Author's Accepted Manuscript

Influence of deformation on the Burgers orientation relationship between the α and β phases in Ti–5Al– 5Mo-5V-1Cr-1Fe

M. Klimova, S. Zherebtsov, G. Salishchev, S.L. Semiatin



www.elsevier.com/locate/msea

PII: S0921-5093(15)30257-4

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2015.08.008

Reference: MSA32642

To appear in: Materials Science & Engineering A

Received date: 2 June 2015 Revised date: 31 July 2015 Accepted date: 2 August 2015

Cite this article as: M. Klimova, S. Zherebtsov, G. Salishchev and S.L. Semiatin Influence of deformation on the Burgers orientation relationship between the and β phases in Ti–5Al–5Mo–5V–1Cr–1Fe, Materials Science & Engineering A http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2015.08.008

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

Influence of deformation on the Burgers orientation relationship between

the α and β phases in Ti-5Al-5Mo-5V-1Cr-1Fe M. Klimova^{* 1}, S. Zherebtsov¹, G. Salishchev¹, S.L. Semiatin²

¹ Belgorod State University, 85 Pobeda Str, Belgorod, 308015 Russia

² Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, AFRL/RXCM,

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7817, USA

Abstract

The evolution of microstructure in Ti-5Al-5Mo-5V-1Cr-1Fe with an initial lamellar microstructure during compression to a true strain 1.2 at 800°C was established via EBSD analysis. The principal features comprised recrystallization of the β matrix and fragmentation/spheroidization of α lamellae. Angular deviations from the Burgers orientation relation (OR) and the kinetics of the spheroidization of lamellar microstructure as a function of strain were quantified. The influence of the deviation from the OR on spheroidization kinetics of

the lamellar structure was interpreted.

Keywords: titanium alloys; orientation relationships; interfaces; EBSD

1. Introduction

Two-phase α/β titanium alloys exhibit a variety of microstructures. These include lamellar hcp α colonies within the bcc β matrix, which provides a good blend of fracture toughness and creep resistance. However a globular-α morphology is usually more desirable for applications requiring a balance of strength and ductility [1]; such a structure is produced via large, hot deformation in the $(\alpha+\beta)$ phase field to bring about spheroidization of lamellar colonies [2]. Spheroidization is associated with a series of microscopic processes consisting of the development of transverse low-angle boundaries within the α phase; the formation and growth of grooves at the α/β interphase boundary; fragmentation of the lamellar platelets by the grooves; and final spheroidization and coarsening of the resulting small-aspect ratio α particles by diffusional processes [e.g., 3-5]. As shown in [6, 7], the nature of interphase α/β boundaries can have a major influence on the kinetics of spheroidization.

Prior to deformation, the α and β phases in the lamellar microstructure obey a classical Burgers orientation relationship (OR), i.e., $\{0001\}_{\alpha} ||\{110\}_{\beta} \text{ and } \langle 11\overline{2}0\rangle_{\alpha} ||\langle 111\rangle_{\beta} [8, 9], \text{ and } \langle 111\rangle_{\beta} ||\langle 111\rangle_{\beta} [8, 9], \text{ and } \langle 111\rangle_{\beta} ||\langle 111\rangle_{\beta$

*Corresponding author.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7977025

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7977025

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>