



# Atom probe tomography of intermetallic phases and interfaces formed in dissimilar joining between Al alloys and steel



B. Lemmens<sup>a,b</sup>, H. Springer<sup>c,\*</sup>, M.J. Duarte<sup>c</sup>, I. De Graeve<sup>a,b</sup>, J. De Strycker<sup>d</sup>, D. Raabe<sup>c</sup>, K. Verbeken<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Ghent University (UGent), Technologiepark 903, B-9052 Zwijnaarde, Belgium

<sup>b</sup> Research group of Electrochemical and Surface Engineering (SURF), Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

<sup>c</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung GmbH, D-40237 Düsseldorf, Germany

<sup>d</sup> ArcelorMittal Global R&D Gent, J.F. Kennedylaan 3, B-9060 Zelzate, Belgium

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 29 April 2016

Received in revised form 7 September 2016

Accepted 9 September 2016

Available online 10 September 2016

### Keywords:

Steel

Aluminium

Intermetallics

Hot dip aluminizing

Welding

## ABSTRACT

While Si additions to Al are widely used to reduce the thickness of the brittle intermetallic seam formed at the interface during joining of Al alloys to steel, the underlying mechanisms are not clarified yet. The developed approach for the site specific atom probe tomography analysis revealed Si enrichments at grain and phase boundaries between the  $\theta$  ( $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ ) and  $\eta$  ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5$ ) phase, up to about ten times that of the concentration in Al. The increase in Si concentration could play an important role for the growth kinetics of the intermetallic phases formed for example in hot-dip aluminizing of steel.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction


Dissimilar joining of iron (Fe), or steel, and aluminium (Al) alloys is of key technological relevance, as it allows combining the high strength of the former with the superior corrosion resistance (especially at elevated temperatures), high thermal conductivity and high reflectivity of the latter in one hybrid part [1]. Joining by thermal processes is of special interest as it creates a close metallic bond between both materials, typically offering high strength levels, good heat- and electrical conductivity and a large, leak-proof bonding area with minimised stress concentrating geometries [2]. Consequently, numerous joining techniques with various thermal processes have been developed, reflecting the wide range of applications for dissimilar joints of these most commonly used structural materials [3]. Brazed joints for example are found in domestic appliances such as cooking pans or water boilers [4], whereas laser-based procedures aim at joining sheet material for transportation systems [5]. An undesired joining is found during casting of Al, where die soldering can occur as an interaction between the casting alloy and the steel die [6]. Hot dip aluminizing (HDA), i.e. immersing steel into a molten Al bath, is used to coat large surfaces of steel [7] and is now increasingly used replacing zinc (Zn) coatings. Al compared to Zn offers the advantage of improved weldability and exhibits an increased oxidation resistance at elevated temperatures [8]. The latter is

exploited in the direct hot stamping operations of high strength steel sheets into highly mechanically loaded automotive chassis components, where the Al-based coating minimises surface oxidation and decarburization [9].

The main challenge in all these joining procedures is the formation of inherently brittle intermetallic phases (IMP) at the interface between Al alloys and steel as a consequence of the temperature induced interdiffusion which may drastically reduce the joint performance [10]. Additional to the  $\theta$  phase ( $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ ) neighbouring the Al-based coating, the  $\theta$  phase ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5$ ) adjacent to steel is the dominant component of the IMP seam, as it grows the fastest following parabolic kinetics [11–15], caused by accelerated diffusion along its [001] direction [16]. To improve the joint properties (e.g. the formability of a coated sheet), up to 12 wt.% of silicon (Si) is commonly added to Al, as it effectively minimises the IMP thickness via suppressing the growth of the  $\eta$ -phase [17–19]. The underlying phenomena for this growth retardation, however, are not fully clarified yet [6,17,20–25]. While for example Nicholls [20] proposed an interaction of Si atoms with the open crystallographic arrangement of the  $\eta$  phase, other hypotheses suggest a reduction of the activation energy [22,23], the formation of a ternary chemical compound acting as a diffusion barrier [24], or a reduced activity of Al [6,25]. This uncertainty is partly related to the complex characterisation of reaction zones in dissimilar joining of Al and steel, i.e. investigating brittle hard phases formed as micro- and sub-micrometric compounds, whose structural and especially chemical nature are difficult to assess.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [h.springer@mpie.de](mailto:h.springer@mpie.de) (H. Springer).



**Fig. 1.** SEM investigation of the interface region between steel and solidified Al–Si coating: (a) overview of the characteristic intermetallic phase seam (b) EBSD phase map revealing the  $\theta$  phase layer located between the  $\eta$  phase and Al, (c) EDX line scan result obtained along the arrow in (a).

## 2. Objective

Hence we demonstrate on the example of steel coated by HDA, how the chemical nature of the IMP seam can be investigated at atomic resolution by an adapted methodology for atom probe tomography (APT) regarding targeted sample preparation and analysis parameters. Focusing on the Si distribution within the  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  phases, especially at the respective interfaces, we aim at contributing to a better understanding of the role of Si in dissimilar joining of Al with steel and outline future research opportunities.

## 3. Materials and Methods

HDA samples were prepared with a Rhesca® hot dip simulator by immersing a 1.2 mm thick low carbon steel (0.04 wt.% C; European grade DC06), under a reducing atmosphere (nitrogen with 5 vol.% hydrogen), in an Al bath containing 0.3 wt.% Si and 3 wt.% Fe (0.29 at.% Si and 1.47 at.% Fe). This bath composition was chosen to be able to trace the Si distribution within the IMP seam without ternary Al–Fe–Si phases forming at higher Si concentrations [26], while the saturation of the bath with Fe confines the dissolution of the steel to a minimum [13]. Prior to dipping the steel sheet was preheated to 800 °C for 60 s. Immersion was performed for 60 s at a bath temperature of 680 °C. Finally, the aluminized sheet was removed from the bath and cooled to room temperature with nitrogen gas wiping.


Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigations were performed with a FEG SEM Quanta 450 equipped with a field emission gun, EDAX energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) system and a TSL electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) system. The specimens for APT investigations were prepared based on the established focused ion beam (FIB; FEI Helios Nanolab 600i) methodology detailed elsewhere [27]. APT measurements were performed using a local electrode atom probe (Imago LEAP™ 3000X HR) operated in laser mode under ultra-high vacuum to improve the data yield. The experimental parameters were set as follows: set point temperature of 60 K, pulse repetition rate of 250 kHz, laser energy of 0.2 nJ and a detection rate of 0.01 atoms per pulse. Besides the elements of interest (Al, Fe and Si) impurities of Ti, N and Cr were found in concentrations below 0.01 at.% and H was present with

a concentration of 1 at.%. The APT data was reconstructed and analyzed within the framework of the IVAS® software. The peak decomposition of the mass spectra was based on the natural abundance of the different isotopes, while the background is considered from the local range-assisted background model [32].

## 4. Results

The cross section of a coated steel is depicted in Fig. 1a, the reaction zone formed between the Al-based coating (top) and steel (bottom) shows the well-known microstructure found in such joining/interdiffusion conditions [12,13,22,28]. According to the EBSD investigations (Fig. 1b) the IMP seam with a total thickness of 50  $\mu\text{m}$  consists mainly of the  $\eta$  phase, protruding in a finger-like manner into the steel, and a much thinner  $\theta$  phase layer with a finely serrated interface towards the Al-based coating. The interface between  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  phase is irregular and deviates from a planar surface about  $\pm 5 \mu\text{m}$ . The Al concentrations in the  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  phase according to EDX (Fig. 1c) are about 75 and 70 at.%, respectively, in good agreement with literature data [22]. The low amount of Si did not allow for a reliable quantification of Si in the IMP seam via SEM EDX.

Targeted APT investigations of the interface between  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  phase, which is of special interest for elucidating the role of Si, were prepared as sketched in Fig. 2. A triangular bar along the  $\theta/\eta$  interface was cut out from a polished cross section and placed on Si tips. Annular milling from the top view resulted in needle-like APT specimens (thickness  $\sim 100 \text{ nm}$ , length  $\sim 500 \text{ nm}$ , tip radius  $\sim 30 \text{ nm}$ ) with the  $\theta/\eta$  interface along the longitudinal axis of the tip. Final milling with the energy reduced to 5 keV ensured a Ga content below 0.007 at.% in the analyzed regions. This kind of sample manufacturing may result in the preparation of specimens that are located within single phase regions due to the irregular geometry of the interface, which is much larger than the thickness of an APT tip. As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the  $\theta/\eta$  interface is additionally difficult to observe and target for site-specific APT tip extraction due to the weak contrast among these chemically similar phases. The probability of targeting the interface within the APT needle can be increased by preparing the specimens with the  $\theta/\eta$  interface perpendicular to the APT evaporation direction. This is achievable by a rotation of



**Fig. 2.** FIB procedure for targeted sample preparation of the interface between  $\eta$  and  $\theta$  phase: (a) cross section view with indicated areas for milling, (b) obtained triangular bar containing the interface region, and (c) sketch of the preparation of the atom probe needles from the triangular bar by annular milling.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7969666>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7969666>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)