

Decoupling features for diagnosis of reversing and check valve faults in heat pumps

H. Li^{a,*}, J.E. Braun^b

^aUniversity of Nebraska-Lincoln, 1110 S. 67th Omaha, NE 68182, USA ^bPurdue University, 140 S. Intramural Dr., West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 July 2007 Received in revised form 4 May 2008 Accepted 5 May 2008 Published online 16 May 2008

Keywords: Heat pump Process Detection Fault Reversing valve Valve Leak Refrigerant

ABSTRACT

Recently, a decoupling-based (DB) fault detection and diagnosis (FDD) method was developed for diagnosing multiple-simultaneous faults in air conditioners (AC) and was shown to have very good performance. The method relies on identifying diagnostic features that are decoupled (i.e., insensitive) to other faults and operating conditions. The current paper extends the DB FDD methodology to heat pumps. Heat pumps have all the same faults as occur for air conditioners with additional faults associated with components that accommodate heating mode, including reversing valve leakage and check valve leakage. Decoupling features were developed for these additional faults and laboratory evaluations were performed to evaluate diagnostic performance. It was found that check valve leakage could be detected and diagnosed before the heating capacity degradation reached 5% for a system with a fixed orifice expansion (FXO) device and 3% for the same system retrofit with a thermal expansion valve (TXV). Furthermore, the feature for check valve leakage is very insensitive to other faults and operating conditions. The decoupling feature for reversing valve leakage could successfully detect and diagnose faults for a TXV system before the heating capacity degraded 6% and was also insensitive to other faults and operating conditions. However, this feature did not work well for a system with an FXO in heating mode because the refrigerant exiting the evaporator and entering the reversing valve was typically a two-phase mixture. Fortunately, it was possible to diagnose this particular fault at many operating conditions in cooling mode for the system with an FXO.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved.

Pompes à chaleur : diagnostic des anomalies de robinets d'inversion et des clapets anti-retour

Mots clés : Pompe à chaleur ; Procédé ; Détection ; Anomalie ; Robinet inverseur ; Soupape ; Fuite ; Frigorigène

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 402 554 2080.

E-mail address: hli3@unl.edu (H. Li).

^{0140-7007/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2008 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2008.05.005

 \dot{m}_{comp}

Nomenclature

AOpening of the T2 combination [m²]AFXOFXO throat area [ALCVOpening of the letATXVTXV throat area [ATXV,0TXV offset adjustACAir conditioner	XV and leaky check valve m ²] aky check valve [m ²] [m ²] ment parameter [m ²]	mcycle mleak Pdis,comp Pdown Pevap Psuc,comp	Mass flow rate through the cycle [kg s ⁻¹] Mass low rate leaking from the high side to the low side [kg s ⁻¹] Compressor discharge pressure [Pa] Expansion device downstream Evaporating pressure [Pa] Compressor suction pressure [Pa]
AMB Ambient tempera C Discharge coeffic	ature [°C] ient	P _{up} T _{dis,coil}	Expansion device upstream pressure [Pa] The reversing valve low side inlet temperature [°C]
C _p Specific heat [] kg DB Decoupling based DF _{LCV,FXO} Decoupling feature] K] l ure for check valve leakage	$T_{ m dis,comp}$ $T_{ m sh}$ $T_{ m sh,o}$	Reversing valve high side inlet temperature [°C] Actual superheat [K] TXV superheat threshold [K]
DF _{LCV,TXV} Decoupling feat faults in TXV sys	ure for check valve leakage tems	T _{sh,sp} T _{suc,coil} T	Superheat set point [K] Reversing valve low side inlet temperature [°C]
DF _{LRV} Decoupling feature leakage faults	re for reversing valve	I suc,comp	side outlet [°C]
EXV Electronic expans FDD Fault detection an	sion valve nd diagnosis	UA	Product of overall heat transfer coefficient and surface area $[WK^{-1}]$
K _{TXV} TXV Proportional	gain $[m^2 K^{-1}]$	VCC	Vapor compression cycle
K _{VCC} VCC plant propor LMTD Logarithmic mean	tional gain [K m ⁻²] n temperature difference	Greek lett ρ	^{ers} Refrigerant density [kg m ⁻³]

1. Introduction

HVAC&R systems often do not function as well as expected due to faults introduced during initial installation or during routine operation. For example, numerous case studies conducted by various independent investigators (Proctor and Downey, 1995; Li and Braun, 2006) concluded that more than 50% of the packaged air conditioning systems in the field were improperly charged due to improper commissioning or refrigerant leakage. Estimates of energy savings associated with correcting the refrigerant charge faults alone range from 5% to 11% (Cowan, 2004). Faults found very common in HVAC&R systems can be divided into three groups: (1) refrigeration cycle; (2) distribution system; and (3) sensor, control and economizer faults. Among these three groups of faults, refrigeration cycle faults are the most difficult and expensive to diagnose.

With growing realization of the benefits, a lot of research on automated FDD (AFDD) for HVAC&R systems has been done during the past two decades as summarized by Dexter et al. (2001), Li (2004) and Katipamula and Brambley (2005a,b). For vapor compression air conditioning equipment, most of the methods presented in the literature (Grimmelius et al., 1995; Stylianou and Lau, 1996; Rossi and Braun, 1997), utilize differences between measurements and model predictions (residuals) of state variables to perform fault detection and diagnostics. Although these methods have good performance for individual faults (Breuker and Braun, 1998; Li and Braun, 2003), they do not handle multiple-simultaneous faults. In addition, these methods require measurements over a wide range of conditions for training reference models, the development of which can be time consuming and costprohibitive.

Mass flow rate through the compressor $[kg s^{-1}]$

To handle multiple-simultaneous faults, Li and Braun (2007a) formulated model-based FDD techniques in a general mathematical way (see Eq. (1)) and found that the methodology of decoupling is the key to handling multiple-simultaneous faults. As illustrated in Eq. (2), the decoupling methodology transforms a complicated multiple-input and multiple-output FDD problem into a finite number of simple single-input and single-output problems. That is, a fault indicator, termed the decoupling feature, is uniquely related to a single fault and independent of the impacts of other faults and driving conditions. Consequently, multiple faults can be handled.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{variable 1} \\ \text{variable 2} \\ \vdots \\ \text{variable i} \\ \vdots \\ \text{variable n} \end{array} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11}(\cdot) & f_{12}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{1i}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{1n}(\cdot) \\ f_{21}(\cdot) & f_{22}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{2i}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{2n}(\cdot) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{11}(\cdot) & f_{12}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{1i}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{in}(\cdot) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{n1}(\cdot) & f_{n2}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{ni}(\cdot) & \cdots & f_{nn}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} fault 1 \\ fault 2 \\ \vdots \\ fault i \\ \vdots \\ fault n \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where 'variable i' represents a certain state variable of the diagnosed system (e.g. suction superheat); 'fault i' represents a certain fault of the diagnosed system (e.g. refrigerant leakage); and $f_{ij}(\cdot)$ denotes the function relationship between 'fault j' and 'variable i'.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/789903

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/789903

Daneshyari.com