



# Liquid side heat transfer and pressure drop in finned-tube cooling-coils operated with secondary refrigerants

Caroline Haglund Stignor<sup>a,\*</sup>, Bengt Sundén<sup>b</sup>, Per Fahlén<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>SP Technical Research Institute of Sweden, Energy Technology, P.O. Box 857, S-501 15 Borås, Sweden

<sup>b</sup>Lund Institute of Technology, Division of Heat Transfer, P.O. Box 118, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden

<sup>c</sup>Chalmers University of Technology, Building Services Engineering, S-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

Received 3 March 2006; received in revised form 31 January 2007; accepted 2 February 2007

Available online 24 February 2007

## Abstract

In this study full-scale experiments with two different conventional cooling-coils aimed for display cabinets were performed. Heat transfer and pressure drop on the liquid side for three different single phase secondary refrigerants were studied and compared to predictions by existing correlations. Predominantly, the laminar flow regime was studied. The results show that when predicting the heat transfer performance on the liquid side of a cooling-coil the Gnielinski correlation for thermally developing flow and uniform wall temperature boundary conditions (T) leads to good agreement for  $0.0014 < x^* < 0.017$  if  $50 < Re < 1700$ , assuming a new entrance length is formed after each U-bend. In addition, these entrance lengths must also be accounted for, when predicting the pressure drop on the liquid side of the cooling-coil. The uncertainty of measurement can be a problem in this type of investigations and this has been taken into consideration when analysing the results.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Refrigeration; Display cabinet; Heat exchanger; Finned tube; Experiment; Heat transfer; Pressure drop; Secondary refrigerant; Propylene glycol; Laminar flow

# Transfert de chaleur et chute de pression côté liquide à l'intérieur des serpentins de refroidissement fonctionnant à l'aide de frigoporteurs

**Mots clés :** Réfrigération ; Meuble de vente ; Échangeur de chaleur ; Tube aileté ; Expérimentation ; Transfert de chaleur ; Chute de pression ; Frigoporteur ; Propylène glycol ; Écoulement laminaire

## 1. Introduction

Finned-tube cooling-coils are used in many applications. One of particular interest is for cooling of the air in display cabinets in the commercial sector, since refrigeration of merchandise in supermarkets is responsible for a significant

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 10 5165545; fax: +46 33 131979.

E-mail address: [caroline.haglund.stignor@sp.se](mailto:caroline.haglund.stignor@sp.se) (C. Haglund Stignor).

**Nomenclature**

$A$	area ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$\dot{V}$	Volume flow ( $\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$c_p$	specific heat ( $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ )	$X_f$	projected wave length (mm)
$D$	outer diameter of tubes (m)	$Y$	factor adjusting for viscosity variations in the liquid flow (–)
$d$	inner diameter of tubes (m)		
$d_h$	hydraulic diameter $d_h = 4A_f/P$ , where $P$ = perimeter (m)	<i>Greek letters</i>	
$F$	correction factor (–)	$\alpha$	heat transfer coefficient ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ )
$f$	Darcy friction factor, $f = \Delta p d / (2 \rho L_{\text{tube}} u^2)$ (–)	$\delta$	thickness (mm)
$h$	specific enthalpy ( $\text{J kg}^{-1}$ )	$\lambda$	thermal conductivity ( $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ )
$k$	number of U-bends along a liquid circuit (–)	$\eta$	fin efficiency (–)
$L$	characteristic length, e.g. in the definition of Reynolds number (m)	$\mu$	dynamic viscosity (Pa s)
$L_{\text{tube}}$	heated tube length (m)	$\rho$	density ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ )
$L_{\text{tube,tot}}$	total length of a liquid loop or circuit (m)	$\zeta_{\text{U-bend}}$	resistance coefficient for a U-bend (–)
$\dot{m}$	mass flux ( $\text{kg s}^{-1}$ )	<i>Subscripts</i>	
$N$	number of parallel loops or circuits (–)	a	air
$n$	number of tube rows (–)	b	liquid secondary refrigerant (brine)
$Nu$	Nusselt number, $Nu = (\alpha d_h / \lambda)$ (–)	B	bulk, liquid secondary refrigerant at bulk temperature
$P_d$	wave height of fins (m)	fin	fin
$p$	pitch (m)	H	uniform heat flux boundary condition
$\Delta p$	pressure difference (Pa, kPa or bar)	i	based on maximum inside (envelope) diameter
$Pr$	Prandtl number, $Pr = (c_p \mu / \lambda)$ (–)	in	in to cooling-coil, at inlet conditions
$\dot{Q}$	cooling capacity (W)	l	longitudinal (direction of air flow)
$Re$	Reynolds number, $Re = (\rho u L / \mu)$ , in this paper based on empty tube diameter (–)	lm	logarithmic mean
$t$	temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	m	mean
$U$	expanded (total) uncertainty of measurements	out	out from cooling-coil
$U$	overall heat transfer coefficient, Eqs. (5) and (6) ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ )	t	transverse (direction of air flow)
$u$	velocity ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ )	T	uniform temperature boundary condition
		w	wall, liquid secondary refrigerant at wall temperature
		w	by weight (if preceded by %)

amount of the energy use in the this sector [1]. Lately, indirect cooling by means of a liquid secondary refrigerant has become frequently used, especially in the Nordic countries, due to regulations for the use of synthetic refrigerants. The tube-coil heat exchanger was originally designed for evaporation of a refrigerant and then the heat transfer coefficient on the tube side is very high. However, using a liquid secondary refrigerant as heat transfer medium, heat transfer on the tube side will be much lower, especially for laminar flows, which is often the case for many secondary refrigerants, due to relatively high viscosity at low temperatures.

The heat transfer and pressure drop performance on the air side of finned-tube-coils have been thoroughly analysed by many researchers, see, e.g. the ref. [2]. Regarding the liquid side, Mao et al. [3] and Hrnjak [4] investigated heat transfer in a display cabinet cooling-coil with secondary refrigerants. They reported unexpectedly high heat transfer coefficients on the secondary refrigerant side at low Reynolds numbers. This is explained by the occurrence of thermally developing regions after the U-bends. Hong and Hrnjak

[5] investigated the U-bend effect further and correlations for different fluids were developed (i.e. curve fits from experiments with a coaxial heat exchanger). The researchers concluded that the thermal development and heat transfer following a U-bend are very similar to that after the inlet of the tube. Apart from these studies, there are little experimental data regarding heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics for cooling-coils cooled by secondary refrigerants available in the literature.

Several papers presented during the last years deal with selection of secondary refrigerant for secondary loop refrigeration systems and they point out that for some liquids the flow regime could be laminar in the cooling-coils, e.g. the refs. [6,7]. There are several different heat transfer correlations for laminar flow forced convection in ducts presented in the literature [5,8–12]. According to Melinder [6] the correlation presented by Sieder and Tate [10] can be used for laminar flow of liquid secondary refrigerants in a cooling-coil and the tube length used in the correlation might represent one straight tube length,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/790635>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/790635>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)