

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Creep strength and minimum strain rate estimation
from Small Punch Creep tests

S. Holmström, Y. Li, P. Dymacek, E. Vacchieri,
S.P. Jeffs, R.J. Lancaster, D. Omacht, Z. Kubon,
E. Anelli, J. Rantala, A. Tonti, S. Komazaki,
Naveena, M. Bruchhausen, R.C. Hurst, P. Hähner,
M. Richardson, D. Andres



PII: S0921-5093(18)30790-1
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2018.06.005>
Reference: MSA36560

To appear in: *Materials Science & Engineering A*

Received date: 9 April 2018
Revised date: 1 June 2018
Accepted date: 2 June 2018

Cite this article as: S. Holmström, Y. Li, P. Dymacek, E. Vacchieri, S.P. Jeffs, R.J. Lancaster, D. Omacht, Z. Kubon, E. Anelli, J. Rantala, A. Tonti, S. Komazaki, Naveena, M. Bruchhausen, R.C. Hurst, P. Hähner, M. Richardson and D. Andres, Creep strength and minimum strain rate estimation from Small Punch Creep tests, *Materials Science & Engineering A*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2018.06.005>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Creep strength and minimum strain rate estimation from Small Punch Creep tests

S. Holmström^{1*}, Y.Li², P. Dymacek³, E. Vacchieri⁴, S.P. Jeffs⁵, R.J. Lancaster⁵, D. Omacht⁶, Z. Kubon⁶, E. Anelli⁷, J. Rantala⁸, A. Tonti⁹, S. Komazaki¹⁰, Naveena¹⁰, M. Bruchhausen¹, R.C. Hurst⁵, P. Hähner¹, M. Richardson¹¹, D. Andres¹²

^{1*} European Commission, JRC, Petten, The Netherlands

² Retired from DNV-GL (KEMA), The Netherlands

³ Institute of Physics of Materials, Brno, Czech Republic

⁴ Ansaldo Energia S.p.A, Genova, Italy

⁵ Swansea University, Swansea, UK

⁶ MMV, Brno, Czech Republic

⁷ Franchini Acciai S.p.A, Mairano, Italy

⁸ VTT Technical Research Centre LTD, Espoo, Finland

⁹ INAIL, Rome, Italy

¹⁰ Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan

¹¹ United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA), UK

¹² Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

* Stefan.holmstrom@ec.europa.eu

ABSTRACT

A new standard is currently being developed under the auspices of ECISS/TC 101 WG1 for the small punch testing technique for the estimation of both tensile and creep properties. Annex G of the new standard is covering the assessment and evaluation of small punch creep (SPC) data. The main challenge for estimating uniaxial creep properties from SPC data is the force to equivalent stress conversion between SPC and uniaxial creep tests. In this work a range of SPC assessment methodologies, benchmarked for the standard, are compared for verifying the best practice used in the standard. The estimated equivalent stresses for SPC are compared to uniaxial creep stresses at equal rupture times, using three alternative models. In-depth analyses are performed on SPC and uniaxial creep data for P92, F92 and 316L steel tested within an inter-laboratory round robin. The formulation for SPC equivalent creep strain rate in the standard is also assessed.

Keywords: Small Punch Creep test, SPC, creep strength, creep strain rate, standardization

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7971704>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7971704>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)