



## Numerical modelling of the fracture behaviour of brittle materials reinforced with unidirectional or randomly distributed fibres

Roberto Brighenti <sup>\*</sup>, Daniela Scorza

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering & Architecture, University of Parma, Viale G.P. Usberti 181/A, 43100 Parma, Italy

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 11 April 2011

Received in revised form 6 April 2012

Available online 25 April 2012

#### Keywords:

Brittle materials

Fracture

Fibre-reinforced composite

Micromechanical model

Fibre debonding

### ABSTRACT

The use of reinforcing fibres has shown to be an effective, simple and economic way to enhance the mechanical characteristics of brittle materials; in particular tensile strength, fracture and fatigue resistance, wear resistance and durability are usually noticeably higher in fibre-reinforced materials (FRC) with respect to unreinforced ones. For the above mentioned reasons composite materials today can replace or compliment other traditional structural materials.

On the other hand the extensive use of brittle matrix composite materials requires appropriate computational models to describe, with adequate accuracy, their mechanical behaviour. In the present paper a mechanical-based computational model for the description of the macroscopic behaviour of such a class of materials, composed by a matrix phase and a fibre-reinforcing phase, is formulated. By considering a micromechanical-based model, the macro constitutive equations of unidirectional or randomly distributed fibres reinforced materials are obtained by taking into account the possibility of crack formation and propagation in the matrix as well as fibre debonding and breaking. The developed computational model is finally used in some numerical simulations in order to outline its reliability in the assessment of both the fibre–matrix interaction phenomenon as well as the fracture failure prediction capability in brittle matrix FRC materials.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Several well-known drawbacks of brittle or quasi-brittle materials – such as the low tensile strength, low fracture and fatigue resistance, scarce wear resistance and durability under repeated loading, etc. – have been observed to be eliminated, or at least mitigated, by the use of reinforcing fibres. The use of fibres dispersed in a material to improve its mechanical properties, has been a typical technique used in practical applications since ancient ages.

The appropriate use of reinforcing fibres in brittle materials has shown to be an effective, simple and economic way to enhance their mechanical characteristics, allowing to get materials which are competitive with more techno-

logically advanced ones. For the above mentioned reasons the use of composite materials in many application fields has known an increasing interest in the last decades allowing to replace or compliment other traditional structural materials. In particular FRC are usually characterised by high strength, fracture and fatigue resistance, high wear resistance, durability performance, high damping capability, low thermal coefficient, and so on.

The extensive use of composite materials has determined the necessity to describe, with an appropriate accuracy from the engineering point of view, their overall mechanical behaviour to correctly assess their safety level in the design of structural components. In order to obtain suitable mechanical model for such materials, various approaches have been developed such as micromechanical models (physically based approach, Hori and Nemat-Nasser, 1999; Kalamkarov and Liu, 1998; Kalamkarov et al., 1998), homogenisation models (mathematically

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0521 905910; fax: +39 0521 905924.  
E-mail address: [brigh@unipr.it](mailto:brigh@unipr.it) (R. Brighenti).

**Nomenclature**

|   |   |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| $A_f$   | cross section area of the fibres  | $V, V_m, V_f$  | volume of the composite, volume of the matrix phase and volume of the fibre fraction present in the REV, respectively |
| $\mathbf{b}, \hat{\mathbf{t}}$  | body force vector field and traction field on the loaded boundary, respectively   | $\mathbf{w}_c = \mathbf{u}_c + \mathbf{v}_c = u_c \mathbf{i} + v_c \mathbf{j}$ | relative displacement vector across the crack faces   |
| $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  | generic compatibility matrix of the finite element and its corresponding value at the location $\mathbf{x}$ of the finite element, respectively | $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_n$   | displacement jump vector and its nodal counterpart, respectively  |
| $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in \Omega_e^+} \mathbf{B}_i(\mathbf{x})$ | sum of the compatibility matrices' values evaluated at the location $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_e^+$   | $\mathbf{x}$   | position vector   |
| $\mathbf{C}'_m, \mathbf{C}'_f, \mathbf{C}'_{eq}$                              | tangent elastic tensor of the matrix, of the fibres and of the homogenised composite, respectively  | $\delta(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\delta}(\mathbf{x})$                                 | displacement vector and its continuous part, respectively in a generic solid or in a finite element                   |
| $d, D$  | characteristic microscopic and macroscopic length, respectively   | $[[\delta(\mathbf{x})]] = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})$                              | displacement jump vector in a generic point $\mathbf{x} \in S$  |
| $E_f$   | young modulus of the fibres   | $\delta_d(\mathbf{x})$   | discontinuous part of the displacement field  |
| $E_c, E_{cx}, E_{cy}$   | young modulus of the composite material for the isotropic case and along the directions $x$ and $y$ for generic anisotropic cases, respectively | $\delta_s$   | dirac delta function located in $S$   |
| $f_{t,f}$   | fibre tensile strength  | $\delta_\varphi, \delta_\theta$  | variance of the probability density functions $p_\varphi(\varphi)$ and $p_\theta(\theta)$ , respectively              |
| $G_f$   | fracture energy of the matrix per unit surface crack area   | $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$  | strain tensor evaluated at the location $\mathbf{x}$  |
| $H(\mathbf{x})$   | heaviside jump function   | $\varepsilon^b(\mathbf{x}), \varepsilon^u(\mathbf{x})$                         | bounded and unbounded part of the strain tensor evaluated at the location $\mathbf{x}$ , respectively                 |
| $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$  | unit vectors normal and parallel to the crack direction, respectively   | $\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\sigma}$   | strain and stress tensors, respectively   |
| $\mathbf{k}$  | unit vector parallel to the generic fibre axis  | $\delta \varepsilon$   | strain field corresponding to a kinematically admissible displacement fields  |
| $2L_f$  | length of the fibres  | $[[\varepsilon_{f-m}]]$  | strain jump between the fibre and the matrix (parallel to fibre axis) in the case of imperfect bond                   |
| $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})$  | generic shape functions and shape functions matrix evaluated at the location $\mathbf{x}$ , respectively in a FE                                | $\varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_f^m$   | uniaxial fibre strain and uniaxial matrix strain measured at the location and in the fibre direction, respectively    |
| $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in \Omega_e^+} \mathbf{N}_i(\mathbf{x})$ | sum of the shape functions evaluated at the location $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_e^+$  | $\bar{\varepsilon}_f^m$  | matrix mean strain measured in the fibre direction  |
| $p_\varphi(\varphi), p_\theta(\theta)$  | probability density functions of the angles $\varphi$ and $\theta$ , respectively   | $\varphi, \theta$  | angles indicating the fibre orientation in the 3D space   |
| $\mathbf{Q}$  | element nodal discontinuity matrix  | $\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\theta}$  | mean values of the probability density functions $p_\varphi(\varphi)$ and $p_\theta(\theta)$ , respectively           |
| $r_c, 2r$   | crack surface roughness and diameter of the fibres, respectively  | $\mu = V_m/V, \eta = V_f/V$  | REV matrix volume fraction and fibre volume fraction, respectively  |
| RVE   | representative volume element   | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$  | stress tensor evaluated at the location $\mathbf{x}$  |
| $S$   | discontinuity locus in a cracked solid  | $\sigma_c(u), \tau_c(u)$   | stress-crack opening displacement and shear stress-crack opening displacement relationship, respectively              |
| $s(\varepsilon_f^m), s(\bar{\varepsilon}_f^m)$                                | sliding function such that: $[[\varepsilon_{f-m}]] = \varepsilon_f^m \cdot [1 - s(\varepsilon_f^m)]$ and its mean value along a single fibre    | $\tau_{au}, \tau_{fu}$   | maximum fibre–matrix interface shear stress and friction fibre–matrix interface shear stress, respectively            |
| $\delta \mathbf{u}, \delta \mathbf{w}$  | kinematically admissible displacement fields  | $\Gamma = \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_u$  | boundary of the solid   |
| $u_c, v_c$  | normal and parallel component to the crack of the relative displacement vector across the crack, respectively                                   | $\Gamma_t, \Gamma_u$   | portion of the boundary on which tractions and displacements are prescribed, respectively                             |
| $u_0$   | minimum opening crack displacement corresponding to the crack formation   |  |   |

based approach, Balendran and Nemat-Nasser, 1995; Hassani and Hinton, 1998), etc.

Moreover brittle or quasi-brittle materials (often used as matrix materials in fibre-reinforced composites) frequently present cracks, which mathematically correspond to a severe strain localisation phenomenon, leading to collapse due to fracture propagation; it is well known as the numerical simulation of such a class of problems presents several difficulties (computational instabilities, divergence or non-uniqueness of the solution, etc.) due to

the discontinuous displacement field which develops in highly strained narrow zones.

Several models have been developed to solve the mechanical problems stated above; in this context the classical smeared approaches (suffering by mesh dependence, Bolzon et al., 1997) – eventually improved with some specific strategies and corrections such as remeshing or mesh adaptivity (Belytschko and Black, 1999; Bouchard et al., 2000; Comi and Perego, 2004; Möes et al., 1999; Rashid, 1998) – finite elements enrichment (Dolbow

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/799866>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/799866>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)