

Accepted Manuscript

Comparative study of the effects of surface mechanical attrition treatment and conventional shot peening on low cycle fatigue of a 316L stainless steel

J. Zhou, D. Retraint, Z. Sun, P. Kanouté



PII: S0257-8972(18)30616-9
DOI: doi:[10.1016/j.surfcoat.2018.06.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2018.06.041)
Reference: SCT 23492

To appear in: *Surface & Coatings Technology*

Received date: 12 May 2018
Revised date: 19 June 2018
Accepted date: 21 June 2018

Please cite this article as: J. Zhou, D. Retraint, Z. Sun, P. Kanouté , Comparative study of the effects of surface mechanical attrition treatment and conventional shot peening on low cycle fatigue of a 316L stainless steel. Sct (2018), doi:[10.1016/j.surfcoat.2018.06.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2018.06.041)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comparative study of the effects of surface mechanical attrition treatment and conventional shot peening on low cycle fatigue of a 316L stainless steel

J. Zhou¹, D. Retraint^{1*}, Z. Sun¹, P. Kanouté^{1,2}

¹ICD, P2MN, LASMIS, University of Technology of Troyes, CNRS, Troyes, France

²ONERA, The French Aerospace Lab, 29 avenue de la Division Leclerc, 92322 Chatillon Cedex, France

*Corresponding author: delphine.retrait@utt.fr - Tel.: +33-3-25-71-56-68 / Fax: +33-3-25-71-56-75

Abstract:

In this work, the effects of surface mechanical attrition treatment (SMAT) and conventional shot peening (CSP) are comparatively studied on the surface characteristics and low cycle fatigue (LCF) properties of a 316L stainless steel. For this purpose, surface topography, residual stress and work hardening are evaluated on the treated samples. Both CSP and SMAT are performed with the same Almen intensity and the same coverage. Total strain controlled LCF tests are carried out in order to compare the effects of these two techniques on the fatigue properties of the studied steel. The results show that the samples treated by SMAT and CSP exhibit similar trends of cyclic stress amplitude evolution, and higher mechanical strength than the untreated samples. However, the samples treated by CSP manifest higher fatigue life under low strain amplitude ($\pm 0.5\%$), but lower fatigue life under high strain amplitude ($\pm 1.25\%$), compared to the SMATed samples. The fatigue life results are discussed based on the analysis of different characteristics including surface topography, microstructure, residual stress and its relaxation, as well as fracture surfaces.

Keywords: *SMAT; Conventional shot peening; 316L steel; Low cycle fatigue; Damage mechanism.*

1. Introduction

The in-service resistance of mechanical components is of primary importance due to increased demand for security and economic issues. To improve the performance and consequently the service life of mechanical components, one of the approaches is to alter their surface by means of mechanical surface treatment. Mechanical surface treatment techniques have been widely used to improve fatigue resistance of engineering parts. These techniques including for example shot peening [1–3], deep rolling [4,5], are based on contact loading

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8023499>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8023499>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)