# ARTICLE IN PRESS

Superlattices and Microstructures xxx (2017) 1-6



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Superlattices and Microstructures



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/superlattices

# Analog/RF performance of two tunnel FETs with symmetric structures

## Shupeng Chen, Hongxia Liu<sup>\*</sup>, Shulong Wang<sup>\*\*</sup>, Wei Li, Qianqiong Wang

School of Microelectronics, Key Laboratory of Wide Band-Gap Semiconductor Materials and Devices of Education, Xidian University, Xi'an, 710071, China

#### A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 2 June 2017 Received in revised form 4 July 2017 Accepted 5 July 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Tunnel FETs Symmetric Cut-off frequency Analog/RF performance

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, the radio frequency and analog performance of two tunnel field-effect transistors with symmetric structures are analyzed. The symmetric U-shape gate tunnel field-effect transistor (SUTFET) and symmetric tunnel field-effect transistor (STFET) are investigated by Silvaco Atlas simulation. The basic electrical properties and the parameters related to frequency and analog characteristics are analyzed. Due to the lower off-state leakage current, the STFET has better power consumption performance. The SUTFET obtains larger operating current (242  $\mu$ A/ $\mu$ m), transconductance (490  $\mu$ S/ $\mu$ m), output conductance (494  $\mu$ S/ $\mu$ m), gain bandwidth product (3.2 GHz) and cut-off frequency (27.7 GHz). The simulation result of these two devices can be used as a guideline for their analog/RF applications.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

With the scaling down of the metal oxide semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET), the density, power consumption, switching speed of Integrated circuit (IC) has ushered in a great progress [1,2]. However, the performance and reliability degradation caused by short channel effect (SCEs) and off-state leakage current ( $I_{off}$ ) becomes a serious reliability issue [2–4]. Tunnel field-effect transistor (TFET) has become a promising candidate for future ultralow power and high frequency applications [2,5–8] due to its low  $I_{off}$ , steep subthreshold swing (SS) [9–12] and robustness of SCE [13]. In order to further improve the performance of TFET, many researchers take measures such as new device structures and materials to improve the on-state current of TFET [14–18]. However, its inherent disadvantage of the asymmetric current path will increase the difficulty of the circuit design. Novel structures, such as symmetric tunnel field-effect transistor (STFET) have been reported to overcome this inborn disadvantage [19,20]. In our previous work, a symmetric U-shape gate tunnel field-effect transistor (SUTFET) with bidirectional current path and enhanced device performance is obtained [21]. Although the DC characteristics of these two devices have already been studied, few efforts were made on the analog/RF performance.

In this paper, for the first time, the analog/RF performance of STFET and SUTFET is studied. The operating current ( $I_{on}$ ), gate capacitance ( $C_{gg}$ ), transconductance ( $g_m$ ), output conductance ( $g_{ds}$ ), gain bandwidth product (*GBW*) and cut-off frequency ( $f_T$ ) of these two devices are compared and analyzed.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2017.07.013 0749-6036/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: S. Chen et al., Analog/RF performance of two tunnel FETs with symmetric structures, Superlattices and Microstructures (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2017.07.013

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hxliu@mail.xidian.edu.com (H. Liu), slwang@xidian.edu.cn (S. Wang).

#### 2

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### S. Chen et al. / Superlattices and Microstructures xxx (2017) 1-6

The content distribution of this paper is as follows: Section 2 includes the description of the parameters and the structures of STFET and SUTFET, as well as the simulation methods. Section 3 includes the simulation results of STFET and SUTFET. In this section, the analog/RF performance of STFET and SUTFET are compared. Section 4 gives a conclusion of this paper.

#### 2. Device structure

The structures of SUTFET and STFET are illustrated in Fig. 1. In order to form the bidirectional current path, both of these two devices have the symmetric germanium source/drain. The Si/Ge valence band gap can suppress the  $I_{off}$  by preventing holes flow from drain to channel in off-state. Thus, In order to suppress the  $I_{off}$ , the Si/Ge heterojunction is necessary. In SUTFET, the recessed channel and n + Si pocket are introduced to increase the  $I_{on}$ ; the stack of n-channel and p-pad are introduced to decrease the  $I_{off}$ . In STFET, the p-pad is introduced to be a part of the current path of  $I_{on}$ .

In this work, the two devices mentioned above are studied using Silvaco Atlas tool. By the small signal AC simulation at an operating frequency of 1 MHz, the analog and RF performance of SUTFET and STFET are investigated and analyzed. The best candidate for analog/RF application is obtained. The simulation parameters are shown in Table 1.

Simulations of the SUTFET and the STFET are carried out in Silvaco Atlas TCAD tools. Non-local BTBT Model is applied in this simulation to bring the energy band spatial variation into account, which can help to facilitate the accuracy of the BTBT tunneling process. In order to calculate the tunneling current of Si-Ge heterojunction accurately, the indirect tunneling mechanism must be taken into account. Thus, Kane's model is used and the A/B parameters are set as  $4.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}/9.9 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm}$  for Si and  $3.1 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}/7.1 \times 10^5 \text{ V/cm}$  for Ge [22]. Lombardi Mobility Model is considered to make the channel mobility more accurate (by considering the surface scattering caused by the transverse field and doping concentration). Fermi Statistics and Band-gap Narrowing Model are considered to fit the effect of the highly doped regions. Shockley-Read-Hall Recombination Model is also considered in this paper.

#### 3. Simulation and discussion

Fig. 2 (a) shows the transfer characteristics for the SUTFET and STFET at  $V_{DS} = 1$  V. The SUTFET has greater  $I_{on}$  (242  $\mu$ A/ $\mu$ m) and steeper SS (34mV/dec) than that of the STFET (91  $\mu$ A/ $\mu$ m and 47mV/dec). This is because that the recessed channel and n + pocket in SUTFET change the band-to-band tunneling area from dot to line, as explained after a few paragraphs. Thus, the area of tunneling junction is increased, which results in the greater  $I_{on}$  and steeper SS. The  $I_{off}$  of the SUTFET is one order of magnitude larger than that of the STFET. This is because the large supply voltage ( $V_{DS} = 1$  V) caused drain induced barrier lowering (DIBL), which leads to the intensified hole thermal excitation process in p- Si Pad of SUTFET. Fig. 2 (b) shows the  $g_m$  of the SUTFET and STFET. As an important parameter to evaluate analog performance,  $g_m$  is defined as the first derivative of the transfer characteristic curve [23] and expressed by Equation (1):

$$g_m = dI_{ds}/dV_{gs} \tag{1}$$

The  $g_m$  of two devices increase with the increasing  $V_g$  from 0 V to 0.8 V and the maximum value is obtained at  $V_g$  0.8 V. Due to the definition of  $g_m$ , the large  $I_{on}$  is more advantageous in  $g_m$ . Therefore, the SUTFET has greater  $g_{mmax}$  (490  $\mu$ S/ $\mu$ m) than that of the STFET (226  $\mu$ S/ $\mu$ m).

Fig. 3 (a) shows the output characteristics of SUTFET and STFET. As we already discussed previously, benefit from the greater tunneling junction area, SUTFET has a greater  $I_{on}$ . Both SUTFET and STFET are not saturated at  $V_g = V_d = 1$  V. Fig. 3 (b) shows the  $g_{ds}$  and output resistance ( $R_o$ ) of these two devices separately. The SUTFET reaches the maximum  $g_{ds}$  (494 µS/µm) at  $V_d^{\sim}$ 0.85 V, but the STFET does not reach the maximum value even at  $V_d = 1$  V. The  $R_o$  shown in Fig. 3 (b) is the inverse of  $g_{ds}$ , which is determined by the channel resistance and tunneling resistance [24]. As a result, the SUTFET exhibits a larger  $g_{ds}$  (and smaller  $R_o$ ), which is an important parameter to evaluate the device performance. It can be expressed by Equation (2):





Please cite this article in press as: S. Chen et al., Analog/RF performance of two tunnel FETs with symmetric structures, Superlattices and Microstructures (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2017.07.013

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7939956

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7939956

Daneshyari.com