G Model MSB 13735 1–7

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Materials Science and Engineering B xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Materials Science and Engineering B



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mseb

Size-dependent deformation behavior of nanocrystalline graphene sheets

³ Q1 Zhi Yang^{a,*}, Yuhong Huang^b, Fei Ma^{a,c}, Yunjin Sun^e, Kewei Xu^{a,d}, Paul K. Chu^c

^a State Key Laboratory for Mechanical Behavior of Materials, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, Shaanxi, China

^b College of Physics and Information Technology, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, Shaanxi, China

^c Department of Physics and Materials Science, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

^d Department of Physics and Opt-electronic Engineering, Xi'an University of Arts and Science, Xi'an 710065, Shaanxi, China

e Faculty of Food Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing Key Laboratory of Agricultural Product Detection and Control of Spoilage

Organisms and Pesticide Residue, Beijing Laboratory of Food Quality and Safety, Beijing 102206, China

24 ARTICLE INFO

13 Article history:

10

12

- 14 Received 7 November 2014
- 15 Received in revised form 19 March 2015
- Accepted 31 March 2015
- 17 Available online xxx
- 18

19 Keywords:

- 20 Nanocrystalline graphene
- 21 Deformation behavior
- 22 Grain-size effect
- 23 Molecular dynamics simulation

ABSTRACT

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is conducted to study the deformation behavior of nanocrystalline graphene sheets. It is found that the graphene sheets have almost constant fracture stress and strain, but decreased elastic modulus with grain size. The results are different from the size-dependent strength observed in nanocrystalline metals. Structurally, the grain boundaries (GBs) become a principal component in two-dimensional materials with nano-grains and the bond length in GBs tends to be homogeneously distributed. This is almost the same for all the samples. Hence, the fracture stress and strain are almost size independent. As a low-elastic-modulus component, the GBs increase with reducing grain size and the elastic modulus decreases accordingly. A composite model is proposed to elucidate the deformation behavior.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

25 1. Introduction

Q3

Since the discovery of graphene, two-dimensional systems have 26 rapidly become one of the research focuses in chemistry, physics 27 and materials science due to their exciting and unique electronic 28 29 [1], optoelectronic [2], as well as magnetic [3] properties. Particularly, the highest strength over 100 GPa [4,5], the excellent 30 flexibility with an ultimate strain higher than 20% [1,6] and the 31 exceptionally high electron mobility of 10⁵ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ [7] of pris-32 tine graphene stimulate the interests in applying graphene in 33 flexible devices. Stress is often exerted on graphene sheets to engi-34 neer the band structure and phonon spectrum as well as the device 35 performances [8,9]. Hence, it is of great scientific and technologi-36 cal significance to study the deformation behavior and mechanical 37 properties of graphene sheets. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) 38 on metals [10,11] is one of the common techniques to fabricate 30 large-area and high-quality graphene sheets and they are usually 40 polycrystalline [12–15] as a result of the uncontrollable nucle-41 ation processes [16]. Undoubtedly, the grain boundaries (GBs) are 42

Q2 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 18302903653.

E-mail addresses: yzmsn85@stu.xjtu.edu.cn (Z. Yang), mafei@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (F. Ma), kwxu@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (K. Xu), paul.chu@cityu.edu.hk (P.K. Chu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2015.03.019 0921-5107/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. considered a critical factor in determining the mechanical behavior of such a monolayer system [17–20].

Experimentally, nanoindentation based on atomic force microscopy (AFM) has been used to characterize the mechanical properties of graphene membranes [21-23]. But it is very difficult to observe the microstructure evolution in situ. Moreover, the stress field induced by AFM is inhomogeneous. This makes it difficult to study and fathom the intrinsic deformation mechanism. Recently, two-point and four-point bending approaches [24] are exploited to uniaxially stretch the graphene deposited on a flexible substrate or a tunable biaxial strain is exerted on the graphene deposited on a piezoelectric substrate due to piezoelectric effect [25]. For example, Garza et al. [26] demonstrated a reversible uniaxial strain >10% by pulling graphene sheets via a microelectromechanical system (MEMS). However, the applied strain is still smaller than the ultimate strain and it is difficult to elucidate the deformation mechanism experimentally. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation enables researchers to examine the intrinsic mechanical behavior of graphene sheets [27]. It was found that the mechanical strength of graphene sheets depends on both grain misorientation and GB rotation [28]. Large-angle tilt boundaries are able to better accommodate the strained rings and are much stronger than low-angle boundaries having fewer defects [27]. Jhon et al. [29] further verified the effects of misorientation angle 2

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Z. Yang et al. / Materials Science and Engineering B xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. (a) Typical model of nanocrystalline graphene sheets 18.5 nm × 18.5 nm in size under uniaxial tensile loading, (b) tensile stress-strain curves, (c) fracture stress and strain of the nanocrystalline graphene sheets as a function of grain size, and (d) elastic modulus.

and critical bond length on the tensile strength of polycrystalline graphene. However, based on an ideal GB model consisting of pentagon-heptagon pairs, Cao et al. [30] found that the mechanical strength deceases slightly with the misorientation angle and they ascribed the difference to the stress gradient around the crack tips. Hence, the mechanical strength of polycrystalline graphene can be either enhanced or weakened dependent on the detailed arrangement of the defects, but not just the defect density [31].

Although the deformation behavior and mechanical properties 75 of graphene have been extensively studied, the single-crystal, bi-76 crystal or hexagonal grain configurations as ideal models have 77 usually been considered [27,32]. The influence of GBs on the defor-78 mation may be addressed to a certain degree, but it is different 79 from the polycrystalline case with randomly distributed grain 80 orientation and grain sizes. The mechanical deformation of nano-81 crystalline graphene sheets can be quite complicate depending on 82 the grain size, morphology, orientation, and so on [33,18]. Particu-83 larly, when the grain size is reduced down to nanometer scale, the 84 85 effects of the grain size and GBs may be appreciable. For example, Li et al. [34] found that the fracture strength are significantly reduced 86 owing to the combined weakening effect of pre-straining in highly 87 defective GBs and sp³ hybridization of hydrogenated carbons there, 88 moreover, the smaller the grain size is, the larger the reduction in 89 fracture strength is. 90

In this work, MD simulation is performed to systematically investigate the deformation behavior and mechanical properties of nanocrystalline graphene in more realistic sense. Unexpectedly, they have almost the constant fracture strain and stress, nearly independent on the grain size, but the elastic modulus decreases sharply with the grain size. The results are completely different from the deformation behaviors exhibited in nanocrystalline metals in which size-dependent strength is generally observed. A composite model with grain domains and GBs as two components is proposed to understand the size-dependent elastic modulus and size-independent fracture strength.

2. Models and simulation methods

More realistic simulation models of nanocrystalline graphene with randomly distributed grain size and orientation are constructed by Voronoi tessellation [35]. A Voronoi tessellation represents a collection of convex polygons isolated by planar cell walls perpendicular to lines connecting neighboring points. Each cell is filled with randomly oriented graphene domains and the atomic layers adjacent to the planar cell walls are defined as GBs. The initial carbon–carbon bond length is set as 1.42 Å that is the same as the experimental value. If two atoms in GBs have too small separation (<1.41 Å) from each other, one of them will be removed, while an atom will be added if there is a large void in GBs. Fig. 1a displays one of the typical atomic models $18.5 \text{ nm} \times 18.5 \text{ nm}$ in size. The colors of the atoms are mapped according to the potential energy (PE), and GBs with higher PE are clearly evident. A set of Voronoi tessellations with $N \times N$ (N takes 2, 3,..., 10) grains are adopted to represent the nanocrystalline graphene sheets with average grain sizes of 9.25, 6.17, 4.63, 3.75, 3.08, 2.64, 2.31, 2.06, and 1.85 nm, respectively. The misorientation angles of the grain boundaries are randomly distributed in the range of 0-60°. For each average grain size, five models are built to avoid the accidental errors.

The MD simulation is carried out using LAMMPS (Largescale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator) package. The 3D visualization software AtomEye is used for post-pocessing 104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

100

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7924259

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7924259

Daneshyari.com