



# Longitudinal vehicle dynamics control for improved vehicle safety

Herman A. Hamersma<sup>\*</sup>, P. Schalk Els

*Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering, University of Pretoria, South Africa*

Received 21 November 2013; received in revised form 18 March 2014; accepted 5 April 2014

Available online 23 May 2014

## Abstract

The aim is to investigate the improvements in vehicle safety that can be achieved by limiting the vehicle speed based on GPS path information. The control strategy is aimed at reducing vehicle speed before a potentially dangerous situation is reached, in contrast with widely used stability control systems that only react once loss of control by the driver is imminent. An MSC.ADAMS/View simulation model of an off-road test vehicle was developed and validated experimentally. A longitudinal speed control system was developed by generating a reference speed based on the path information. This reference speed was formulated by taking into account the vehicle's limits due to lateral acceleration, combined lateral and longitudinal acceleration and the vehicle's performance capabilities. The model was used to evaluate the performance of the control system on various tracks. The control system was implemented on the test vehicle and the performance was evaluated by conducting field tests. Results of the field tests indicated that the control system limited the acceleration vector of the vehicle's centre of gravity to prescribed limits, as predicted by the simulations, thereby decreasing the possibility of accidents caused by rollover or loss of directional control due to entering curves at inappropriately high speeds.

© 2014 ISTVS. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Autonomous vehicles; Vehicle safety; Vehicle modelling; Path planning; Off-road vehicles

## 1. Introduction

Applications of automation in vehicle engineering range from rain sensing windscreen wipers to climate control systems. More specific to the study of vehicle dynamics is the improvement achievable by implementing feedback control systems which influence the dynamic behaviour of the vehicle with regards to the six degrees of freedom, namely lateral, vertical and longitudinal translation as well as roll, pitch and yaw rotation. Application of automation to control these degrees of freedom may lead to the optimisation of vehicle utilisation.

### 1.1. Fully autonomous vehicles

One of the best examples of the application of automation in the modern engineering fraternity was during the 2005 DARPA Grand Challenge [1] and the 2007 DARPA Urban Challenge [2]. Both these Challenges required vehicles to negotiate terrains that represent everyday driving conditions (especially from a military point of view) and hence path planning played an important role in successfully completing these Challenges. The 2005 DARPA Grand Challenge was won by Stanford University's 'Stanley' [1] and the 2007 DARPA Urban Challenge was won by 'Boss', the entry from Carnegie Mellon University, General Motors, Caterpillar, Continental and Intel [2]. 'Stanley' managed an average speed of 30.7 km/h [1] and 'Boss' an average speed of 22.5 km/h [2]. Due to the fairly low speeds involved, most DARPA Challenge entries employed simple

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [hhamersma@gmail.com](mailto:hhamersma@gmail.com) (H.A. Hamersma), [schalk.els@up.ac.za](mailto:schalk.els@up.ac.za) (P.S. Els).

## Nomenclature

Symbol	Description	Symbol	Description
$A_{Brakes}$	acceleration due to braking ( $m/s^2$ )	$R$	radius of curve (m)
$A_f$	projected frontal area ( $m^2$ )	$\Delta s_i$	length of $i$ th track segment (m)
$A_{maxlong}$	maximum allowed longitudinal acceleration ( $m/s^2$ )	$t$	time (s)
$A_x$	acceleration in the $x$ -direction (longitudinal) ( $m/s^2$ )	$T$	throttle position (%)
$A_y$	acceleration in the $y$ -direction (lateral) ( $m/s^2$ )	$T_{EBT}$	engine brake torque (N m)
$B_\kappa$	linear coefficient matrix of quadratic cost function for minimum curvature formulation (Dimensionless)	$T_{Engine}$	engine torque (N m)
$C_D$	coefficient of aerodynamic drag (Dimensionless)	$t_w$	track width (m)
$c_i$	constant term of straight line describing perpendicular bisector (m)	$u$	PID controller output (Dimensionless)
$d_{prev}$	preview distance (m)	$V$	vehicle speed (m/s)
$e$	velocity error (m/s)	$V_{ref}$	reference speed (m/s)
$F_D$	demand force (N)	$x$	coordinate $x$ value (m)
$F_{Drag}$	Force due to aerodynamic drag (N)	$x_l$	left edge (bound) of road's $x$ -coordinate (m)
$F_{rr}$	force due to rolling resistance (N)	$x_{q,i}$	$X$ -coordinate of centre point of chord of $i$ th segment of trajectory (m)
$F_{incl}$	force due to longitudinal road inclination (N)	$x_r$	right edge (bound) of road's $x$ -coordinate (m)
$g$	gravitational acceleration ( $m/s^2$ )	$x_{R,i}$	$X$ -coordinate of centre point of arc of $i$ th segment of trajectory (m)
$h$	centre of gravity height (m)	$\Delta X_i$	change in $x$ -distance of $i$ th track segment (m)
$H_\kappa$	hessian matrix of quadratic cost function for minimum curvature formulation (Dimensionless)	$y$	coordinate $y$ value (m)
$\hat{i}$	unit vector in $x$ -direction (Dimensionless)	$y_l$	left edge (bound) of road's $y$ -coordinate (m)
$\hat{j}$	unit vector in $y$ -direction (Dimensionless)	$y_{q,i}$	$Y$ -coordinate of centre point of chord of $i$ th segment of trajectory (m)
$K_D$	PID derivative gain (Dimensionless)	$y_r$	right edge (bound) of road's $y$ -coordinate (m)
$K_I$	PID integral gain (Dimensionless)	$y_{R,i}$	$Y$ -coordinate of centre point of arc of $i$ th segment of trajectory (m)
$K_P$	PID proportional gain (Dimensionless)	$\Delta y_i$	change in $y$ -distance of $i$ th track segment (m)
$M$	vehicle mass (kg)	$\alpha$	parameter identifying position of vehicle on the road (Dimensionless)
$m_i$	gradient of chord of $i$ th segment of trajectory (Dimensionless)	$\alpha_\kappa$	parameter identifying position of vehicle on the road for minimum curvature formulation (Dimensionless)
$m'_i$	gradient of perpendicular bisector of chord of $i$ th segment of trajectory (Dimensionless)	$\theta$	inclination angle (radians)
$n$	engine speed (rpm)	$\kappa$	curvature of trajectory (Dimensionless)
$P$	position of vehicle on track (m)	$\mu$	tyre-road friction coefficient (Dimensionless)
$P_{hyd}$	hydraulic brake line pressure (MPa)	$\mu_{rr}$	coefficient of rolling resistance (Dimensionless)
$p_{00}-p_{40}$	coefficients of 2D polynomial (Dimensionless)	$\rho$	density of air ( $kg/m^3$ )
		$\tau$	preview time (s)

linear vehicle models to control speed and steering. A possible area of improvement is thus to increase the speed these vehicles attained while competing in the various DARPA Challenges.

### 1.2. Driver assist systems

While the DARPA Challenges specifically aimed at developing fully autonomous vehicles (vehicles that drive with no human input), a more practical and feasible approach would be to develop a control system that can be used as a driver aid. By using sensor technology similar to that employed in the DARPA Challenges (such as

numerous LIDARs, Differential GPS, radar and cameras), the vehicle can obtain preview information of its immediate surroundings that enables it to identify a suitable path to be followed. This is often referred to as path planning. This path information can subsequently be used for path following where decisions can be made that improve the vehicle's safety. Path planning using technology such as cameras, radar and LIDAR. has been extensively studied. This technology is well commercialised and many vehicles are now fitted with adaptive cruise control, traffic sign recognition, lane departure warning and satellite navigation. All these technologies rely on camera, GPS and radar sensors. In the present study, this is not the contribution to be made.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/799371>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/799371>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)