



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijrefrig



Condensation heat transfer and pressure drop in flattened microfin tubes having different aspect ratios



E.J. Lee, N.H. Kim*, H.W. Byun

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Incheon National University, 12-1, Songdo-Dong, Yeonsu-Gu, Incheon 406-772, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 19 July 2013
Received in revised form
18 September 2013
Accepted 20 September 2013
Available online 27 September 2013

Keywords: Condensation Heat transfer coefficient Pressure drop Microfin Tube

R410A

ABSTRACT

In this study, condensation heat transfer coefficients and pressure drops of R-410A are obtained in flattened microfin tubes made from 7.0 mm O.D. round microfin tubes. The test range covers saturation temperature 45 °C, mass flux 100–400 kg m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ and quality 0.2 –0.8. Results show that the effect of aspect ratio on condensation heat transfer coefficient is dependent on the flow pattern. For annular flow, the heat transfer coefficient increases as aspect ratio increases. For stratified flow, however, the heat transfer coefficient decreases as aspect ratio increases. The pressure drop always increases as aspect ratio increases. Possible reasoning is provided based on the estimated flow pattern in flat microfin tubes. Comparison with existing round microfin tube correlations is made.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved.

Transfert de chaleur de condensation et chute de pression dans des tubes à micro-ailettes aplatie avec différents rapports longueur-diamètre

Mots clés: Condensation; Coefficient de transfert de chaleur; Chute de pression; Micro ailettes; Tube; R410A

Nomenclature		w	Tube width, m
Α	Area, m ²	Х	Quality
AR	Aspect ratio $(=h/w)$	X_{tt}	Martinelli parameter
Cp	Specific heat, J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	dp/dz	
D D	Diameter, m	α	Void fraction
D _e	Equivalent diameter, m	β	Fin helix angle, degree
D _b	Hydraulic diameter, m	γ	Fin apex angle, degree
D _n	Melt-down diameter, m	ρ	Density, kg m ⁻³
D _r	Fin root diameter, m	Subscripts	
D_{t}	Fin tip diameter, m	ave	Average
е	Fin height, m	С	Cross-sectional
G	Mass flux, kg m^{-2} s ⁻¹	exp	Experimental
g	Gravitational constant, m s ⁻²	f	Friction
h	Heat transfer coefficient, W $\mathrm{m}^{-2}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ or tube	i	Inside
	height, m ⁻¹	in	Inlet
i_{fg}	Latent heat of vaporization, J kg ⁻¹	l	Liquid
J_{g}	Regime criteria defined by $xG/[gD\rho_v(ho_l- ho_v)]^{0.5}$	m	Middle or melt-down
k	Thermal conductivity, W m^{-1} K $^{-1}$	0	Outside
ṁ	Mass flow rate, kg s^{-1}	р	Preheater
Nu_{Dh}	Nusselt number based on hydraulic diameter	pred	Prediction
Pr	Liquid Prandtl number	r	Refrigerant or fin root
$P_{\mathbf{w}}$	Wetted perimeter, m	sat	Saturation
Q	Heat transfer rate, W	sens	Sensible
Re_{Dh}	Reynolds number based on hydraulic diameter	t	Fin tip
t	Tube wall thickness, m	v	Vapor
T	Temperature, K	w	Water or tube wall
U	Overall heat transfer coefficient, W $\mathrm{m}^{-2}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$		

1. Introduction

A special enhanced copper round tube commonly called the microfin tube is widely used for fin-and-tube evaporators and condensers of residential air conditioners or heat pumps. Typical round microfin tubes have an outside diameter from 4 to 15 mm, 50 to 70 fins with helix angle (β) from 6 to 30°, fin height from 0.1 to 0.25 mm, fin apex angle (γ) from 25 to 70° (Webb and Kim, 2005; Cavallini et al., 2009; Laohalertdecha et al., 2012). It is known that microfins significantly enhance the heat transfer with marginal pressure drop increase. For condensation, heat transfer enhancement is realized by increase of heat transfer area, turbulence and surface tension induced drainage by the fins. Early transition from wavy-stratified flow to annular flow is also responsible for the heat transfer enhancement (Cavallini et al., 2000).

Round tubes of fin-and-tube heat exchangers, however, inevitably induce low thermal performance regions downstream of the tubes. Usage of oval or flat tubes instead of round tubes will mitigate air-side performance degradation. The amount of refrigerant charge will also be reduced compared with that in the round tube (Wilson et al., 2003). Webb and Iyengar (2001) compared the air-side performance of the fin-and-tube heat exchanger having oval tubes (5 mm \times 8 mm) with that of the fin-and-tube heat exchanger having round tubes (O.D. = 8 mm). The heat transfer coefficient of the oval tube heat exchanger was approximately the same as that of the round tube heat exchanger. The pressure

drop of the oval tube heat exchanger, however, was 10% lower. Similar observation was reported by Kim and Kim (2010) from the air-side performance comparison of the finand-tube heat exchanger with flat tubes (3.5 mm \times 9.5 mm) and the fin-and-tube heat exchanger with round tubes (O.D. = 7.0 mm).

Literature reveals many studies on condensation or evaporation in round tubes (Cavallini et al., 2009; Webb and Kim, 2005; Collier and Thome, 1994; Ghiaansiaan, 2008). However, investigations on condensation or evaporation in oval or flat tubes are very limited. Wilson et al. (2003) measured R-22 and R-410A condensation heat transfer coefficients and pressure drops in flat tubes, which were made by gradually deforming the 9.5 mm O.D. round smooth or microfin tube. The microfin tube had 60 fins of 0.2 mm fin height with 18° helix angle. The mass flux was varied from 75 to 400 kg m^{-2} s⁻¹. Condensation heat transfer coefficient increased with the aspect ratio of the tube. Maximum heat transfer coefficient was obtained for the tube having 2.57 mm internal height. The maximum enhancement ratio over round smooth tube was approximately twofold for the smooth flat tube, and fivefold for the microfin flat tube. Kim et al. (2001) obtained the R-22 evaporation heat transfer coefficient in an oval microfin tube of 1.5 aspect ratio, which was made by deforming the 9.5 mm O.D. microfin tube. The microfin tube had 60 fins of 0.2 mm fin height with 18° helix angle. The mass flux was varied from 150 to 300 kg m^{-2} s⁻¹ at fixed heat flux of 12 kW m^{-2} . The heat transfer coefficient of the oval tube was 2-15% higher than

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/790197

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/790197

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>