

Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids

journal homepage: <www.elsevier.com/locate/jmps>

Some issues associated with the intermediate space in single-crystal plasticity

Morton E. Gurtin^a, B. Daya Reddy **b.***

^a Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA **b Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, 7701 Rondebosch, South Africa**

article info

Article history: Received 19 November 2015 Accepted 20 May 2016 Available online 26 May 2016

Keywords: Finite-deformation single-crystal plasticity Intermediate space Lattice Multiplicative decomposition

ABSTRACT

In this study we show that some discussions of finite-deformation single-crystal plasticity are conceptually flawed in their focus on a set referred to as the intermediate configuration. Specifically, we prove that what is usually referred to as the intermediate configuration is not a configuration but instead a vector space that we term the intermediate space. We argue that when applied to single crystals this intermediate space represents the lattice.

 \odot 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We here consider finite-deformation plasticity based on the multiplicative decomposition $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^e \mathbf{F}^p$ of the deformation gradient **F** into elastic and plastic parts **F***^e* and **F***^p*. Our goal is to address a conceptual flaw found in most discussions of this subject. Specifically, most discussions refer to the existence of an intermediate configuration. But within the context of continuum mechanics the term configuration generally connotes a region of Euclidean space. In contrast, we prove that the set termed the intermediate configuration is actually a vector space which we refer to as the intermediate space.

The treatment that follows in Sections 2–[5](#page--1-0) is developed within the general framework of polycrystalline plasticity; considerations specific to single crystals, such as the notion of the intermediate space as a lattice space, are the subject of [Section 6.](#page--1-0)

There is no discussion of constitutive equations.

2. Kinematics of finite deformations

The space under consideration is a three-dimensional Euclidean space \mathcal{E} . The term point is reserved for elements of \mathcal{E} ; the term vector for elements of the associated (three-dimensional) vector space γ . More precisely, γ is the translation space for \mathcal{E} : given any vector **v** $\in \mathcal{V}$ there are points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{E}$, $z_1 \neq z_2$, such that **v** = $z_1 - z_2$.

Consider a body B identified with the closed region of space it occupies in a fixed reference configuration. We refer to B as the reference body and denote by **X** an arbitrary material point of B. A motion of B is then a smooth mapping

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2016.05.027> 0022-5096/@ 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: daya.reddy@uct.ac.za (B.D. Reddy).

$$
\mathbf{F} = \nabla \chi \tag{2.2}
$$

required to satisfy 1

$$
\det \mathbf{F} > 0. \tag{2.3}
$$

We refer to the deformation as homogeneous if **F** is independent of **X**. We assume that, at each fixed t .

• $\chi(\cdot, t)$ is a one-to-one mapping of B onto $\bar{B}(t)$.

Writing

$$
\mathbf{X} = \chi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{2.4}
$$

for the corresponding inverse mapping, we can express any function of **X** as a function of **x**, and vice versa; the description in terms of **X** is called the material description, that in terms of **x** the spatial description.

The closed region in space occupied by B at time t ,

$$
\bar{\mathbf{B}}(t) = \chi(\mathbf{B}, t),\tag{2.5}
$$

represents the *deformed body*. $\bar{B}(t)$ is the set actually observed during the motion; the reference body B serves only to label material points: any other configuration could equally well have been used as reference. For this reason we differentiate — at least conceptually – between Euclidean space $\mathcal E$ as the ambient space for the reference body B and the copy of $\mathcal E$ that represents the space through which $\bar{B}(t)$ evolves. In accord with this²:

- (A1) the ambient space of B is referred to as the reference space; vectors associated with this space are referred to as material vectors;
- $(A2)$ the ambient space through which $\bar{B}(t)$ evolves is referred to as the *observed space*; vectors associated with this space are referred to as spatial vectors.

It is convenient to introduce two copies,

so

and, what is more important, each of the pairs

Ref and Obs, B and \bar{B} , V_{mat} and V_{spat} (2.9)

is effectively disjoint in the sense that $-$ conceptually $-$ each pair has no common element.

We write ϕ for the *material time derivative* of a field φ ; that is, the time derivative of φ with respect to t holding the material point **X** fixed:

$$
\dot{\varphi} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}.\tag{2.10}
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \tag{2.11}
$$

represents the velocity. The velocity gradient

¹ So that **F** is invertible and orientation-preserving.

² Cf. [Fig. 1](#page--1-0).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/797203>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/797203>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)