Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Solar Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/solener

Thermodynamic analysis of an integrated transcritical carbon dioxide power cycle for concentrated solar power systems



^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering and Islamic Architecture, Umm Al-Qura University, Al Abdeyah, Makkah 5555, Saudi Arabia ^b Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Ontario Institute of Technology, 2000 Simcoe Street North, Oshawa, Ontario L1H 7K4, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Energy Exergy Efficiency Transcritical Carbon dioxide Rankine cycle Solar energy

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the thermodynamic performance, through energy and exergy efficiencies, of a conceptual design of a reheat transcritical carbon dioxide (T-CO₂) power cycle for concentrated solar power (CSP) plants. Herein, a parabolic trough collector (PTC) solar field is used to harvest solar energy and provide the thermal energy to the T-CO₂ power cycle. Thermal energy storage (TES) is also integrated to overcome the intermittent nature of solar energy and maintain stable thermal energy supply to the power cycle. Furthermore, the T-CO₂ power cycle is integrated with an absorption refrigeration system (ARS) to enhance the cycle efficiency and production stability by sustaining low condensation temperature at various weather conditions. A parametric study through energy and exergy analyses is conducted considering the performance of each subsystem independently, and that of the overall integrated CSP. The energy and operating conditions for the T-CO₂ power cycle is energy and exergy efficiencies and integrated system efficiencies are investigated. In addition, the impacts of variations in these parameters on the integrated CSP energy and exergy efficiencies are examined. The T-CO₂ power cycle achieved energy and exergy efficiencies of 34% and 82%, respectively. The integrated CSP (solar-to-electric) energy and exergy efficiencies are about 20% and 55%, respectively.

1. Introduction

The serious environmental consequences of the increase in Greenhouse gas (GHG) produced mainly by conventional energy systems are the primary cause for pursuing alternative energy resources that can eliminate or at least reduce GHG emissions. Carbon dioxide (CO_2) is a major constituent of GHG with a concentration increased significantly from 280 part per million (ppm), before the industrial revolution, to 382 ppm in 2006 as reported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Abas and Khan, 2014). In analogy, the global average temperature has experienced an increase by about 0.8 degrees over the last six decades (Ruedy, 2014).

The current research investigates the use of a concentrated solar power (CSP) plant as an alternative to fossil fuel power plants which emit a significant amount of CO_2 into the atmosphere. The parabolic trough collectors (PTCs) are considered the most mature solar concentration technology with the largest CSP market share (Chacartegui et al., 2016). It is recently estimated that about 87% of the total CSP installed capacity is PTC based solar power plants (Desai and

Bandyopadhyay, 2017). A PTC based solar field consists of an array of parabolic concentrators which reflect and focus solar radiation on the focal line where receiver tube is positioned for heat absorption. A heat transfer fluid is circulated through the receivers to transport heat to the power block. The PTC solar field produces a maximum output temperature of 400 °C. Thus PTC is usually optimized to maintain an output temperature that achieves the highest efficiency (Navas et al., 2017). The global CSP installed capacity increased to reach over 5 GW in 2016 from just below 0.5 GW in 2008 (NREL, 2017), with 2.2 GW in Spain only (Sallaberry et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2018). Despite the high cost of CSP produced electricity compared with other renewable resources such as photovoltaic (PV), several benefits maintained a growing interest in CSP. These advantages are: (1) the low cost of thermal energy storage, (2) the higher efficiency compared with commercial PV systems, and (3) the higher capacity utilization factors (Sharma et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the present research focuses on the development of an innovative reheat transcritical carbon dioxide $(T-CO_2)$ power cycle. The interest in using CO₂ as a working fluid in power cycles has been

E-mail address: aarzahrani@uqu.edu.sa (A.A. AlZahrani).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2018.05.071 Received 25 July 2017; Received in revised form 17 May 2018; Accepted 21 May 2018 0038-092X/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.







^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering and Islamic Architecture, Umm Al-Qura University, Al Abdeyah, Makkah 5555, Saudi Arabia.

| Nomenclature | | f | factor |
|--------------|---|----------|---------------------------------|
| | | h | hot stream |
| Α | area (m ²) | HE | heater |
| С | cooling | htf | heat transfer fluid |
| C_p | specific heat (kJ/kg K) | i | internal; inlet |
| D | diameter (m) | k | arbitrary state point |
| ex | specific exergy (kJ/kg) | L | loss |
| Ėx | exergy rate (kW) | 0 | exit; output |
| h | specific enthalpy (kJ/kg); convective heat transfer coeffi- | ov | overall |
| | cient (W/m ² K) | р | constant pressure; pump |
| k | thermal conductivity (W/m K) | r | receiver; radiation |
| m | mass (kg) | RE | reheater |
| 'n | mass flow rate (kg/s) | rec | rectifier |
| Р | pressure (MPa) | s | solar |
| Q | heat energy (kJ) | SP | solution pump |
| Q | heat rate (kW) | t | total |
| s | specific entropy (kJ/kg) | th | thermal |
| Т | temperature (°C) | | |
| U | overall heat transfer coefficient (W/m K) | Acronyr | ns |
| V | volumetric flow rate (m ³ /s) | | |
| W | power (kW) | ARS | absorption refrigeration system |
| Ŵ | work rate, W | CO_2 | carbon dioxide |
| η | efficiency | COP | coefficient of performance |
| μ | viscosity (kg/m s) | CSP | concentration solar power |
| | | EES | engineering equation solver |
| Subscripts | | EV | expansion valve |
| | | HEX | heat exchanger |
| а | ambient | HPT | high pressure turbine |
| abs | absorber; absorbed | HTF | heat transfer fluid |
| av | average | IHE | internal heat exchanger |
| CON | condenser | LPT | low pressure turbine |
| D | destroyed | PTC | parabolic trough collector |
| des | desorber | $S-CO_2$ | supercritical carbon dioxide |
| en | energy | TES | thermal energy storage |
| eva | evaporator | | |
| ex | exergy | | |
| | | | |

growing over the last four decades motivated by some advantages pertained to CO_2 power cycles compared with conventional cycles. For instance, CO_2 power cycles achieve higher efficiency, reduce machinery size, high heat transport capacity, and lower leakage and cost compared with helium-based cycles (Dostal, 2004; Feher, 1966; Sarkar, 2009).

The first proposal of a supercritical carbon dioxide (S-CO₂) Brayton cycle is credited to Feher (1966). Since then, several researchers have theoretically studies various configurations of S-CO₂ power cycles. Notably, the work done by Dostal (2004) has resumed previous investigations on using S-CO₂ as a working fluid for power cycles. In his study, a number of CO2 Brayton power cycles are modeled and optimized for nuclear applications. The recompression cycle was reported to outperform other configurations in terms of cost, size, and thermal efficiency. The S-CO2 recompression Brayton cycle was further investigated by Sarkar (2009) who carried out a second law analysis. Seidel (2011) developed a simulation model for an S-CO₂ Brayton cycle for CSP applications. The model is used to assess the annual efficiency and energy production of the different S-CO₂ Brayton cycle configurations with focus on S-CO₂ regeneration Brayton cycle. The annual net efficiencies reported was in the range of 41.5% with minor variations between water cooled and hybrid-cooled configurations. Similarly, Chacartegui et al. (2011) investigated different configurations of CO₂ cycles for a central receiver solar plants. Pérez-Pichel et al. (2012) conducted a thermal analysis of S-CO₂ power cycles and reported an efficiency of as high as 43%.

More recent studies considered various aspects of S-CO₂ Brayton cycles, for example, Reyes-Belmonte et al. (2016) optimized an S-CO₂

recompression Brayton power cycle for innovative solar plant applications. Mecheri and Le Moullec (2016) studied the S-CO₂ Brayton cycle for conventional coal-fired power plants and showed a net cycle efficiency in the range of about 46-50%. Padilla et al. (2015) proposed and exergetically analyzed four S-CO2 reheat Brayton cycles. AlZahrani and Dincer (2016) focused on hydrogen production utilizing regenerative S-CO₂ Brayton power cycle in a high temperature solar tower plant. They reported an average power cycle efficiency in the range of 35-47% varying with the solar tower outlet temperature range of 527-1027 °C. Cheang et al. (2015) conducted a benchmarking study on various S-CO₂ cycles to compare their performance with Rankine steam cycles for CSP applications. Santini et al. (2016) compared different CO2 cycles with conventional steam Rankine cycle considering Mochovce 3 nuclear power plant as a reference case study. Though their research outcomes showed a marginal energy efficiency improvement, of about 0.5%, compared with steam Rankine cycle, the reduction in the plant weight is expected to be significant of about 40%.

On the other hand, the T-CO₂ power cycles have received some attention. The research group of Zhang et al. (2007) have dedicated considerable research efforts to develop and test a Rankine cycle powered by evacuated-tube solar collectors with S-CO₂ as working fluid. For example, Niu et al. (2011) experimentally investigated the heat transfer characteristics of the S-CO₂ fluid in the solar collectors of a Rankine cycle. The results demonstrated a substantial effect of the CO₂ properties near the critical point on the heat transfer behavior of the CO₂ fluid. Additionally, Niu et al. (2011, 2013) optimized the solar collectors' arrangement of an S-CO₂ Rankine cycle system. The

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7935091

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7935091

Daneshyari.com