

To date, a systematic insight into halide anion effect on coordination polymerization of metal halide with mixed ligands remains unexplored. Thus, in an effort to explore the direct results on coordination polymerization of metal cation with mixed bidentate ligands, slow diffusion of CdX₂ (X = Cl and Br) with a mixture of L¹ and L² (L¹ = diallylbis(3-pyridyl)silane; L² = diallylbis(4-pyridyl)silane) were attempted. The reactions give rise to quite significant results depending on halide anions of cadmium(II) halides. The cadmium(II) ion has been employed as an octahedral directional unit [29–32].

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and physical measurements

Cadmium(II) halide, 3-bromopyridine, and 4-bromopyridine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and diallyldichlorosilane was purchased from Gelest. They were used without further purification. Diallylbis(3-pyridyl)silane (L^1) and diallylbis(4-pyridyl)





^{*} Corresponding author.

^{**} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ylee@chonbuk.ac.kr (Y.-A. Lee), oksjung@pusan.ac.kr (O.-S. Jung).

silane (L^2) were prepared by the literature methods [8.33]. Elemental microanalyses (C, H, N) were performed on crvstalline samples at the KBSI Pusan Center using a Vario-EL III analyzer. Infrared spectra were obtained on a Nicolet 380 FT-IR spectrophotometer using samples prepared as KBr pellets. ¹H (300 MHz) and ¹³C (75 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury Plus 300. Thermal analyses were performed under N₂ at a scan rate 10 °C/min using a Perkin Elmer-TGA-DSC 4000.

2.2. $[CdCl_2(L^1)(L^2)]$

A methanol solution (2 mL) of cadmium(II) chloride (1.8 mg, 0.01 mmol) was carefully layered on to an ethanol solution (2 mL) of a mixture of L^1 (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol) and L^2 (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol) at room temperature. After four days, colorless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. Yield, 4.4 mg (61%), m.p. 210 °C (dec.), Anal. Calcd. For C32H36N4Si2CdCl2; C. 53.67; H. 5.07; N. 7.82%. Found: C, 53.60; H, 5.19; N, 7.72%. IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3077(w), 3058(w), 1631(m), 1585(m), 1407(s), 1326(m), 1195(m), 1160(m), 1126(s), 1037(s), 1029(s), 991(m), 902(m), 813(w), 790(m), 771(m), 709(m), 640(m), 613(m), 582(m), 501(m), 447(w).

2.3. $[CdCl_2(L^1)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$

A methanol solution (1.0 mL) of cadmium(II) chloride (1.8 mg. 0.01 mmol) was carefully layered onto an ethanol solution (1.0 mL) of L^1 (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol) at room temperature. After two days, colorless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. Yield, 2.5 mg (67%). m.p. 215 °C (dec.). Anal. Calcd. For C32H40O2N4Si2CdCl2: C, 51.10; H, 5.36; N, 7.45%. Found: C, 51.60; H, 5.29; N, 7.42%. IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3536(s, O–H), 3446(m, O–H), 3077(w), 3056(w), 3000(w), 2971(w), 2917(w), 2362(w), 1629(m), 1583(s), 1473(m), 1403(s), 1336(m), 1191(m), 1162(m), 1128(m), 1041(m), 1027(m), 985(w), 927(m), 902(s), 790(s), 748(s), 711(s), 644(m), 611(m), 580(w), 485(m), 424(m).

2.4. Mixture crystals of $[CdBr_2(L^1)(L^2)]$, $[CdBr_2(L^1)_2] \cdot 2H_2O$ and $[CdBr_2(L^2)_2]$ ·2CH₂Cl₂

A methanol solution (1.5 mL) of cadmium(II) bromide (3.4 mg, 0.01 mmol) was carefully layered onto a dichloromethane solution (1.5 mL) of L^1 (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol) and L^2 (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol) at room temperature. After seven days, statistical mixture crystals of $[CdBr_2(L^1)(L^2)]$, $[CdBr_2(L^1)_2] \cdot 2H_2O$, and $[CdBr_2(L^2)_2] \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. Total yield (80%). IR (KBr pellet, cm^{-1}) for the mixture crystals: 3058(w), 2996(w), 2969(w), 2360(w), 2341(w), 1631(m), 1585(m), 1527(w), 1473(w), 1407(s), 1326(m), 1226(w), 1199(m), 1160(m), 1126(s), 1041(m), 991(w), 902(m), 809(m), 790(m), 771(m), 709(m), 640(w), 613(m), 582(m), 489(w), 451(w).

2.5. $[CdBr_2(L^1)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$

A methanol solution (5.0 mL) of cadmium(II) bromide (13.6 mg. 0.05 mmol) was carefully layered onto an ethanol solution (5.0 mL) of L^1 (13.3 mg, 0.05 mmol) at room temperature. After two days, colorless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. Yield, 13.7 mg (65%). m.p. 191 °C (dec.). Anal. Calcd. For C₃₂H₄₀O₂N₄Si₂CdBr₂: C, 45.70; H, 4.79; N, 6.66%. Found: C, 45.20; H, 4.68; N, 6.59%. IR (КВг pellet, cm⁻¹): 3515(m, O–H), 3440(m, O–H), 3077(w), 3056(w), 2998(w), 2973(w), 2915(w), 2885(w), 1629(m), 1581(s), 1473(m), 1403(s, 1336(m), 1189(m), 1159(m), 1126(m), 1026(s), 985(w), 900(s), 790(s), 748(s), 709(s), 642(s), 611(m), 485(m).

2.6. $[CdBr_2(L^2)_2] \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$

An ethanol solution (1.0 mL) of cadmium(II) bromide (5.4 mg, 0.02 mmol) was carefully layered onto an dichloromethane solution (1.0 mL) of L^2 (5.3 mg, 0.02 mmol) at room temperature. After three days, colorless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. Yield, 6.0 mg (62%). m.p. 320 °C (dec.). Anal. Calcd. For C34H40N4Si2CdCl4Br2: C, 41.89; H, 4.14; N, 5.75%. Found: C, 41.20; H, 4.21; N, 5.71%. IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3058(w), 3035(w), 2993(w), 2969(w), 2877(w), 1631(s), 1596(s), 1527(w), 1407(s), 1315(m), 1226(m), 1160(s), 1122(s), 1037(m), 335(m), 910(s), 786(m), 763(s), 728(w), 705(w), 605(m), 582(m), 485(m), 458(m).

2.7. X-ray crystallography

All X-ray crystallographic data collected on a Bruker SMART automatic diffractometer with a graphite-monochromated Mo K α

Table 1

Crystal data and structural refinements for [CdCl₂(L¹)(L²)], [CdCl₂(L¹)₂]·2H₂O, [CdBr₂(L¹)(L²)], [CdBr₂(L¹)₂]·2H₂O, and [CdBr₂(L²)₂]·2CH₂Cl₂.

	$[CdCl_2(L^1)(L^2)]$	$[CdCl_2(L^1)_2] \cdot 2H_2O$	$[CdBr_2(L^1)(L^2)]$	$[CdBr_2(L^1)_2] \cdot 2H_2O$	$[CdBr_2(L^2)_2] \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$
Formula	C ₁₂₈ H ₁₄₄ N ₁₆ Cd ₄ Cl ₈ Si ₈	C ₃₂ H ₄₀ O ₂ N ₄ Si ₂ CdCl ₂	C ₁₂₈ H ₁₄₄ N ₁₆ Si ₈ Cd ₄ Br ₈	C32H40O2N4Si2CdBr2	C34H40N4Si2CdCl4Br2
$M_{\rm w}$ (g mol ⁻¹)	2864.50	752.16	3220.18	841.08	974.90
Cryst. system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	Cc	C2/c	Сс	C2/c	P-1
a (Å)	22.2153(4)	17.5640(3)	22.795(1)	18.2600(4)	8.6825(1)
b (Å)	22.2168(4)	13.3531(3)	22.780(1)	12.4538(3)	10.5733(1)
c (Å)	28.0505(7)	15.6320(3)	27.728(1)	15.3525(3)	12.7062(2)
α (°)	90	90	90	90	76.079(1)
β (°)	101.414(1)	105.163(1)	101.943(3)	102.782(1)	88.599(1)
γ (°)	90	90	90	90	67.760(1)
V (Å ³)	13570.6(5)	3538.7(1)	14086(1)	3404.8(1)	1045.06(2)
Ζ	4	4	4	4	1
ρ (g cm ⁻³)	1.402	1.412	1.518	1.641	1.549
$\mu ({\rm mm}^{-1})$	0.899	0.870	2.984	3.094	2.776
F(000)	5856	1544	6432	1688	486
R _{int}	0.0859	0.0213	0.0740	0.0237	0.0207
GoF on F ²	1.012	1.063	1.042	1.087	1.081
$R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]^a$	0.0963	0.0193	0.0740	0.0333	0.0352
wR_2 (all data) ^b	0.2908	0.0517	0.2332	0.0925	0.0931
Completeness (%)	$100.0\% (\theta = 25.2^{\circ})$	$100\% (\theta = 25.2^{\circ})$	$100.0\%(\theta = 25.2^{\circ})$	$100.0\%(\theta = 25.2^{\circ})$	$99.9\%(\theta = 25.2^{\circ})$

 $\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_0|, \\ wR_2 &= (\Sigma [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma [w(F_0^2)^2])^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7807859

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7807859

Daneshyari.com