Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Clay Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clay

A new method for quantifying cation exchange capacity in clay minerals

Kai Cheng^a, Zoya Heidari^{b,*}

^a Department of Petroleum Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA
^b Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, TX, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Cation exchange capacity Clay minerals Volume of hydration water Basal spacing Surface area

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new method is proposed to theoretically quantify cation exchange capacity (CEC) of pure clay minerals, by use of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm analysis. A theoretical model is introduced to estimate CEC, which is derived based on energy balance between chemical potential and electric potential energy. The change in chemical potential is a function of relative humidity and volume of hydration water. The change in electric potential energy is a function of the CEC, basal spacing, and surface area of clay minerals. XRD measurements were performed on clay minerals before and after exposure to 95% relative humidity. These measurements were used to estimate the basal spacing before and after hydration as well as the number of interlayers in each particle of clay minerals. NMR laboratory measurements were performed on the clay mineral samples before and after hydration to obtain the volume of hydration water. The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms provided the surface area of clay minerals. The estimates of CEC from the new method were cross-validated against the directly-measured CEC, obtained from ammonium acetate method by use of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) measurements. The introduced method was successfully tested on six types of clay minerals (i.e., illite, kaolinite, chlorite, and three types of montmorillonite). The calculated CEC results from the new method were in agreement with those from the wet chemistry method, with less than 7 meq/100 g difference for all types of clay minerals. The experimental results on the performance of the new method demonstrated reliability of this method for quantification of CEC. The outcomes of this paper can be potentially applied to variety of formations with measurable concentrations of clay minerals such as shaly sands and organic-rich mudrocks for reliable assessment of CEC.

1. Introduction

The clay minerals, which carry large number of exchangeable cations, are abundant in hydrocarbon-bearing formations, such as shaly sands and organic-rich mudrocks. The quantity of these positive exchangeable ions per unit mass of dry rock is defined as cation exchange capacity (CEC) (Johnson and Linke, 1978; Bergaya and Lagaly, 2006; Bergaya et al., 2013). The presence of the exchangeable cations in clay minerals significantly affects quantitative interpretation of electrical resistivity for assessment of hydrocarbon saturation. For instance, shaly-sand resistivity models such as (Waxman and Smits, 1968), take CEC per unit pore volume of the rock, as an input to compensate the influence of clays on electrical resistivity measurements. Therefore, reliable assessment of CEC is crucial for dependable interpretation of electrical resistivity logs, assessment of hydrocarbon reserves, and production operations in clay-rich formations.

Methods such as wet chemistry, multiple salinity, and membrane potential are typically used for CEC quantification. There are, however, limitations associated with each one of these methods. Wet chemistry method uses ammonium or barium ions to extract the exchangeable cations from clay minerals and estimates CEC based on concentration of extracted cations (Chapman, 1965). CEC estimated using this method varies with particle size of clay minerals and pH of solution (Pinnavaia, 1983). Multiple salinity method for CEC estimation is based on resistivity measurements on the intact core plugs, which are saturated with brine at different salinity (Mian and Hilchie, 1981). This method is, however, only applicable for high permeability formations, which allow brine flow through the rock (Martin and Dacy, 2004). Membrane potential method (Thomas, 1976) requires an apparatus that could use drill cuttings as membranes in an electrochemical cell and measure the voltage using Ag-AgCl electrodes for calculating CEC. This method relies on empirical correlations between CEC and the measured voltage, obtained from previous measurements in any given shaly sand formation of interest. Such correlations are highly sensitive to the types of clay minerals in the formation and not necessarily reliable in other formations. All of the aforementioned existing methods for CEC

E-mail address: zoya@utexas.edu (Z. Heidari).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2018.05.006



Research paper





^{*} Corresponding author.

Received 4 January 2018; Received in revised form 30 April 2018; Accepted 7 May 2018 0169-1317/ @ 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature		$P_{\rm H2O(g)}$
		$P^*_{ m H2O(g)}$
CEC	Cation exchange capacity of clay minerals (meq/100 g)	
CEC _{Ca2+}	E CEC of Ca^{2+} in clay minerals (meq/100 g)	P _{clay} ·H2O(s)
CEC_{K+}	CEC of K^+ in clay minerals (meq/100 g)	R
CEC_{Mg2}	$_+$ CEC of Mg ²⁺ in clay minerals (meq/100 g)	RH
CEC _{Na+}	CEC of Na ⁺ in clay minerals (meq/100 g)	S
d	Basal spacing before swelling (Å)	$S_{ m BET}$
d'	Distance between two successive layers before swelling	Т
<i>d</i> _{1/2}	Distance from cations to the surface of clay minerals be-	Тр
	fore hydration (Å)	Δu_i
D	Basal spacing after swelling (Å)	ΔU
D'	Distance between two successive layers after swelling	
D_S	Thickness of hydration water on the surface of clay minerals (\AA)	$\Delta U_{ m interlaye}$
<i>D</i> _{1/2}	Distance from cations to the surface of clay minerals after hydration (\AA)	$\Delta U_{ m surface}$
е	Elementary charge $(1.6022 \times 10^{-19} \text{C})$	V
Ε	Electric field strength ($V \cdot m^{-1}$)	V
ΔG	Gibbs free energy change of the hydration reaction (J	• • • •
	$\cdot mol^{-1}$)	V:
ΔG^0	Standard-state Gibbs free energy change of the hydration $(1 - 1)^{-1}$	• Interlayer
	reaction $(J \cdot mol^{-1})$	Z
1	Type of cation (i.e., Na ⁺ , Mg ²⁺ , K ⁺ , or Ca ²⁺)	z_i
К	Dimensionless shape factor	β
K_p	Equilibrium constant of hydration reaction	
<i>Mass</i> _{clay}	Total mass of clay minerals (g)	ε_0
m_{Ca2+}	Atomic mass of Ca ² (40.1 g·mol ⁻¹)	ε_{is}
$m_{\rm K+}$	Atomic mass of K $(39.1 \text{ g·mol}^{-1})$	ε_w
$m_{\rm Mg2+}$	Atomic mass of Mg^{2+} (24.3 g·mol ⁻¹)	θ
$m_{\rm Na+}$	Atomic mass of Na ⁺ (23.0 g·mol ⁻¹)	λ
m_w	Water molar mass $(18.0 \text{ g·mol}^{-1})$	ρ_{w}
n	The order of reflection in Bragg's law	σ
Ν	Average number of interlayers	$\Delta \mu$
N_A	Avogadro constant $(6.0221 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1})$	
N _{c,i}	Quantity of cation <i>i</i>	τ
$P_{\text{clay}(s)}$	Partial pressure of dry clay minerals (atm, 1.01×10^{5} Pa)	

P _{H2O(g)}	Partial pressure of water vapor (atm)	
$P_{ m H2O(g)}^*$	Equilibrium vapor pressure of water at a given tempera- ture (atm)	
$P_{\text{clay:H2O(s)}}$ Partial pressure of hydrated clay minerals (atm)		
R	Gas constant (8.3145 J·K ^{-1} ·mol ^{-1})	
RH	elative humidity	
S	Effective surface area of clay minerals $(m^2 g^{-1})$	
$S_{\rm BET}$	3runauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area $(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$	
Т	Absolute temperature (K)	
Тр	Temperature (°C)	
Δu_i	Electric potential change of each cation $(J \cdot g^{-1})$	
ΔU	Total change in electric potential energy of cations	
	(J·mol ⁻¹)	
$\Delta U_{\text{interlayer}}$ The change in electric potential energy due to hydration		
	at interlayer space of clay minerals $(J mol^{-1})$	
$\Delta U_{\text{surface}}$	The change in electric potential energy due to hydration	
	on the surface of clay minerals $(J \cdot mol^{-1})$	
V	Volume of leachate (mL)	
$V_{\rm HW}$	Volume of total hydration water per 100 g mass of dry clay	
	minerals (mL/100 g)	
Vinterlayer	Volume of interlayer hydration water per 100 g mass of	
	dry clay minerals (mL/100 g)	
z	Valence of cation	
z_i	Valence of cation <i>i</i>	
β	Line broadening at half the maximum intensity of reflec-	
	tion	
ε_0	Vacuum permittivity (8.854 × 10^{-12} C·V ⁻¹ ·m ⁻¹)	
ε_{is}	Relative permittivity of interlayer space	
ε_w	Relative permittivity of water (80.4)	
θ	Bragg diffraction angle	
λ	Wavelength of XRD source (1.5418 Å)	
ρ_{w}	Density of water $(1.0 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{g}^{-1})$	
σ	Surface charge density of clay minerals ($C \cdot m^{-2}$)	
$\Delta \mu$	change in chemical potential of hydration reaction	
	(J·mol ⁻¹)	
τ	Particle size of clay minerals in the lamellar direction (Å)	



Fig. 1. Workflow of the new NMR-based method for quantifying cation exchange capacity of clay minerals.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8045888

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8045888

Daneshyari.com