

# Performance-based optimization of multi-pass face milling operations using Tribes

Godfrey C. Onwubolu\*

*Department of Engineering, University of the South Pacific, P.O. Box 1168, Suva, Fiji*

Received 22 February 2005; accepted 19 July 2005

Available online 12 September 2005

## Abstract

The paper proposes a new optimization technique based on Tribes for determination of the cutting parameters in multi-pass milling operations such as plain milling and face milling by simultaneously considering multi-pass rough machining and finish machining. The optimum milling parameters are determined by minimizing the *maximum production rate* criterion subject to several practical technological constraints. The cutting model formulated is a nonlinear, constrained programming problem. Experimental results show that the proposed Tribes-based approach is both effective and efficient.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Multi-pass milling operations; Computer aided process planning; Tribes; Local search optimization techniques

## 1. Introduction

The advent of modern computer technology and a new generation of manufacturing equipment, particularly computer numerical control (CNC) machine, have brought enormous changes to the manufacturing sector. In process planning of CNC milling, selecting reasonable milling parameters is necessary to satisfy requirements involving machining economics, quality, and safety. The machining parameters in milling operations consists of cutting speed, radial and axial depths of cut, feed, and number of passes. These machining parameters significantly impact on the cost, productivity, and quality of machined parts.

Multi-pass operations are generally used to machine stocks that cannot be removed in a single pass. Some turning operations such as external step turning and boring, and some of the milling operations, such as face milling and deep shoulder milling in which a significant amount of stock material is removed, are good examples of the operations which are commonly required to be machined using multi-pass operations. Determination of the optimal cutting

parameters (cutting conditions) such as the number of passes, depth of cut for each pass, speed, and feed is considered as a crucial stage of multi-pass machining as in the case of all chip removal processes and especially in process planning. The effective optimization of these parameters affects dramatically the cost and production time of machined components as well as the quality of the final products.

Although Taylor [1] recognized that an optimum value for the speed can be achieved by maximizing the material removal rate in a single pass operation, the progress in developing optimization strategies has been very slow. Indeed, there have not been many studies on the optimization of machining conditions in the literature [2,3]. This is mainly due to the complex nature of optimization of machining operations that require the following

- Knowledge of machining (i.e. drilling, turning or milling);
- Empirical equations relating the tool life, forces, power, surface finish, material removal rate, and arbor deflection, etc., to develop realistic constraints;
- Specification of machine tool capabilities (i.e. maximum power or maximum feed available from a machine tool);
- Development of an effective optimization criterion (e.g. maximum production rate, minimum production cost, maximum profit or a combination of these);

\* Tel.: +679 323 2034; fax: +679 323 1538.

E-mail address: [onwubolu\\_g@usp.ac.fj](mailto:onwubolu_g@usp.ac.fj).

### Nomenclature

$a_{\max}$	maximum depth cut for machine tool work piece system	$T_s$	set up time of the machine for a new batch (min)
$a_{\min}$	minimum depth cut for machine tool work piece system	$T_L$	loading and unloading time (min)
$a_T$	total tangential depth of cut (mm)	$T_a$	process adjusting time and quick return time
$a_t$	tangential depth of cut (mm)	$T_c$	tool changing time per component (min)
$a_r$	radial depth of cut (mm)	$T_d$	time for changing a dull cutting edge or tool (min)
$b_v, b_z$	exponents determined empirically	$T_m$	machining time (min)
$d_b$	milling width (mm)	$T_{pr}$	total production time per component (min)
$B_m$	correction coefficient of tool life equation	$V$	cutting speed (m/min)
$B_t$	correction coefficient of tool life equation	$V_r, V_s$	cutting speeds in rough and finish milling (m/min)
$B_h$	correction coefficient of tool life equation	$V_{rL}, V_{rU}$	lower and upper bound of cutting speed in rough milling (m/min)
$B_p$	correction coefficient of tool life equation	$V_{sL}, V_{sU}$	lower and upper bound of cutting speed in finish milling (m/min)
$c_a$	clearance angle of the tool	$f_r, f_s$	feed rates in rough and finish milling (mm/rev)
$C_v$	a constant taking into account the influence of all factors that are appearing separately in the tool life formula	$f_{rL}, f_{rU}$	lower and upper bound of feed rate in rough milling (mm/rev)
$C_{zp}$	constant of the cutting force equation	$f_{sL}, f_{sU}$	lower and upper bound of feed rate in rough milling (m/rev)
$d_a$	arbor diameter (mm)	$d_r, d_s$	depths of cut for each pass of rough and finish milling (mm)
$D$	outer diameter of the cutter (mm)	$d_{rL}, d_{rU}$	lower and upper bound of depth of cut in rough milling (mm)
$e$	permissible values of arbor deflection (mm)	$d_{sL}, d_{sU}$	lower and upper bound of depth of cut in finish milling (mm)
$E$	modulus of elasticity of arbor material (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$z$	number of teeth on the cutter
$E_s$	modulus of elasticity of stub arbor material (MPa)	$\eta$	overall efficiency
$f$	feed rate (mm/min)	$\delta$	permissible deflection of stub arbor at the end (mm)
$f_z$	feed per tooth (mm/tooth)	$\lambda_s$	cutting inclination angle
$F_c$	means peripheral cutting force (kg)	SR	maximum allowable surface roughness (mm)
$F_d$	permissible force with regard to arbor deflection (kg)	$R$	nose radius of cutting tool (mm)
$F_s$	permissible force with regard to arbor strength (kg)	$F_r, F_s$	cutting forces during rough and finish milling (kgf)
$I_s$	moment of inertia of stub arbor (mm <sup>4</sup> )	$F_U$	maximum allowable cutting force (kgf)
$k_b$	permissible bending stress of the arbor material (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$P_r, P_s$	cutting power during rough and finish milling (kW)
$k_t$	permissible torsional stress of the arbor material (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$P_U$	maximum allowable cutting power (kW)
$l_a$	lead (corner) angle of the tool	$e_v, e_z$	exponents determined empirically
$L$	length of cut (mm)	$r_v, r_z$	exponents determined empirically
$L_a$	arbor length between supports (mm)	$u_v$	exponent determined empirically
$L_s$	length of stub arbor (mm)	$u_z$	exponent determined empirically
$N_b$	total number of components in the batch	$m$	exponent determined empirically
$N$	spindle speed (rpm)	$n_v, n_z$	exponents determined empirically
$N_p$	number of rough passes (an integer)	$q, q_v$	exponents determined empirically
$P_c$	cutting power (kW)	$P$	exponent determined empirically
$P_m$	nominal motor power (kW)		
$T, T_r, T_s$	tool life, expected tool life for rough milling, and expected tool life for finish milling (min)		
$T_p$	machine preparation time per component (min)		

- Knowledge of mathematical and numerical optimization techniques, such as the Simplex method, Search method, Geometric programming and dynamic programming, etc.;

- Knowledge of stochastic optimization techniques, such as the genetic algorithms, simulated annealing, scatter search, particle swarm optimization and tribes, etc.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/781329>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/781329>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)