



## Review

# Calcium orthophosphate deposits: Preparation, properties and biomedical applications



Sergey V. Dorozhkin

Kudrinskaja sq. 1-155, Moscow 123242, Russia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 16 December 2014

Received in revised form 21 March 2015

Accepted 8 May 2015

Available online 13 May 2015

## Keywords:

Calcium orthophosphate

Hydroxyapatite

Deposits

Coating

Film

Layer

Deposition

Conversion

Surface

## ABSTRACT

Since various interactions among cells, surrounding tissues and implanted biomaterials always occur at their interfaces, the surface properties of potential implants appear to be of paramount importance for the clinical success. In view of the fact that a limited amount of materials appear to be tolerated by living organisms, a special discipline called surface engineering was developed to initiate the desirable changes to the exterior properties of various materials but still maintaining their useful bulk performances. In 1975, this approach resulted in the introduction of a special class of artificial bone grafts, composed of various mechanically stable (consequently, suitable for load bearing applications) implantable biomaterials and/or bio-devices covered by calcium orthophosphates ( $\text{CaPO}_4$ ) to both improve biocompatibility and provide an adequate bonding to the adjacent bones. Over 5000 publications on this topic were published since then. Therefore, a thorough analysis of the available literature has been performed and about 50 (this number is doubled, if all possible modifications are counted) deposition techniques of  $\text{CaPO}_4$  have been revealed, systematized and described. These  $\text{CaPO}_4$  deposits (coatings, films and layers) used to improve the surface properties of various types of artificial implants are the topic of this review.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction	273
2. General knowledge, terminology and definitions	274
3. Brief knowledge on the important pre- and post-deposition treatments	276
4. Deposited $\text{CaPO}_4$	277
4.1. Thermal spraying techniques	278
4.1.1. Plasma spraying	278
4.1.2. High velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF) spraying	281
4.2. Vapor deposition techniques	282
4.2.1. Ion beam assisted deposition (IBAD)	283
4.2.2. Pulsed laser deposition (PLD)	284
4.2.3. Magnetron sputtering	285
4.2.4. Electron-cyclotron-resonance (ECR) plasma sputtering	286
4.2.5. Metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD)	287
4.2.6. Molecular precursor and thermal decomposition	287
4.3. Wet techniques	287
4.3.1. Electrophoretic deposition (EPD)	288
4.3.2. Electrochemical (ECD) or cathodic deposition	288
4.3.3. Sol-gel deposition	290
4.3.4. Wet-chemical and biomimetic deposition	290
4.3.5. Dip coating	291
4.3.6. Spin coating	292
4.3.7. Hydrothermal deposition	292
4.3.8. Thermal substrate deposition	293

E-mail address: [sedorozhkin@yandex.ru](mailto:sedorozhkin@yandex.ru).

4.3.9.	Alternate soaking . . . . .	293
4.3.10.	Micro-arc oxidation (MAO) . . . . .	293
4.4.	Other deposition techniques: miscellaneous . . . . .	294
4.4.1.	Hot isostatic pressing (HIP) . . . . .	294
4.4.2.	A double layered capsule hydrothermal hot pressing . . . . .	294
4.4.3.	Detonation gun spraying . . . . .	295
4.4.4.	Aerosol–gel deposition . . . . .	295
4.4.5.	Aerosol deposition (AD) . . . . .	295
4.4.6.	Cold spraying (CS) . . . . .	295
4.4.7.	Blast coating . . . . .	295
4.4.8.	Direct laser melting . . . . .	296
4.4.9.	Transmission laser coating . . . . .	296
4.4.10.	Laser cladding . . . . .	296
4.4.11.	Laser-engineered net shaping (LENS™) . . . . .	296
4.4.12.	Matrix assisted pulsed laser evaporation (MAPLE) . . . . .	297
4.4.13.	Liquid phase laser deposition . . . . .	297
4.4.14.	Electrostatic spray deposition (ESD) . . . . .	297
4.4.15.	Spray pyrolysis (pyrosol) . . . . .	298
4.4.16.	Drop-on-demand (DOD) micro-dispensing . . . . .	298
4.4.17.	Mechanochemical synthesis or ball impact technique . . . . .	298
4.4.18.	Polymeric deposition route . . . . .	298
4.4.19.	Autocatalytic deposition . . . . .	298
4.4.20.	Cyclic electrodeposition . . . . .	298
4.4.21.	Cyclic spin coating . . . . .	299
4.4.22.	Biomediated deposition (biosynthesis) . . . . .	299
4.4.23.	Emulsion route . . . . .	299
4.4.24.	Slurry processing . . . . .	300
4.4.25.	Slip coating . . . . .	300
4.4.26.	Deposition by solvent evaporation . . . . .	300
4.4.27.	Powder mixed electrical discharge machining (PMEDM) . . . . .	300
4.4.28.	Investment casting . . . . .	300
4.4.29.	Adsorption . . . . .	300
5.	Deposition of ion-substituted CaPO <sub>4</sub> and CaPO <sub>4</sub> -containing biocomposites . . . . .	301
6.	Conversion-formed CaPO <sub>4</sub> deposits . . . . .	301
7.	Properties . . . . .	301
7.1.	Elastic modulus and hardness . . . . .	302
7.2.	Fatigue properties . . . . .	302
7.3.	Thickness . . . . .	302
7.4.	Adhesion and cohesion . . . . .	303
7.5.	Biodegradation . . . . .	304
7.6.	Interaction with cells and tissue responses . . . . .	306
8.	Biomedical applications . . . . .	307
9.	Future directions . . . . .	309
10.	Conclusions . . . . .	309
	References . . . . .	310

## 1. Introduction

All existing materials have the specific properties of their own. Namely, some of them are aggressive, corrosive or biologically incompatible, certain ones are sensitive to light, heating or oxidation, others are hydrophilic, transparent or slimy in nature, etc. Depending on the situations and applications, such properties might be either desirable or undesirable. In the latter case, to get rid of the undesired properties, the surface of such materials should be modified. This is the subject of a special sub-discipline of materials science called *surface engineering*, which implies various surface modifications of the solid matter. In broad terms, surface engineering has applications to chemistry, mechanical engineering and electrical engineering (particularly in relation to semiconductor manufacturing) [1]; however, the latter case is beyond the scope of this review.

In general, all available types of the surface modifications can be broadly classified into 3 categories: 1) deposition of materials possessing the desirable functions and properties onto the surface, 2) conversion of the existing surface into more desirable compositions, structures and/or topographies, and 3) partial removal of a material from the existing surface to create specific topographies [2]. As seen

from the list of the available options, 2 of 3 categories comprise an application of surface coatings, films and layers to solve the problems in a conventional form. In the case of artificial bone grafts, synthetic materials to be used in the biological environments must display an adequacy of both their surface and bulk characteristics in order to fulfill the dual requirements of biocompatibility and suitable mechanical properties for the given application. Otherwise, either fibrous tissues encapsulate the implants made from non-biocompatible materials or mechanically weak grafts do not function properly. Both types of flaws prolong the healing time. Considering that surface is always the first part of any insert that interacts with the host, various types of surface deposits (coatings, films and layers) have been developed to enhance biocompatibility and osteoconductivity of the implants.

On the other hand, it is well known that, due to the great chemical similarity to the inorganic part of bones and teeth of mammals, calcium orthophosphates (CaPO<sub>4</sub>) appear to be very friendly compounds for the *in vivo* applications [3–6]. The full list of the existing CaPO<sub>4</sub> is presented in Table 1. However, since bulk CaPO<sub>4</sub> have a ceramic nature, they are mechanically weak (brittle) and cannot be subjected to the physiological loads as encountered in human skeletons, other than compressive ones. Therefore, for many years, the clinical applications of CaPO<sub>4</sub>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7869181>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7869181>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)