

### Experimental analysis on pressure drop and heat transfer of a terminal fan-coil unit with ice slurry as cooling medium

### José Fernández-Seara\*, Rubén Diz, Francisco J. Uhía, J. Alberto Dopazo

Área de Máquinas y Motores Térmicos, E.T.S. de Ingenieros Industriales, University of Vigo, Campus Lagoas-Marcosende No 9, 36310 Vigo, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 October 2009 Received in revised form 18 February 2010 Accepted 10 April 2010 Available online 24 April 2010

Keywords: Air conditioning Experiment Ice slurry Fan-coil unit Heat transfer Pressure drop

#### ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with the experimental analysis of a standard terminal fan-coil unit with ice slurry as coolant. The ice slurry was produced from an ethylene glycol 10 wt% aqueous solution. The pressure drop measurements are presented as a function of volumetric flow rate, ice concentration and Reynolds number. The experimental friction factors are obtained and discussed. The fan-coil capacity was experimentally determined for chilled water and melting ice slurry with inlet ice fractions around 5, 10, 15 and 20 wt%, considering in each case three different fan rotation velocities. The fan-coil capacity is higher with melting ice slurry than with chilled water by factors between 3.7 and 4.9. The heat transfer analysis realizes that the air side thermal resistance controls the heat transfer process. Experimental results for the melt off rate of ice in the fan coil and the superheating at the fan-coil outlet are shown and discussed.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved.

## Analyse expérimentale de la chute de pression et du transfert de chaleur d'un ventilo-convecteur dans un système employant un coulis de glace pour le refroidissement

Mots clés : Conditionnement d'air ; Expérimentation ; Coulis de glace ; Ventilo-convecteur ; Transfert de chaleur ; Chute de pression

#### 1. Introduction

Phase change slurries consist of dispersed particles of a phase change material in a continuous carrier fluid. Egolf and Kauffeld (2005) defined ice slurry as ice particles with an average characteristic diameter equal or smaller than 1 mm dispersed in an aqueous solution. The range of operating temperatures of ice slurry is restricted to temperatures below 0  $^{\circ}$ C and it depends on the freezing point depressant substance and its concentration in the solution. Glycols

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 986 812605; fax: +34 986 811995.

E-mail address: jseara@uvigo.es (J. Fernández-Seara). 0140-7007/\$ – see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2010.04.013

Aarea (m²) $\mu$ dynamic viscosity (kg m <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )Cvolume fractionSubscriptsddiameter (m)aairFcorrection factoraiair inletffriction factoraoair outlethheat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )ccoolant inletkthermal conductivity (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )cocoolant inletkthermal conductivity (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )cocoolant outletLlength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddryAPpressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)iciceATtemperature difference (K)isiceslurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differenceVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )issensible $\eta$ fn efficiencysosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )issensible $\eta$ fn efficiencysosensible $\eta$ fn efficiencyissensible $\eta$ fn efficiencyissensible $\eta$ fn efficiencyissensible $\eta$ fn efficiencyissensible $\eta$ fn effi	Nomenclature		$\varphi$	ice mass fraction
Cvolume fractionSubscriptsddiameter (m)aairFcorrection factoraiair inletffriction factoraoair outlethheat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )ccoolantispecific enthalpy (kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )cicoolant outletkthermal conductivity (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )cocoolant outletLlength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddryΔPpressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K W <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differenceVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )SosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )SosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differenceVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )SosolutionVvolocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )oouterVvolocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )ssensiblenfn effciencyfwwwwoltwwwwoltfwwwoltwwwwoltwwwoltw </th <th>А</th> <th>area (m²)</th> <th>μ</th> <th>dynamic viscosity (kg <math>m^{-1} s^{-1}</math>)</th>	А	area (m²)	μ	dynamic viscosity (kg $m^{-1} s^{-1}$ )
ddiameter (m)aairFcorrection factoraiair inletffriction factoraoair outlethheat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )ccoolantispecific enthalpy (kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )cicoolant inletkthermal conductivity (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )cocoolant outletLlength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddryΔPpressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)iciceΔTtemperature difference (K)isice solutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differenceVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )MTDissensiblenfin efficiencyavoverallssensibleivsensiblenfin efficiencyivwater	С	volume fraction	Subscripts	
$F$ correction factoraiair inlet $f$ friction factoraoair outlet $h$ heat transfer coefficient ( $W m^{-2} K^{-1}$ )ccoolant $i$ specific enthalpy ( $k$ J $kg^{-1}$ )cicoolant inlet $k$ thermal conductivity ( $W m^{-1} K^{-1}$ )cocoolant outlet $L$ length (m)cwcondensed water $m$ mass flow ( $kg s^{-1}$ )ddry $\Delta P$ pressure difference ( $Pa$ )eqeffective $q$ heat transfer rate ( $kW$ )eqequivalent $R$ thermal resistance ( $K W^{-1}$ )expexperimental $R$ thermal resistance ( $K W^{-1}$ )icice $\Delta T$ temperature ( $K$ )icice $\Delta T$ temperature ( $K$ )isice slurry $V$ volume flow rate ( $m^3 s^{-1}$ )MTDlogarithmic mean temperature difference $V$ velocity ( $m s^{-1}$ )MTDlogarithmic mean temperature difference $V$ velocity ( $m s^{-1}$ )forouter <td< th=""><th>d</th><th>diameter (m)</th><th>а</th><th>air</th></td<>	d	diameter (m)	а	air
ffriction factoraoair outlethheat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )ccoolantispecific enthalpy (kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )cicoolant inletkthermal conductivity (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )cocoolant outletLlength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddry $\Delta P$ pressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqhat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentRthermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature difference (K)isice slurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvelocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )oouterrfin efficiencyssensible $\eta$ fin efficiencykwwwaterititube	F	correction factor	ai	air inlet
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	f	friction factor	ao	air outlet
ispecific enthalpy (kJ kg $^{-1}$ )cicolant inletkthermal conductivity (W m $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$ )cocolant outletLlength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s $^{-1}$ )ddry $\Delta P$ pressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentRthermal resistance (K W $^{-1}$ )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice slurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m $^{-2}$ K $^{-1}$ )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m $^3$ s $^{-1}$ )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvelocity (m s $^{-1}$ )ovoverall $\gamma$ fn efficiencygsensible $\eta$ fn efficiency (kg m $^{-3}$ )ww	h	heat transfer coefficient (W ${ m m^{-2}~K^{-1}}$ )	с	coolant
kthermal conductivity (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )cocolant outletLlength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddry $\Delta P$ pressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentRthermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice $\Delta T$ temperature difference (K M <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvelocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )ovoverallSymbolsfin efficiencyssensible $\eta$ fin efficiencyssensible $\eta$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )wwater	i	specific enthalpy (kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )	ci	coolant inlet
Llength (m)cwcondensed watermmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddry $\Delta P$ pressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentRthermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice slurry $\Delta T$ temperature difference (K)issolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differenceVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )ovoverallSymbolsnfin efficiencyssensible $\eta$ fin efficiencykg m <sup>-3</sup> kuwuter	k	thermal conductivity (W $m^{-1} K^{-1}$ )	со	coolant outlet
mmass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )ddry $\Delta P$ pressure difference (Pa)effeffectiveqheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentRthermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice $\Delta T$ temperature difference (K)isice slurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvelocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )ovoverallSymbolsfn efficiencyssensible $\eta$ fn efficiencytubekube $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )wwater	L	length (m)	cw	condensed water
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	т	mass flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )	d	dry
qheat transfer rate (kW)eqequivalentRthermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice $\Delta T$ temperature difference (K)isice slurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvolcity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )ooutersymbolsfn efficiencyssensible $\eta$ fn efficiencyktube $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )ww	$\Delta P$	pressure difference (Pa)	eff	effective
Rthermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )expexperimentalReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice $\Delta T$ temperature difference (K)isice slurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvolcity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )ovoutersymbolsrsasensible $\eta$ fin efficiencyssensible $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> ) $W$ w water	q	heat transfer rate (kW)	eq	equivalent
ReReynolds numberiinlet, innerTtemperature (K)icice $\Delta T$ temperature difference (K)isice slurryUoverall heat transfer coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )sosolutionVvolume flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvelocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )ooutersymbolsovoverall $\eta$ fin efficiencys $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )tWwater	R	thermal resistance (K W <sup>-1</sup> )	exp	experimental
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Re	Reynolds number	i	inlet, inner
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Т	temperature (K)	ic	ice
$ \begin{array}{ccc} U & \mbox{overall heat transfer coefficient (W m^{-2} K^{-1})} & \mbox{so} & \mbox{solution} \\ V & \mbox{volume flow rate (m^3 s^{-1})} & LMTD & \mbox{logarithmic mean temperature difference} \\ v & \mbox{velocity (m s^{-1})} & \mbox{ov} & \mbox{outer} \\ \hline v & \mbox{velocity (m s^{-1})} & \mbox{solution} & \mbox{ov} & \mbox{overall} \\ \hline s & \mbox{sensible} \\ \eta & \mbox{fin efficiency} & \mbox{fin efficiency} & \mbox{t} & \mbox{solution} \\ \rho & \mbox{mass density (kg m^{-3})} & \mbox{w} & \mbox{water} \\ \hline \end{array} $	$\Delta T$	temperature difference (K)	is	ice slurry
Vvolume flow rate (m³ s <sup>-1</sup> )LMTDlogarithmic mean temperature differencevvelocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )oouterSymbolsovoverall $\eta$ fin efficiencyssensible $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )ttubewwater	U	overall heat transfer coefficient (W ${ m m^{-2}~K^{-1}}$ )	SO	solution
$v$ velocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )oouterSymbolsovoverall $\eta$ fin efficiencyssensible $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )ttubewwater	V	volume flow rate ( $m^3 s^{-1}$ )	LMTD	logarithmic mean temperature difference
Symbolsovoverall $\eta$ fin efficiencyssensible $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )ttubewwater	υ	velocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	0	outer
symbolsssensible $\eta$ fin efficiencyttube $\rho$ mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )wwater	Symbols		ov	overall
ho mass density (kg m-3) t tube $w$ water	n n n	fin efficiency	S	sensible
w water	"	mass density (kg m <sup><math>-3</math></sup> )	t	tube
	μ	mass density (kg m )	w	water

(ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, etc), alcohols (ethanol, methanol, etc) and salts (NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, etc) are the substances commonly used in practice as depressant for ice slurry generation. Ice slurry can be generated using several methods. Egolf and Kauffeld (2005) and chapter 5 in Kauffeld et al. (2005) provide an overview of the currently known methods of ice slurry production. However, in most industrial applications ice slurry is produced by cooling a solution of water with a freezing point depressant flowing over a refrigerated surface.

Ice slurry is an efficient heat carrier due to the latent heat of ice crystals. The high heat transportation capacity of ice slurry and its low operating temperatures make it an excellent alternative to conventional single-phase coolants in indirect refrigeration systems. Nowadays, indirect cooling systems are spreading out due to regulations for the use of synthetic refrigerants and the possibilities that these systems provide for thermal energy storage. Ice slurry, up to certain ice fractions, can be easily pumped through distribution pipe networks and heat exchangers. Therefore, ice slurry can effectively provide the same cooling load with much lower volume flow rates, offering significant savings in pumping cost and size of equipment.

These outstanding features of the ice slurry are bringing about an increasing interest in this technology, which has been successfully employed in many applications (Davies, 2005). The applications of ice slurry can be grouped into direct applications and its use as a secondary fluid in indirect cooling systems. Most of the direct applications are related to the processing and preservation of food products such as fish, dairy, etc. Other direct applications such as in medicine, pigging, fire fighting, etc, are being considered. Ice slurry as a secondary fluid has been employed in air conditioning systems, commercial refrigeration and industrial production processes.

Ice slurry-based air conditioning systems usually present two different arrangements: with only an ice slurry circuit and with both ice slurry and chilled water circuits. In the former, the ice slurry is pumped from the storage tank directly to the air-handling units. In the latter, the ice slurry circulates between the storage tank and a heat exchanger where chilled water is produced, then, the chilled water is distributed by a second circuit to the air-handling units.

An arrangement with direct distribution of ice slurry to the air-handling units would result in a sizeable reduction of heat exchangers due to its lower and almost constant operating temperature, which would provide a larger temperature difference than chilled water. Additionally, ice slurry provides the option of reducing cooler air temperature and, consequently, the volume flow rate needed for a given cooling load. As a result, the investment and operating costs of the airhandling system are reduced. However, it should be borne in mind that if air at low temperature is distributed in a conditioned space, draft will be avoided. If fan-coils are considered as terminal air cooler units, besides the benefits associated with the downsizing of the usually complicated distribution networks, a significant size reduction of the terminal units may be attained thus resulting in a lower investment cost.

However, it should be borne in mind that frost formation will occur on the finned-coil surfaces due to its low operating temperatures (below 0  $^{\circ}$ C), which will affect the fan-coil performance. On the other hand, it should not be forgotten that the generation of ice slurry requires more energy consumption in the primary refrigeration plant than the production of chilled water. The pros and cons cited above, as well as the overall energy consumption and investment costs

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/789720

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/789720

Daneshyari.com