ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Solar Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/solener



Relevance of PV with single-axis tracking for energy scenarios

Svetlana Afanasyeva, Dmitrii Bogdanov, Christian Breyer*

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Skinnarilankatu 34, Lappeenranta 53850, Finland



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Single-axis tracking Incident solar radiation 100% renewable energy Energy system optimization Energy system modeling

ABSTRACT

The two main options on the market for utility-scale photovoltaic (PV) installations are fixed-tilted and singleaxis tracking systems with a horizontal north-south-orientated axis. However, only a few global energy system studies consider the latter. The objective of this paper is to investigate the impact of single-axis tracking PV on energy scenarios. For this purpose, two scenarios with and without the single-axis tracking option are studied for 100% renewable energy (RE) systems in 2030. To find the optimum energy mix for both scenarios, the total annual cost computed by the LUT Energy System model is minimized. The satellite-based input global data have a temporal resolution of one hour and a spatial resolution of $0.45^{\circ} \times 0.45^{\circ}$. Furthermore, a model to estimate the annual yield of single-axis tracking PV is proposed and validated by using the PVsyst software. The simulation results are found to be within a 4% margin to the respective simulation results of PVsyst. Both scenarios demonstrate that a 100% RE system is possible at a low cost, where PV and wind power are the dominating generation technologies. Nevertheless, the results also show a significant effect of single-axis tracking PV. The global generation share of PV increases from 47% to 59%, and 20% of the total electricity is generated by singleaxis tracking PV, while the share of wind energy decreases from 31% to 21%. Additionally, curtailment, power transmission requirements, storage demand, and the total cost decrease. The global average levelized cost of electricity decreases by 6% from 54.8 to 51.4 €/MWh. The findings indicate that energy system modeling should include single-axis tracking.

1. Introduction

Solar photovoltaics (PV) is one of the most relevant RE technologies, and it is expected to be among the main energy sources of the future (Breyer et al., 2017b; Teske et al., 2015). The global annual installation rate of PV systems in the last five years increased from 29 GW/a to 98 GW/a, resulting in about 403 GW of total installed capacity by the end of 2016 (IEA PVPS, 2017, 2018). One of the key driving factors is cost reduction, which, for generation technologies, is commonly characterized by the learning rate. The learning rate specifies the saving in cost for each doubling of the historic cumulative installed capacity. The prices of PV systems have been decreasing at an average learning rate of roughly 21% over the last few decades (IEA PVPS, 2017; Fraunhofer ISE, 2015), whereas the learning rate averages 39% over the last ten years (ITRPV, 2017).

1.1. Background and motivation

Numerous energy system studies have been conducted to project the energy mix for the coming decades regionally or globally. An overview of the major global energy scenarios is presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

Utility-scale PV mounting systems are generally classified to be of fixed-tilt or tracking type. There are numerous concepts for PV tracking systems; yet, Breyer (2012) found that one of the main economic solutions for utility-scale systems is the single-axis tracking array with a horizontal north-south-orientated axis. Moreover, currently, these tracking options have a significant market share (IEA PVPS, 2017). The single-axis tracking typically increases the yield by 25–30% over a fixed-tilted layout (Huld and Suri, 2009; Narvarte and Lorenzo, 2008). However, tracking systems also require higher capital expenditures (capex) and operational expenditures (opex); hence, economic improvements are not guaranteed. For instance, Breyer (2012)

E-mail address: christian.breyer@lut.fi (C. Breyer).

Most of the scenarios estimate that PV is going to represent a substantial percentage of installed capacity in the future global energy mix. On average, the expected installed capacity of PV is about $10~\rm TW_p$, and the proportion is estimated at 16% of the global electricity generation (20% of the total primary energy demand) by 2050. However, some studies are rather conservative. For example, the IEA WEO 'New Policy Scenario' estimates a total installed PV capacity of $1.4~\rm TW_p$ by 2040, which is roughly 60% less than the average prediction. Notably, the proportion of real-world PV installations has stayed well above the IEA scenarios over the last 20 years (Breyer et al., 2017b; Metayer et al., 2015).

^{*} Corresponding author.

S. Afanasyeva et al. Solar Energy 173 (2018) 173–191

Nomenclature		A	surface area
		d	distance between PV arrays
Abbreviations and acronyms		$E_{ m gen}$	annual electricity generation
		f	modular factor for horizon brightening correction
AC	alternating current	$f_{ m sh}$	geometric shading factor
A-CAES	adiabatic compressed air energy storage	$G_{ m sc}$	solar constant
AEP	annual energy production	h	hour of the day, height of module installation
AOI	angle of incidence	h_0	height of measurements
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-	I	global horizontal irradiation
	Conditioning Engineers	I_0	extraterrestrial irradiation
BNEF	Bloomberg New Energy Finance	I_b	beam horizontal irradiation
capex	capital expenditure	$I_{ m d}$	diffuse horizontal irradiation
CCGT	combined cycle gas turbine	$I_{ m dcd}$	direct and circumsolar diffuse irradiation
crf	uniform capital recovery factor	$I_{ m dh}$	diffuse sky and horizon irradiation
CSP	concentrating solar thermal power	$I_{ m gr}$	ground reflected irradiation
DC	direct current	$I_{ m T}$	total incident irradiation
DNI	direct normal irradiation	1	length of the PV array
DoY	day of the year	N	technical lifetime
EoT	equation of time	$N_{ m d}$	number of days in a year
ETP	Energy Technology Perspectives	$N_{ m h}$	number of hours in a year
FLH	full load hours	$N_{ m SB}$	number of shaded blocks of a PV module
GHG	greenhouse gas	$N_{ m TB}$	the total number of blocks of a PV module
GHI	global horizontal irradiation	\boldsymbol{P}	power
HDKR	Hay-Davis-Klucher-Reindl model	$R_{ m b}$	geometric factor
HV	high voltage	T	temperature
IAM	incidence angle modifier	$t_{\rm s}$	solar time
ICE	internal combustion engine	$t_{ m st}$	local standard time
IEA	International Energy Agency	V	wind speed at the height of the module installation
IEA PVPS	Photovoltaic Power Systems Programme of the IEA	V_{0}	wind speed at height of measurements
IIASA	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis	w	width of the PV array
LCOC	levelized cost of curtailment		
LCOE	levelized cost of electricity	Greek sy	ymbols
LCOS	levelized cost of storage		
LCOT	levelized cost of transmission.	α	absorptance
LUT	Lappeenranta University of Technology	β	tracking angle
LV	low voltage	γ	surface azimuth angle
MV	medium voltage	γ_s	solar azimuth angle
NOCT	nominal operating cell temperature	δ	declination angle
OCGT	open cycle gas turbine	η	efficiency
opex	operational expenditure	θ i	angle of incidence
PHS	pumped hydro storage	θ z	zenith angle
precip	average monthly precipitation value	λ	geographic longitude
PtG	power-to-gas	λ_{st}	reference longitude of the local time zone
PtH	power-to-heat	ρg	ground reflection parameter
PV	photovoltaic	τ	transmittance
rampCost	cost of ramping technology	φ	geographic latitude
RE	renewable energy	ω	hour angle
reg	all considered regions		
Shell	Royal Dutch Shell	Subscrip	ots
SoC	state of charge	_	
STC	standard test conditions	amb	ambient
tech	all considered technologies	el	electric units
TES	thermal energy storage	fix	fixed
totRamp	annual ramping values of the technology	h	hour index
TPED	total primary energy demand	inv	inverter
VLS-PV	very large-scale PV	mod	module
WACC	weighted average cost of capital	р	peak or nominal capacity
WBGU	German Advisory Council on Global Change	r	subregion index
WEC	World Energy Council	sh	shaded
WEO	World Energy Outlook	soil	soiling
WWF	World Wide Fund for Nature	T	total
** ***	THE THE PART OF PARTIE	t	technology index
Physical quantities-Latin symbols		th	thermal unit
1 regional q	amente sum of moon	var	variable
$A_{ m i}$	anisotropy index		
1	· · · · · _k J		

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7934909

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7934909

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>