ARTICLE IN PRESS

[Journal of Controlled Release xxx \(2014\) xxx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.05.005)–xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Controlled Release

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jconrel

¹ Intracellular gene delivery is dependent on the type of non-viral carrier and defined by the cell surface glycosaminoglycans

Q1 Alireza Nomani a,b,1, Zanna Hyvönen a,1, Eveliina Pulkkinen a, Marjo Hiekkala a, Marika Ruponen a,*

^a School of Pharmacy, University of Eastern Finland, P.O. Box 1627, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

5 ^b Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, P.O. Box 56184, 45139 Zanjan, Iran

6 ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

7 Article history: 8 Received 24 January 2014 9 Accepted 3 May 2014

10 Available online xxxx

Q2 Keywords:
12 Non-viral

- Non-viral gene delivery 13 Glycosaminoglycans 14 Intracellular kinetics
- 15 Intracellular elimination
16 Nuclear uptake
- 16 Nuclear uptake
17 Transcription **Transcription**
-

18 Translation

367 39 38

d by the cell surface glycosaminoglycans

in ^{a,b,1}. Zanna Hyvônen ^{a,1}, Eveliina Pulkkinen ^a, Marjo Hiekkala ^a, Marika Rupo

memeters solve *Minimago*, *Zanja Ispania Minimago, 2003 Minimago, 2003 Minimago, 2* Intracellular limiting steps and molecules involved in internalization and intracellular routing of non-viral gene 19 delivery systems are still poorly understood. In this study, the intracellular kinetics of three different gene deliv- 20 ery systems calcium phosphate precipitates (CaP), polyethyleneimine (PEI) and N-[1-(2,3-dioleyl)propyl]-N,N, 21 N-trimethylammonium chloride (DOTAP)) were quantified at cellular, nuclear, transcriptional and translational 22 levels by using qRT-PCR. Additionally, a role of cell surface glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) was evaluated by 23 performing the aforementioned studies in cells devoid of GAGs (pgsB-618) and cells lacking heparan sulphate 24 (HS). The obtained data showed that the intracellular kinetics was dependent on the type of gene carrier and 25 the weakest intracellular step varied between the carriers; rapid elimination of cell-associated pDNA in CaP, nu- 26 clear uptake in DOTAP and transcriptional and translational events in PEI mediated transfections. Overall, neither 27 the amount of cell- nor nuclear associated pDNA correlated with transgene expression but the mRNA expression 28 of the transgene correlated well with the expression at protein level. The nuclear uptake of pDNA in all cases was 29 rapid and efficient thus indicating that the post-nuclear processes including transcription and translation steps 30 have a critical role in defining the efficiency of non-viral gene delivery systems. Our study demonstrated that 31 cell-surface GAGs are not essential for cell surface binding and internalization of gene delivery complexes, but 32 they are able to define the intracellular routing of the complexes by leading them to pathways with high 33 pDNA elimination. $\frac{34}{2}$

35 © 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

40 1. Introduction

 One of the main hurdles limiting the clinical application of the non- viral gene delivery systems is their poor in vivo efficiency. This is due to the multiple extra- and intracellular barriers that non-viral gene deliv- ery systems need to overcome in order to trigger production of the therapeutic protein. One of these barriers is macromolecules called pro- teoglycans (PGs). They are composed of extremely negatively charged glycosaminoglycan (GAG) chains covalently attached to protein core, and are abundant both in the extracellular space and on the cell surface of all adherent cells [1]. Cell surface PGs are known to have a substantial role in cell physiology including cell growth, proliferation and migration [\[2,3\].](#page--1-0) They also act as receptors for example for growth factors, mem-brane penetrating peptides and viruses [\[4](#page--1-0)–6].

 Interactions between non-viral gene delivery complexes and cell surface PGs are inevitable because of their opposite charges and because PGs encompass the entire cell surface. However, the effects of cell-surface PGs on non-viral gene transfer are controversial. Numerous

E-mail address: [marika.ruponen@uef.](mailto:marika.ruponen@uef.fi)fi (M. Ruponen).

¹ Equal contribution.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.05.005> 0168-3659/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

studies have shown that cell surface PGs, especially heparan sulphate 57 proteoglycans (HSPG), act as receptors for non-viral gene delivery sys- 58 tems [7–10]. However, our previous studies demonstrated that cell sur- 59 face PGs have a dominant role in binding of non-viral gene delivery 60 systems but the cellular uptake via cell surface PGs is not the most 61 ""favourable route for efficient transfection [11–[13\].](#page--1-0) Paris et al. [\[14\]](#page--1-0) 62 studied an involvement of one well-known cell surface HSPGs, 63 syndecans, with the polyethyleneimine (PEI)-mediated gene delivery 64 and showed that syndecan-1 slightly enhanced transgene expression 65 whereas syndecan-2 dramatically inhibited it. Thus, the entire role of 66 cell surface PGs in non-viral gene transfer is not defined as yet and no 67 studies on influence of cell surface PGs on pre- and post-nuclear kinetics 68 of non-viral gene delivery complexes exist to date. 69

After cell surface binding via electrostatic interactions, non-viral 70 gene delivery complexes are internalized by endocytosis [\[15,16\].](#page--1-0) After 71 internalization, the complexes are trapped inside the endolysosomal 72 compartments and are enzymatically degraded unless they manage to 73 escape and/or release their cargo to the cytoplasm. Many groups have 74 clarified the intracellular processing of the non-viral carrier mediated 75 plasmid DNA (pDNA) toward the nucleus [\[15,17](#page--1-0)–27]. Several studies 76 have shown that the amount of pDNA taken up by the cells does not cor- 77 relate with nuclear uptake [\[11,17,22\]](#page--1-0), and neither the cellular nor the 78

[⁎] Corresponding author. Tel.: +358 40 5381920.

2 **A. Nomani et al. / Journal of Controlled Release xxx (2014) xxx–xxx**

 nuclear uptake efficiencies correlate with transgene expression at protein level [23–[25\]](#page--1-0). However, the impact of nuclear and post- nuclear kinetics, especially at the transcriptional level, in the overall gene delivery efficiency is still poorly understood, although the impor-tance of these steps has recently been emphasized [\[23](#page--1-0)–27].

 In this study, we clarified the role of cell surface GAGs on the intra- cellular kinetics of various well known non-viral gene delivery com- plexes composed of pDNA and cationic carrier PEI (polyplex) or DOTAP (lipoplex), or calcium phosphate precipitates (CaP). The studies were performed with parent CHO cell line and two CHO mutant cell lines, pgsB-618 and pgsD-677. PgsB-618 cell line is totally lacking cell- surface GAGs, whereas pgsD-677 are devoid of cell-surface HS but ex-91 pressing total amount of other cell-surface GAGs (CS and HA) at higher 92 level than parent CHO. Intracellular kinetics of aforementioned gene de- livery systems were quantified at cellular, nuclear, transcriptional and translational levels as a function of time by using qRT-PCR.

95 2. Materials and methods

96 2.1. Cell culture

 CHO (Chinese hamster ovary) cells, a kind gift from Dr. Seppo Ylä- Herttuala (University of Eastern Finland, Finland), were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented 100 with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (EuroClone) and 100 units/ml penicillin–streptomycin (PEST; EuroClone). The mutant cell lines pgsB-618 and pgsD-677 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). PgsB-618 cells are devoid of galactosyltransferase I activity and thus are not able to pro- duce any proteoglycans. These cells were grown in a medium containing Ham's F12 (Gibco), 10% (v/v) FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 units/ml PEST. PgsD-677 cells are lacking heparan sulphate polymerase activity which is required for heparan sulphate synthesis. This cell line was main- tained in F12K Nutrient Mixture, Kaighn's (Gibco), complemented with 10% FBS and 100 units/ml PEST. All cell lines were grown at 37 °C in 7% $CO₂$ and were subcultured 2–3 times a week.

112 2.2. Plasmid DNA (pDNA)

 The CMV-driven luciferase reporter plasmid (pCLuc4) was donated by Dr. F. C. Szoka, Jr. (UCSF, San Francisco, USA). The plasmids were am- plified in E. coli and purified in ion-exchange column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The purity and the concentration of the plasmid were deter-mined by absorbances at 260 nm and 280 nm.

118 2.3. Cationic polymers and lipids

 PEI with an average molecular weight of 25 kDa was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. and was used as a 10 mM aqueous stock solution [28]. Cationic lipid N-[1-(2,3-dioleyl)propyl]-N,N,N-trimethylammonium chloride (DOTAP) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc. DOTAP 123 liposomes were prepared by evaporating chloroform from the stock so- lution (10 mg/ml) of lipids under vacuum. A completely dried thin film layer of lipids was rehydrated in sterile water to final concentration of 3.2 mM and sonicated until translucent solution was formed. Liposomes 127 were stored at $+4$ °C in argon.

128 2.4. Preparation of gene delivery systems

 Polyplexes and lipoplexes—Gene delivery complexes consisting of pDNA and PEI or DOTAP were prepared at an optimal transfection N/P ratio of +/−4 by adding pDNA in water (2 μg/75 μl) to an equal volume (75 μl) of carrier. The complexes were mixed gently and incubated for 20 min at room temperature before adding to the cells.

134 Calcium phosphate precipitates (CaP) were prepared according to the 135 method described by O'Mahoney and Adams [\[29\]](#page--1-0). Briefly, pDNA (2 μg) diluted in water (20 μ) was strongly mixed with 0.5 M CaCl₂ solution 136 (20 μl). After 10 min incubation at room temperature, a buffer com- 137 posed of 0.28 M NaCl, 1.5 mM Na-phosphate, 50 mM BES, pH 7 (40 μl) 138 was added rapidly to the first solution and mixed thoroughly. The solu- 139 tion was incubated for 15 min at room temperature before adding to the 140 cells. 141

2.5. Transfection procedures 142

The cells were seeded on six-well plates at a density of 4×10^5 /well 143 (CHO) or 3×10^5 /well (pgsB-618 and pgsD-677), except for nuclei iso- 144 lation studies in which the cells were seeded in 10 cm Petri dishes at a 145 density of 1.5 \times 10⁶/dish (CHO) or 1 \times 10⁶/dish (pgsB-618 and pgsD- 146 677). After 24 h, fresh and serum-free medium was changed and the 147 complexes (2 μg of pDNA/well or 10 μg of pDNA/Petri dish) were 148 added to the cells. After 4-hour exposure the complexes were removed, 149 the cells were washed twice with $1 \times PBS$ and a fresh growth medium 150 was added. The samples for determination of cell associated pDNA 151 and luciferase expression, both at mRNA and protein levels, were 152 taken at the time of complex removal $(=4 \text{ h time point})$ followed by 153 sample collection every 12 h up to 5 days. Additionally, in cell associa- 154 tion studies, after CaP-mediated transfection, the samples were also 155 taken during the first 12 h every half an hour. In nuclear associated stud- 156 ies, the samples were collected before and after complex exposure 157 followed by sampling at 8, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h time points. 158

2.6. Determination of cell-associated pDNA 159

The amount of cell-associated pDNA was determined from the 160 whole cell samples described earlier [20]. At specific time points (see 161 chapter 2.5), the cells were lysed with sterile water (500 μl/well) after 162 PBS washes and stored at -70 °C prior to analysis. After rapid thawing, 163 the samples were diluted 1:200 in water and were treated with 9 μM 164 heparan sulphate (Sigma) for 15 min at room temperature in order to 165 release pDNA or to relax the complexes. The samples were further dilut- 166 ed 1:10 in water and the amount of pDNA was quantified by qRT-PCR. 167

2.7. Nuclei isolation and the set of the set o

In to uncertainter to exact states and they at uguest

in the case of the desired to the state and the state and the state and the state of the state of the state and the state of the state of the state of the state of th Cell nuclei were isolated and purified by using iodixanol method in- 169 troduced by Cohen et al. [23] with minor modifications [\[24,25\]](#page--1-0). Briefly, 170 at certain time points (see chapter 2.5), the cells were washed twice 171 with PBS, detached with trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen) and centrifuged. 172 The cell pellet was further washed with PBS, centrifuged and resus- 173 pended in PBS. After repeating this step two more times, the final cell 174 pellet was gently resuspended in 500 μl of hypo-osmotic homogeniza- 175 tion medium (1 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM Hepes-NaOH, pH 7.4). 176 After 25 min incubation on ice, the cell membranes were disrupted by 177 passing the cells 15 times through 25G needle using 1 ml syringe. This 178 step was optimized for each cell line by visualizing Trypan blue- Q3 stained nuclei under microscope and by measuring the release of lactate 180 dehydrogenase (LDH) enzyme from the cells by using LDH assay kit 181 (Promega Co., USA). After cell membrane disruption, the samples 182 were centrifuged (4000 rpm, 5 min, 4 °C) and the pellet was resuspend- 183 ed in 500 μl of 25% OptiPrep™ solution (Iodixanol 60% w/v, Sigma) in 184 homogenization buffer (25 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl₂$, 20 mM Tricine- 185 KOH, pH 7.8). A discontinuous iodixanol gradient was prepared in 186 2 ml Eppendorf tube. First, 30% iodixanol (500 μl) was overlaid on 187 the 35% iodixanol (500 μl). After this the 25% iodixanol solution con- 188 taining cell samples was layered gently on the top of the gradient. 189 The gradient was centrifuged (12 000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C) and the pu- 190 rified cell nuclei fraction was collected from the 30/35% boundary 191 layer. The amount of nuclei was counted by a hemocytometer 192 (Bürker) after staining with 0.5% Trypan blue in PBS. The samples $Q4$ were stored at -20 °C prior to the analysis. After thawing the nuclei 194 samples, the nuclear membrane was disrupted with 0.005% (w/v) 195

Please cite this article as: A. Nomani, et al., Intracellular gene delivery is dependent on the type of non-viral carrier and defined by the cell surface glycosaminoglycans, J. Control. Release (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.05.005>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7864695>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7864695>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)